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ABSTRACT:

Water shortages are a chronic and severe problem in South Africa. Allocation of this limited water resources, environmental quality, and policies for sustainable water use are issues of increasing concern that require accurate and timely information to evolve strategies for dynamic natural resources management. Specifically, this paper is aimed to assist the planning, restoring and to rationally allocate the water resources in any river basin in resolving the current water stresses in many parts of South Africa, by using integrated knowledge from simulation and integrated river basin management approach. The developed system dynamic (SD) allocation system was used to investigates the extent to which the framework is ‘sustainable’ in the medium and long terms in evaluating existing and future water allocation among conflicting users at Mkomazi River Basin (MRB), KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa The invented SD framework confirms agricultural water use as the highest demand when compared with other users. The optimal sustainability performance index (0.25) of the system at 70% dependable flow shows an integrated scenario that combines rainfall variation with improved irrigation water use efficiency as a suitable framework plan. The study uses integrated knowledge from simulation and integrated river basin management approach as a feasible method to assist the planning, restoring and to rationally allocate the water resources in any river basin with similar attributes to the study area in resolving the current water stresses in many parts of the country. Water resources managers would find these tools beneficial in understanding the complex nature of water resources allocation and in determining priorities area which required prompt attention and intervention.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Water has a central horizontal in the generation of energy, food production, the sustainability of biodiversity, and global change which call for innovation of management systems for sustainability development. Allocation of freshwater resources between societal needs and natural ecological systems is of great concern for water managers (Cordier, Meinzen, 2017). The problems associated with water resource allocation are closely associated with man anthropic activities and activities that induce climate variability (Scheferling, 2011). Human activities have resulted in water pollution. This untreated water is used for drinking, bathing, and washing, thereby causing serious human infections and illnesses, while the combined effect of extreme climate has resulted in excessive heat waves, heavy rainfall, flooding, and wildfire occurrence casualty. Thus, turning these concerns into opportunities requires the need for both water technology innovation and water behavioural change, to manage freshwater sustainably. This development has challenged decision-makers regarding how to reasonably allocate available water resources to meet the competing demands.

The most popular water allocation approach is the priority driven based and equity supplies based approach to domestic, irrigation and ecosystem demand (Weragal, 2010), (Wescoat, 2013) and (Wang, Huang, 2014). Both methods contribute to improve gross domestic product (GDP), provide food security, and ensure public and environmental health (Meinzen-Dick, Mendoza, 1996) and (Bergstrom, Randall, 2016). However, the priority quantity based is the most notably administrative technique employed in the developing world. This method allocates water in a preferential order. This has been attributed to several state objectives geared towards greater socio-economic development, independence and the sustenance of greater public good (Dinar et al., 1997), (Thompson et al., 2001), (Yates et al., 2005b) and (Sutherland et al., 2014).

The variety of objectives associated with public water allocation requires the assignment of priority directives. However, the prioritised public water allocation has been widely critiqued for its inability to ensure efficient water usage. The core reason behind this has been the lack of institutional incentives (Bergstrom, Randall, 2016). Collet et al. (2015) also stated other reasons for its criticism to include: unassertive decision guidelines during inter-sectoral allocations, political undertones, inordinate pricing structure, lack of synergy among sectoral units as well as the scope for rent-seeking amongst employees of the agency.

Considering the economic scarcity of water, the equity-aspect of water allocation is given less attention in comparison to the efficient-aspect. This represents a new transition towards formulating a framework for pricing water and inputs that affect
Among the different existing system, dynamic simulation environment platform includes: STELLA (ISEE Systems 2016) and (Roberts, 2017); POWERSIM (Matondo, 2002) and (Cordier, et al. 2017); GOLDSIM (Smith, 2016); Simile (Neuwirth, 2017) and VENSIM (Ventana Systems Inc 2016). A SD model offers a robust hypothetical level to conventional simulation or optimization models in arriving at a management decision for a problem. It offers a systematic and efficient analysis of relevant information useful to many organisations (Kunc, 2017). The users are shed away from the mathematical rigour inputs. However, these advantages are still not fully employed in water resources (Ahmad, Simonicov, 2005) over conventional optimisation algorithms with oversimplified systems, aside from not indicating how different basic elements such as feedback affect the dynamics of the system in the future (Zomorodian et al., 2018).

1.2 The Study Area

The study area is central to sustainable water supply to the Mkomozi region and KwaZulu-Natal Province. Its water resources are already in competition for supply to irrigation, commercial afforestation, tourism, and recreational activities, as well as paper production which exists near the Mkomozi River mouth with little consideration for the immediate communities’ survival. The MRB natural assets and cultural values are of immense value to all the riparian users. They have also served as a means of livelihood for subsistence farming and fish production (DWARF 2014). Figure 2 depicts the study area in relation to South Africa Provinces.

The proposed water trading framework leans on an inclusive simulation of ‘Hydrology, Environment, Life (aquatic), Policy and Sensitivity’ (HELPs) as a collective response of the basin to efficient water uses with regulation planning which allows movement of water to new users (Amoo, 2018). It uses the socio-hydrological water balance concept which modifies the storage continuity equation (Zhou et al. 2015). Besides defining the relationship between inflow and outflow variables, it considers the water required for ecosystem sustainability. The socio-hydrological water balance framework partitions the evapotranspiration (ET) into societal and ecological systems as defined in (Equation 1 to Equation 5) (Srinivasan et al., 2017) and (Ceola et al., 2016):

$$P = ET + R + G + \frac{dS}{dt}$$

(1)
\[ P = ET_s + ET_a + R_{out} + \frac{dG}{dt} + \frac{dS}{dt} \]  
\[ ET_a = ET_{ep} + ET_{eR} + ET_{eg} \]  
\[ ET_s = ET_{sp} + ET_{slR} + ET_{slH} + ET_{sath} \]

where
\[ D_R + D_G = ET_{stR} + ET_{slH} + ET_{sath} \]

Where \( P \) = precipitation, \( ET \) = evapotranspiration; \( R \) = surface runoff, \( G \) = groundwater recharge and \( dS/dt \) = change in soil water storage. Ecological evapotranspiration component \( (ET_s) \) includes evapotranspiration from precipitation \( ET_{ep} \), surface runoff \( ET_{eR} \) and groundwater \( ET_{eg} \), in virgin vegetation areas, while, the societal evapotranspiration \( (ET_s) \) system component includes evapotranspiration in croplands and grasslands arising from precipitation \( ET_{sp} \) and irrigation \( ET_{slR} \) and water directly consumed by society: household water \( ET_{slH} \) and other industries \( ET_{sath} \). Where: water diversions from surface runoff \( (D_R) \) and groundwater \( (DG) \) represent irrigation water supply to croplands, grasslands, households, and industries. The leftover surface runoff is meant for ecological purposes, \( ET_{eR} \) and outflows to the sea \( (R_{out}) \).

2.2 Model Development and Simulation

Before model development, the spatial and temporal scales of the model have to be predetermined, in which water users, basin storage, reservoirs, and downstream ecological zones are defined as unit’s user. The time horizon of most socio-economic plans is 25 to 30 years (Ahmed, Simonovic, 2000), and therefore, the planning period for this study has been taken as 30 years, with a time increment of 1 year. Based on the geographical homogeneity, the study area was divided into 7 geographic units which have greatly reduced the temporal and spatial variability, it is still a complex task to simulate large socio-economic sectors and link them together (Xu et al., 2002). To that end, the hierarchical decomposition technique was used, with each complex sector being disaggregated into different sub-sectors, e.g., the industrial water sector was divided into three subsectors: city, town and rural, due to the significant difference of the characteristics concerning industrial pattern, unit water rate, growth rate, etc.

The mathematical formulations of the major processes of water supply and water demand represented in the model are presented in the Causal Loop Diagram (CLD) and the Stock Flow Diagram (SFD) interface. CLDs are useful qualitative analytical tools for representing relationships among system variables that produce dynamic feedback structure (Sterman, 2000) while the stock and flow mathematical models are created using feedback loops that link the various sub-systems based on the socio-hydrological mass balance. The SFD can be used to illustrates scenarios for a hypothetical water trading market in deriving efficient water allocation among the riparian users. Its iteratively determines water prices for each water user in the context of water priority and market surrogate.

2.3 Conceptual CLD and SFD development

Figure 1 depicts the conceptualised CLD while Figure 2 is the SFD representation of the SD framework. The conceptual integrated model presented was developed in close cooperation with the KwaZulu-Natal Province Department of Water and Sanitation’s stakeholder staff in Durban. This assisted in a fair representation of the socio-hydrological water-trading configuration. The CLD indicates how a complex web of socio-economic and environmental challenges confronted by a basin could be managed sustainably, while the SFD relates the various sub-system feedbacks to the socio-hydrological mass balance of the basin. The SD mechanism allows effective evaluation linkage between water for livelihoods and water as a resource. This allows the integration of the three ‘Es’ — economic, efficiency, and equity — to be employed.

Figure 2. Causal Loop Diagram for the water system model

Figure 3. Stock-Flow Diagram of the population sub-sector

2.4 Performance of Water Resource Systems

There are many criteria to evaluate the performance of water resource systems such as reliability, vulnerability, and resiliency (Zhang et al., 2017), (Ren et al., 2020) and (Haro-Monjeagudo et al., 2020). This study adopted the sustainability index (SI) by (Xu et al., 2002) and (Wang et al., 2019). SI is defined as the ratio of aggregated possible water deficit relative to the corresponding supply in the same region, as shown in Equation 6:

\[ SI = \begin{cases} \frac{(S-D)}{S} & S > D \\ 0 & S \leq D \end{cases} \]
where D is the water demand, and S is the available water supply. SI values greater than 0.2 correspond to low or no stress of water supply, which implies that water demand is less than or equal to 80% of the potential water supply, whereas those smaller than 0.2 reflect vulnerable conditions, i.e., water demand is greater than 80% of the potential water supply. Values of zero indicate an unsustainable water supply, i.e., water demand already equals or exceeds all available local water resources.

### 2.5 Model Simulation and Validation

SD model’s validity was verified in terms of its structural and behavioural aspects. The model was validated by examining its Ability to reproduce historic behavior from 2010 to 2015. The variables being examined included Total Population, Agricultural Irrigation Area, and Gross Industrial water use; these variables are decisive factors in the model behavioural aspects. The second validation test – extreme condition testing examined the degree to which the model “responded plausibly when subjected to extreme policies, shocks, and parameters” (Sterman, 2000). The model was tested by drastically increasing the key stocks driving demand in the model (like population), and decreasing the key stocks driving water supply in the model.

### Table 1. Parameters value used for each of the riparian water demands and supply

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eThekwini medium variant population (2001-2010)</td>
<td>3,609,259</td>
<td>(Visagie, 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross industrial product per annum</td>
<td>101.86</td>
<td>(DWAF, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic water demand per capita</td>
<td>135m³</td>
<td>(DWAF, 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial water demand per capita</td>
<td>44Mm³/annum</td>
<td>(Ruiters, Maselaganye, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation water demand per crop per hectare</td>
<td>475m³</td>
<td>(DWAF, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water demand per cold-blooded animal (WDCDA)</td>
<td>10L/(capita*day)</td>
<td>(DWAF, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water demand per warm-blooded animal (WDWDA)</td>
<td>45L/(capita*day)</td>
<td>(DWAF, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal difference in demand (Ss, and Si)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using the necessary parameters from Table 1 and its utilisation in the theoretical conceptualised SDM framework as depicted in Figures 2 and 3, Figure 4 shows a pictorial plot of the hydrological components’ sustainability and the need for increased storage basin with time area. The various water demand sub-sectors will continue to be of high increased compared to the available water in the storage basin. The land-use, and vegetation cover impacts are the most significant impacts occurring in the basin. They have affected the hydrologic cycle, runoff generation mechanisms and the water balance configuration as supported by Loveland et al. (2000).

Thus, necessitating measures for regulating water use. This entails creating awareness to avoid water use wastage in its various uses, crop diversification, and precision water use in farming, the use of metering and pricing for water auditing. The challenges confronting water regulation among the contending different uses and users reveal societal activities of commercial forestry, irrigation, dryland, and subsistence agriculture as it interrupts the basin hydrological runoff and seasonal variability in streamflow. This finding is also collaborated by a study carried out by (Katjavivi, 2009).

![Storage basin](image)

**Figure 4: Population and storage basin dynamics variation**

Using the sustainability index (SI) defined in Equation 6, the medium and long-term water fulfillment from both sides (supply and demand) at varied dependable flow conditions was as depicted in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Business as usual (BaU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Climate change (Precipitation varies in 10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Irrigation improvement Integrated scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Sustainability index for varied dependable flow conditions**

The result of the integrated scenarios (B+C) (Table 2) of the SD sustainability index at varied dependable conditions to the basin storage basin does not only predict the likely hydrological dynamics variation at a given time but also reveal the need for increased storage capacity to cater for the growing population.

![Sustainability Index](image)

**Figure 5. The integrated scenarios sustainability index**
The integrated scenario (D) combines rainfall variation (B) with improved irrigation water use efficiency (C) and gives optimal sustainability performance (0.25) of the system at 70% dependable flow over a long time. This implies that water demand is less than or equal to 80% of the potential water supply. Figure 5 discusses the exponential curve of the integrated scenario sustainability.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this study, the complex scenarios of the socio-hydrological basin water system were simulated to satisfy long-term socio-economic plans on a 30 years future time scale. The study explores the socioeconomic and environmental consequences of water regulation in the Mkomazi River Basin (MRB); develop a system dynamic (SD) allocation system and investigate the extent to which the framework is ‘sustainable’ in the medium and long terms. The output results of the system dynamic model show it to be a veritable tool for cause and effect evaluation for a decision support system. It has helped explore a top-bottom management approach to give more insight into the successful implementation of integrated watershed management. The SD simulation used in this study can be improved with a more realistic negotiated model if the local water users’ associations and water managers’ stakeholders can be incorporated in the simulation conceptualisation design.

However, the challenges confronting water regulation among the contending different uses and users reveal societal activities of commercial forestry, irrigation, dryland, and subsistence agriculture as it interrupts the basin hydrological runoff and seasonal variability in streamflow.
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