THE KSOUR OF THE MDAGRA OASIS (ER-RACHIDIA, MOROCCO): AN INVENTORY

T. Gil-Piqueras ^{1, 2, *}, P. Rodríguez-Navarro ^{1, 2}

¹Dept. Architectural Graphic Expression, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain - (tgil@ega.upv,es, rodriguez@upv.es) ²Research Centre PEGASO, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia, Spain

Commission II - WG II/8

KEY WORDS: Mdagra, Ksar, Fortified Architecture, Vernacular Architecture, Rammed Earth, Pre-Saharan Oasis, Morocco

ABSTRACT:

The Mdagra Oasis is located in the province of Er-Rachidia, in southern Morocco. The objective of this contribution is to present an unparalleled inventory of the *ksour* existing in that oasis, the result of several years of study and field exploration. During the Saadi period (16th century), this area of the Ziz basin was a compulsory stop for traders on the route of caravans crossing the High Atlas. Later, during the Alauita period, the area was consolidated, and for more than 400 years many important cities were constructed using rammed earth, as *Ksar* es Souq or Sidi Bou Abdellah *Ksar*. This is how the oasis came to have an important community integrated by Berbers, Arabs and Jews. Today, most of the oasis' *ksour* are abandoned for different reasons and remain in a state of advanced ruin. Through fieldwork, we have been able to identify, record, analyze and classify 53 earthen human settlements, providing an unprecedented study of all of them. Subsequently, a first typological classification was proposed based on aspects such as the implementation in the territory, the external morphology, the urban organization, or the occupation area. The ultimate goal was to document this rammed earth, at-risk heritage since we have witnessed its rapid degradation, and even disappearance in some cases, throughout this investigation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Mdagra oasis is located in the upper stretch of the Ziz River, southeast of the Moroccan High Atlas. Its 24 km. in length belong to the Er-Rachidia province, located in the Meknès-Tafilalt region. Its natural boundaries are: the oasis of Khenkh to the North and the Rteb oasis to the South, both belonging to the Ziz valley. The river bed is straight and runs in a north-westerly to south-easterly direction with a slope of 110 m., giving rise to a fertile area of about 29 km2 characterized by its large palm grove and numerous olive trees.

Due to its geographical location, the oasis has historically occupied a privileged position, as it was a mandatory place of passage for merchants and travellers following the trade route between Fez and Sijilmassa, crossing the country's inland mountain range between the 8th and 17th centuries¹. Along this route, in addition to slaves, it circulated highly valued merchandise such as gold, ivory, ostrich feathers and kola, products of great demand at the times, which were then distributed throughout the Mediterranean.

Within the oasis we find from small villages to important rammed earth cities, all of them complying with the ksar typology (Rodríguez-Navarro, Gil-Piqueras, 2015, p. 87). The first references found on some of these ksour date back to the 16th century, although they may have existed before that time (Jacques-Meuniè, 1982, p. 128). This is the case of Sidi Bou Abdellah, considered by some authors (Terrase, 1938) as an important religious-military center (zaouia); or Ksar es Souq, integrated by a group of five ksour, which housed in its central part a market having a mellah² (Foucauld, 1888).



Figure 1. Tafilalt merchants. Source: Jacques-Meunié, 1951.

1.1 Background and purpose of the investigation

The study of earthen architecture in the Mdagra oasis arises almost parallel to that carried out between 2011 and 2015 in the Outat valley³.

the Tiallaline oasis and the Ziz Gorges facilitate these attacks. (Jacques-Meunié, 1982, p. 405-406).

^{*} Corresponding author

¹ During the 16th century, the traffic on this caravan route was reduced due to the large number of robberies, a significant part of the trade being diverted along the Drâa route. The geographical conditions of

 ² Jewish quarter.

³ Research carried out in the frame of the Project "Estudio de la Arquitectura Habitacional de Tierra en el Alto Atlas Septentrional,

The fact of finding architecture typical of the pre-Saharan oases in a mountain area such as that of the Outat River, led us to study its origin following the course of the river basins converging at the top of the mountain range. This is how we arrived in the Er-Rachidia province, and specifically to the Mdagra oasis. After a first inspection of the oasis, and given its magnitude, we decided to deepen in the study of its architecture, documenting all its *ksour*.

Our work was based on the records by Charles de Foucauld, back in 1882-1886, during his expedition through Morocco (Foucauld, 1888, p. 351-352). In it, in addition to mentioning the *ksour* according to the river bank in which they were, he made reference to their demography, using as measuring unit the number of rifles, understanding that a rifle is equivalent to the size of a man in age of fighting. In his journey through the Mdagra oasis, Foucauld divides the oasis into two districts: the one located North to the current Er-Rachidia, known as the "Ksar es Souq" district and the one located to the South, known as the "Metrara" district. Between the two he accounted for 36 populated areas, which include a total of 42 *ksour*. In the following table, we enumerate Foucault's listing from North to South⁴:

| West riverbank of the Ziz | | East riverbank of the Ziz | |
|---|------------|---|------------|
| Ksar name | No. Rifles | Ksar name | No. Rifles |
| Tazouqa | 200 | Tiriouin | 150 |
| Tagnit | 40 | Beni Ouarain (3 <i>ksour</i>) | 100 |
| Ksar es Souq: Mouskellal, Qciba, Aït Moha ou Ali, El Haratin, Agaouz y Azrou | 300 | Er Rahba | 60 |
| Tisgelat | 100 | Qçar Djedid Aït Hammou (3 <i>ksour</i>) | 60 |
| Tarzout (2 ksour) | 100 | | |
| Azemmour | 150 | | |
| Targa (2 ksour) | 150 | | |

Table 1. Ksour of the "Ksar es Souq" district (Foucauld, 1888).

| West riverside of the Ziz | | East riverside of the Ziz | |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Ksar name | No. Rifles | Ksar name | No. Rifles |
| Tisgelat | 40 | Oulad el Haj | 300 |
| Beni Mehelli | 100 | Qçar Dekhlani | 150 |
| Asrir | 200 | El Rrouch | 40 |
| Mediouna | 20 | Qçar Djedid | 100 |
| El Hibous | 400 | Zaouia Moulei Abd Allah | 20 |
| Qaçba Qedima 4 | 400 | Qçar el Berrani | 100 |
| | | Taourirt | 100 |
| | | Sidi Bou Abd Allah | 300 |
| | | Titaf | 200 |
| | | Qaçba Djedida | 200 |
| | | Beni Mousi | 300 |
| | | Geri Ourgaz | 40 |
| | | Gaouz | 100 |
| | | Tazenagt | 400 |

Table 2. Ksour of the "Metrara" district (Foucauld, 1888).

1.2 Methodology of the study

In a first approach, we resorted to the Google Earth viewer to locate the *ksour* of the Mdagra oasis. Thus, we obtained the ortho images of its plans, on the same scale, which we enclosed with the information of the year of the photographic shooting and its coordinates, both geographical and UTM, to facilitate its subsequent search by means of a Global Position System (GPS) or from of a cartographic map.



Figure 2. Location of *ksour* on orthoimage. Source: Google Earth.

Subsequently, several expeditions to the area were made in different years. To explore the oasis, we relied on cartographic maps published by the *Division of the Catographie of the Directorate of Conservation Foncière et des Travaux Topographiques* and on satellite photographs. For the field work, *ad hoc* record cards were used, in which we documented the different aspects related to their establishment in the territory, exterior morphology, interior organization, construction system and materials used, as well as available facilities for community use and the type of house.

Mosques, *hammams*, fortified entrances, houses and oil mills in which the olive was processed to obtain oil in ancient times, something very common in this oasis, were drawn through field sketches. The state of abandonment in which most of the *ksour* are found today facilitated this work, being able to access almost all of them.

The analysis of the data has allowed us to study and compare their morphologies and their urban organization, as well as the use of materials and systems, as appropriate

⁴ The listing of *ksour* has been transcribed as spelled by Foucauld in his book. Thus, some differences regarding the spelling of the names used in the rest of the article may be found. (FOUCAULD, 1888, p. 351-352.

Midelt (Marruecos): Categorización, Sostenibilidad y Puesta en Valor del Patrimonio Arquitectónico para Contribuir a la Descentralización y el Desarrollo Sostenible", financed by the *ADSIDEO-Cooperación* 2011, call for Research Projects and *Primeros Proyectos de Investigación* of the UPV. PI: Pablo Rodríguez-Navarro.

The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XLIV-M-1-2020, 2020 HERITAGE2020 (3DPast | RISK-Terra) International Conference, 9–12 September 2020, Valencia, Spain

2. RECORDING OF THE *KSOUR* IN THE MDAGRA OASIS

After years of research, we have documented a total of 53 *ksour* in the area delimited by the Mdagra, which attend to very diverse morphologies and sizes. The following includes the *ksour* inventoried at the Mdagra oasis:

| Ksar name | Geographical Coordinates |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1EP Tizuka | 31°58'44.81''N; 4°27'53.28'' W |
| 2EP Tighiourine | 31°58'40.12''N ; 4°27'21.36''W |
| 3EP Ibaghaden | 31°58'25.86''N; 4°27'28.52''W |
| 4EP Kasbah Ait Zammou | 31°58'19.51''N ; 4°27'27.26''W |
| 5EP Ait Ouaraine Akedim | 31°58'16.80''N ; 4°27'29.76''W |
| 6WP Tagounite | 31°58'15.55''N ; 4°28'14.66''W |
| 7WP Mouchkelal | 31°58'09.09''N; 4°28'05.55''W |
| 8WP N'Ait Moha Ou Ali | 31°58'07.47''N; 4°28'02.02''W |
| 9WP Taghzout | 31°58'01.61''N; 4°28'02.99''W |
| 10EP Rahba Kedima | 31°58'10.90''N ; 4°27'28.35''W |
| 11EP Rahba Jdida | 31°58'04.63''N ; 4°27'25.92''W |
| 12EP Ait Akka | 31°58'00.19''N ; 4°27'20.40''W |
| 13EP Ait El Haj Housseine | 31°57'57.29''N ; 4°27'13.71''W |
| 14EP Ait Arabt Oujdid | 31°58'01.43''N ; 4°26'59.03''W |
| 15EP Ait Arabt Akedim | 31°57'50.33''N ; 4°26'52.74''W |
| 16WP Tizguidelt | 31°57'31.85''N; 4°27'43.74''W |
| 17WP Taghzoute | 31°57'17.99''N; 4°27'10.20''W |
| 18WP Lagssira L'Akedim | 31°57'11.41''N; 4°27'08.94''W |
| 19WP Azemmour L'Akedim | 31°56'51.63''N; 4°26'47.52''W |
| 20EP Tazemmourit | 31°56'45.21''N ; 4°25'59.61''W |
| 21WP Targa | 31°56'19.12"'N ; 4°25'52.50"'W |
| 22EP Oulad el Haj | 31°56'19.12''N ; 4°25'52.50''W |
| 23EP Zaouia Moulay Abdellah | 31°55'42.17"'N ; 4°23'39.03"'W |
| 24EP Oulad el Haj L'Akedim | 31°55'33.16''N ; 4°23'42.48''W |
| 25EP DD'Akhlani Fokani | 31°55'23.59''N; 4°24'08.00''W |
| 26EP Oulad Bounafi | 31°55'15.13''N ; 4°23'43.76''W |
| 27EP DD'Akhla L'Tahtani | 31°55'00.91''N ; 4°23'37.01''W |
| 28EP Ait M'Saud | 31°54'56.48''N; 4°23'27.42''W |
| 29EP El Barrani | 31°55'07,87" N ; 4°22'55,82"W |
| 30EP Ksiba | 31°55'01,63" N ; 4°22'50,56"W |
| 31EP Zaouia Moulay Ben Ali | 31°54'54,58"N ; 4°22'38,70"W |
| 32EP Taourirt | 31°54'50,59"N ; 4°22'41,27"W |
| 33EP Sidi Bou Abdellah | 31°54'13,15" N ; 4°22'09,86"W |
| 34EP Titaf | 31°53'59,79" N ; 4°21'16,99"W |
| 35WP Beni M'Hali | 31°53'47,82"N ; 4°22'29,08"W |
| 36EP Sin designación 1 | 31°54'50,59"N ; 4°22'41,27"W |
| 37EP Tazrout Beni Fousse | 31°53'47,82" N ; 4°22'29,08"W |
| 38EP Kasbah Kdima Oulad Mohamed | 31°53'36,58"N ; 4°20'34,72"W |
| 39WP Asrir | 31°53'27,68" N ; 4°22'20,14"W |
| 40EP Oulad Mohamed (norte) | 31°53'23,60" N ; 4°20'36,15"W |
| 41EP Oulad Mohamed (sur) | 31°53'23,60" N ; 4°20'36,15"W |
| 42WP Tissagdelt | 31°53'17,70"N ; 4°21'48,03"W |
| 43WP Hdibouz (norte) | 31°52'58,96"N ; 4°21'13,60"W |
| 44WP Hdibouz (sur) | 31°52'55,76"N ; 4°21'18,38"W |
| 45WP Mediouna Jdid | 31°52'46,50" N ; 4°21'00,81"W |
| 46WP Mediouna L'Akedim | 31°52'43,12" N ; 4°20'52,33"W |
| 47EP Tazuka | 31°53'01,33"N ; 4°20'43,18"W |
| 48EP Gaouz | 31°53'01,45"N ; 4°20'07,45"W |
| 49EP Guiriourgaz | 31°52'53,66" N ; 4°20'12,62"W |
| 50EP Sin designación 2 | 31°52'40,16" N ; 4°20'16,03"W |
| 51EP Sin designación 3 | 31°52'36,77"N ; 4°20'07,98"W |
| 52EP Taznakht L'Akedim | 31°52'22,28"N ; 4°19'20,59"W |
| 53WP Meski | 31°51'18,45" N ; 4°17'21,48"W |

Table 3. *Ksour* of the Mdagra oasis. Source: Gil-Piqueras & Rodríguez-Navarro.

In this inventory, the *ksour* have been geographically ordered according to the following coding: the number indicates the order from North to South, with number 1 being the northernmost *ksar*; with the acronym E and W, their location has been identified with respect to the river bank, East or West respectively.



Figure 3. Map of the Madgra oasis with the location of the *ksour*. Source: Gil-Piqueras & Rodríguez-Navarro.

The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XLIV-M-1-2020, 2020 HERITAGE2020 (3DPast | RISK-Terra) International Conference, 9–12 September 2020, Valencia, Spain

3. INVARIANTS ANALYSIS

The architecture of southern Morocco is clearly conditioned by the surrounding environment, so the first of the invariants to study was that of their settlement in the territory. With respect to the morphological configuration of the *ksar*, we will approach a bidimensional study: that of its formal exterior appearance and that of its internal organization. Finally, the *ksour* have been studied in relation to the area they occupy.

3.1 Territorial settlement

The Er-Rachidia province is characterized by being a pre-desert area in which the scarce arable land is located only along the Ziz river basin, constituting a clearly contrasted boundary with the large plain of barren and dry soil that surrounds it. This fertile land, so necessary for life supporting, has been expanded thanks to the construction of ditches or *khetaras* that carry water from one area to another, occupying the largest possible area of arable land. Thus, the water supply is organized by building a large network of ditches providing the fields and the *ksour*, sometimes getting to distribute the water within the settlement or approximating it to one of its walls. This general network of irrigating ditches is in constant transformation, adapting to agricultural needs and to those of the population itself.

In most of the cases studied in the Mdagra oasis, the *ksour* are located on the border between the plain and the fertile land, taking special care not to occupy the latter, so they are placed on the plain side. Their situation on it, generally elevated with respect to the cultivable area, also provides them with greater visual control of the territory, increasing the defence capacity of the *ksar*; although this factor is not decisive, and always prioritizing the proximity to the arable area. Thus, in case of attack by other tribes, the *ksar* is more accessible to its inhabitants, generally dedicated to rural works.



Figure 4. a) Ibaghaden *Ksar* (3EP), b) Azemmour L'Akedim *Ksar* (19WP), c) Tizuka *Ksar* (1EP), d) Tagounite *Ksar* (6WP). Source: Google Earth

Regarding the physical boundaries of the ksar, a consequence of human action when constructing a wall, we observed that sometimes it coincides with the geographical limit of the territory, by placing the wall, in its design, at the limit of a natural ground level. This influences the configuration of the floorplan, which seeks to adapt to the territory giving rise in some cases to organic floorplan *ksour*, far from following a regular geometrical pattern (Figures 4c and 4d), or to curved alignments in the more regular *ksour* (Figures 4a and 4b).

At the level of the ensemble, we see that the disposition of some with respect to others is totally random, concentrating in some places and being dispersed in others, not attending, in principle, to any globally planned defence network.

3.2 External morphology of the ksar



Figure 5. Entrance to the Sidi Bou Abdellah *Ksar* (33EP). Source: Terrase, 1938.

Morphologically, the Mdagra *ksour* follow a clear scheme of a defensive structure: they are delimited by a wall equipped with high towers, and in most cases, it is accessed through a single entrance protected by two towers and/or by a double door.



Figure 6. Schematic examples of floorplan boundaries: (a) Tagouinte *Ksar* (6WP) of organic plan, (b) Hdibouz *Ksar* (south) (44WP), (c) Ait Akka *Ksar* (12EP), (d) Titaf Ksar (34EP) and (e) Mouchkelal Ksar (7WP) both fitted to a simple geometrical plan. Source: Gil-Piqueras & Rodríguez-Navarro.

After analyzing the floorplans of the *ksour* of our research, we observed that they follow two clearly differentiated models (Figure 6): while some maintain regular identifiable patterns with simple geometrical shapes, whether square, rectangular or pentagonal, as is the case of the Titaf *Ksar*, of pentagonal plan; others are very far from all regularity, being their floorplan comparable to organic irregular shapes.

In the case of the former, although they usually present themselves in a pure way facilitating their identification, they sometimes combine and intertwine, making it difficult to distinguish their original shape. This fact can be clearly observed in the case of the ksour that underwent expansion, as is the case of the Beni M'Hali Ksar (Figure 7), in which from a first core of geometrical plan, appreciably square, it can be observed how a new enclosure has been attached to the rear side, which has been given continuity through an opening connecting the two enclosures, expanding the original surface without prejudice to its settler's safety. In the organic plan ksour it is more difficult to identify these extensions, unless they alter their morphology as in the case of the Azemour L'Akedim Ksar (Figure 4b), whose oldest part follows an organic structure, while its extension retains a clearly formal and orderly appearance.



Figure 7. Example of planned expansion in the Beni M'Hali *Ksar* (35WP). As we have verified at the site, this extension did not consolidate, even lacking the main streets' layout. Source: Gil-Piqueras & Rodríguez-Navarro.

On other occasions, this urban planning also attends to the social distribution within the *ksar*. This is the case of the Targa *Ksar* (Figure 8), whose concentric layout allows distinguishing different social strata, concentrating in its inner sector the ethnic groups of greater power, and leaving in the outer sector the population dedicated to farmland and to community services.

Even so, if we focus on the external morphology of the *ksar*, we can state that in general, in the Mdagra oasis, there is a clear distinction between the two types of *ksar*: the one that maintains an organic plan and the one fitting a geometrical plan. If we analyze the relationship between their settlement in the territory and their morphology, we see that, regardless of their shape, both models are located interchangeably on flat terrains, although it is true that on promontories, we only find organic plan *ksour*.



Figure 8. Different walled enclosures, as a result of the expansion of the Targa *Ksar* (21WP). Source: Gil-Piqueras & Rodríguez-Navarro.

3.3 Urban planning

At an urban level, the *ksour* analyzed are organized around a plot formed by an orderly arrangement of streets. In large area *ksour*, the entrance gives access to a large square from which this urban plot emerges. In the smaller *ksour*, the entrance gives direct passage to a street from which other narrower ones derive. The streets are usually mostly covered, as a result of the expansion of housing above the urban space. Only the intersections between streets remain open to the outside, acting at the same time as light wells and ventilation openings necessary for indoor air renewal. By doing so, the climatic conditions of the *ksar* are improved, protecting its inhabitants from extreme heat and sandstorms. The soil is kept without paving.



Figure 9. Square located in the access to the interior of the Oulad el Haj *Ksar* (22EP), in which the facilities for community use are located. Source: Gil-Piqueras & Rodríguez-Navarro.

Throughout our investigation we have been documenting the width of the streets—which ranges between 1.20 m. and 5.00 m.—; their formal disposition within the *ksar*; the facilities and services they housed; and their orientation. Based on these parameters we have classified the streets into two types: main and secondary.

Main street:



Figure 10. Main street of Rabha Jdid Ksar (11EP). Source: Gil-Piqueras & Rodríguez-Navarro.

It arises directly from the main entrance-in general there is only one-extending to the final limit of the ksar or to the point of entry to a new space. The main street is wider than the rest and the facilities for collective use-mosque, madrassa, fonducwhen existent, are located in it, so they can be considered as an urban space for public use. Its disposition within the ksar varies according to cases. In the ksour arranged following a geometrical plan, we find main streets running centered on the ksar, shifted to the side, or according to a branching route from the entrance itself that runs in several directions. This is the case of the Sidi Bou Abdellah Ksar, of a regular geometrical plan, in which we see how three main streets arise from the square following the direction of three different orthogonal axes to lead us to the residential area, to the ksar's community services area, such as the oil mill, the barn and stables, and to the zone where the main residences of the ksar are located, reflecting a great spatial organization.

In the case of the organic structured *ksour*, the main street is usually centered or following a branched route from the entrance, which is organized in two directions.

If we analyze its orientation, we see that the main street does not follow a specific geographical layout, as some authors have asserted; instead, it depends on the location of the entrance, which is normally situated facing the fertile area. From this street, different types of urban patterns are generated, which mostly follow a regular plot organized from more or less orthogonal axes.

Secondary streets:

They arise perpendicular to the main street, giving way to the most private area of the *ksar*. In them we find the access doors to the private houses, arranged so they don't face each other with the purpose of preserving the families' intimacy (Youssef Hoteit, 1993).

Depending on the location of the main street, whether centered or shifted to one side, the secondary streets follow two common patterns: either they extend along a continuous axis at both sides of the main street, or they run only on one of its sides. In the case of streets at both sides, we have observed that occasionally their axes do not follow a continuous alignment; instead, from the main street their axis is shifted in parallel, so they do not follow a linear continuity, guaranteeing the intimacy of this urban space and avoiding at the same time the wind is channeled. In the case of organic plan *ksour*, secondary streets often follow the same patterns.

Within secondary streets we find specific cases of streets fulfilling very specific functions. That is the case of streets for private use, and those aligned to the perimeter of the wall.

Private use streets indicate an exclusive social character within the *ksar*. Their use establishes a social division between its inhabitants and those of the rest of the *ksar*. The streets of private use emerge from the main entrance, independently of the rest, and they usually house the family with the highest social position within the *ksar*. On the contrary, in the case of privately used streets arising from secondary streets, an ethnic difference is usually made, housing either a minority tribe within the *ksar*, or a population of Jewish origin. These streets are usually separated from the rest of the urban fabric by a gate.

Regarding the streets attached to the intrados of the wall, we have only found them in some large *ksour* such as the Sidi Bou Abdellah *Ksar*. Among their functions, one of them is giving access to the wall's parapet walk and to the defensive towers, in most of the *ksour* accessible from the houses semi-detached to the wall.



Figure 11. a) Inner urban distribution of the Oulad el Haj *Ksar* (22EP) with the main street arranged in the center of the ksar, b) urban plot of the Asrir *Ksar* (39WP) with the main street placed on one side of the *ksar*, c) Distribution of the Tagounite *Ksar* (6WP) of organic plan with central main street; d) Sidi Bou Abdellah *Ksar* (33EP), an example of one main street branching into three secondary streets. Source: Gil-Piqueras & Rodríguez-Navarro.

3.4 Surface of the ksar

The dimensions of the Mdagra *ksour* are quite disparate, highlighting among them the Sidi Bou Abdellah *Ksar* with more than 45,000 m2 of walled surface. This *ksar* was already known in the 16th century for being an outstanding caravan city along the trade route (Meunié, 1982).

Regardless of the total surface of the *ksour*, we observed how in all of them the area destined for urban space is very small, ranging between 9% and 15% of the total area.

4. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

We started from the conviction that the approach to the study of the pre-Saharan valleys' *ksour* must be made from the knowledge of the culture itself. It is the only way to understand their very nature, so characteristic of these valleys, and so difficult to find in other regions, even within Morocco itself.

The research developed in this oasis stems from more than 10 years of study and knowledge of the culture and architecture of the region. From the analysis carried out, we can determine that, morphologically, the Mdagra *ksar* follows a clear scheme of a defensive structure, defined by the wall, the towers and a double door entrance which is protected. From it, the main street arises, often preceded by a large square.

Based on the *ksar* morphology, we can see that in the Mdagra oasis there are two clearly differentiated models: one of a regular plan based on simple geometrical shapes, in which the urban plot follows up to three different types of schemes, depending on the layout of its main street; and a second one of totally irregular plan that follows an organic morphology, and whose inner plot is usually developed from a main street that follows a centered layout or branched layout in two directions.

After analyzing its implementation, we observe that only those with an organic plan are normally located on small hills or over elevations, adapting the shape of their plan to the shape of the territory, while those with a geometrical plan are located in flat places or with a slight slope.





The study of all these determinants leads us to think about the existence of an urban plan from the very origin of the *ksar*.

Today the lifestyle in the pre-Saharan valleys has changed, the population seeks to live independently, there is no need to inhabit enclosed and fortified spaces to defend against the attacks of neighbouring tribes. A better quality of life, understood as the implementation of western models and more durable materials, is sought. Only some *ksour* keep part of their population and it is generally those that have been rehabilitated at the government's initiative; this is the case of the Targa *Ksar* or the Oulad el Haj Ksar, although most have been abandoned.



Figure 13. Images showing the deterioration experimented by the Kdima Oulad Mohamed *Ksar* (40EP) from 2003 to 2019, date on which many of its structures have disappeared. Orthoimage taken in 2003 (above) and orthoimage taken in 2019 (below). Source: Google Earth.

This abandonment leads, in a type of architecture with a great need for maintenance, to a progressive deterioration that finally brings the *ksar* to a state of ruin and its subsequent disappearance. This is how throughout the different expeditions carried out to the area in recent years, we have been able to verify an acceleration in the process of ruin of these *ksour*. From the abandonment, a succession of stages takes places as a chain of events ending with the total disappearance of the *ksar*, which will be converted back, as we have already observed in some cases, in what it was initially: a mound of soil. Hence, the importance of their documentation.

REFERENCES

Carte du Maroc, 1:50.000, Hoja nº NH-30-XX-4c, Ar Rachidia, Dirección para la Conservación forestal y de trabajos topográficos, Ministerio de Agricultura y reforma agraria, Reino de Marruecos, Division de la Cartographie, Rabat, 1985 y 1991.

Chueca Goitia, F., 1982. "El urbanismo islámico", *Vivienda y Urbanismo en España*. Banco Hipotecario de España, Barcelona, 1982.

Foucauld, Ch., 1888. *Reconnaissance au Maroc 1883-84*. 1^a Ed., Challamel, Paris.

Gil Piqueras, T., Rodríguez-Navarro, P., 2013., "Habitat e territorio nel Alto Atlas Orientale del Marocco". *Città e Territorio. Conoscenza, tutela e valorizzazione dei paesaggi culturali.* Ed. Debatte, Livorno, pp. 220-225.

Jacques-Meuniè, D., 1982. *Le Maroc saharien des origines au XVIe siècle*. Librairie Klincksieck, Paris. p. 128.

Laoust, E., 1934. "L'habitation chez les tranhumants du Maroc central. L'igerm". *Hespéris Tamuda*. Tomo XVIII, Larose, Paris, p. 159-161.

Marcais, G., 1970. "L'Urbanisme musulman". *Cinquieme* congres de la federation des societés savantes de l'Afrique du Nord. Argelia, pp. 13-34.

Marcais, G., 1945. "La concepcion des villes dans l'Islam". *Revue d'Alger*. Vol II, pp. 517-533.

Marcais, W., 1928. "L' Islamisme et la vie urbaine". *Comptes rendues des seances de l'Academie des inscriptions et Belles-Lettres*. pp. 86-100.

Nakhli Mtiri, A., 2006. "La ciudad islámica: sus referencias culturales". *La inmigracion y la interculturalidad*. Biblioteca Regional de Madrid, http://eprints.ucm.es/20236/.

Navarro Palazón, J., Jiménez Castillo, P., 2007. "Algunas reflexiones sobre el urbanismo islámico". Antigrama, nº 22. Dpto. Historia del Arte de la Universidad de Zaragoza, pp. 269-292.

Nijst, A.L.M.T. et ali, 1973. *Living on the edge of the Sahara. A study of traditional forms of habitation and types of settlement in Morocco.* Government Publishing Office, Le Hague.

Rodríguez-Navarro, P., Gil Piqueras, M. T., 2012. "Integrated Methodology for Urban Survey and Representation of the Morocco's High Atlas". In 18th International Conference on Virtual Systems and Multimedia (VSMM 2012), IEEE, Milán.

Sadki, A. "Urbanisme et dègradation de l'habitat traditionnel des oasis du sud-est Marocain: l'exemple del ksour u Tafilalt (Province d'Errachidia)". *Magazine d'Architecture en ligne*. http://www.archimag. com/eassi_18.php.

Terrase, H., 1938. Kasbas berbères de l'Atlas et des oasis. Editions des Horizons de France. Paris, p. 160.

Verdugo, C., 1982. *L'Amenagement de la vallee du Ziz, Maroc*, Tesis Doctoral, Ecole Nationale d'Architecture, Université de Paris, vol I, Inédito.

Youssef Hoteit, A., 1993. "Cultura, espacio y organización urbana en la ciudad Islámica". *Cuadernos de investigación Urbanística*, nº 5. 2ª edición, Instituto Juan de Herrera, Madrid, p. 21-31.