OBSERVATIONS OF CONTINUOUS INSTABILITY FOR SCAR INLET ICE SHELF, ANTARCTIC PENINSULA
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ABSTRACT:

Observation of the evolving instability of ice shelves plays a very important role in global change research. Following the suddenly large-scale collapse of the Larsen B Ice Shelf in the Antarctic Peninsula in 2002, the evolving instability for its remnant, the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf, began to be increasingly studied to provide a deeper understanding of the disintegration of the Larsen B Ice Shelf in 2002 and also provide a chance for studying the response of ice shelves to large-scale collapse events. In this study, based on sequential Landsat images spanning 2005–2020, we produced detailed maps of the ice velocity fields for the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf. The results indicate that the ice velocities for the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf region have substantially increased since 2005, the maximum ice velocity reached more than 900 m/y in the ice shelf front. Surface rifts have also substantially increased in both length and width and are moving seawards. The ice front position of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf is relatively stable in 2008-2010 and then steadily advancing after 2010. The acceleration of ice velocities, the dynamic change of the ice front, the increase of major surface rifts and the newly added rifts in the central part of the ice shelf, and the heavily enhanced surface crevasses are all revealing the evolving instability of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf.

1. INTRODUCTION

Antarctica, on average, is the coldest, driest, and windiest continent, and has the highest average elevation of all the continents, is currently the largest ice sheet in the world, with about 90% of the global ice mass. Ice mass loss from the whole ice sheet and its marine-based parts is a major contributor to global warming and sea level rise (Shepherd et al., 2012; Rignot et al., 2019). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reported that the global sea-level rise from the 1950s levels would likely be within 0.61 - 1.10 m if warming exceeds 4°C by 2100, the uncertainty of the global sea level rise at the end of this century especially depends on the Antarctic ice sheet (IPCC, 2019). The two primary processes that account for the ice mass loss are basal melting and iceberg calving (Depoorter et al., 2013; Rignot et al., 2013). Iceberg disintegration can almost immediately cause the ice shelf to import a large amount of ice mass into the ocean, even tiny changes in the frequency or pattern of the calving events will have a huge impact on the ice mass budget (Rignot et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015; Walker and Gardner, 2019).

Larsen ice shelves are located in the Antarctic Peninsula, northwest of the Weddell Sea, and include three different areas, Larsen A, Larsen B, and Larsen C. There have been two large-scale ice shelf collapse events in the Antarctic Peninsula. Among them, the smallest one is Larsen A collapsed about 1.600 km² in 1995 (Rott et al., 1996, 1998). During February-March 2002, the northern section of the Larsen B Ice Shelf disintegrated in less than 6 weeks, 2,300 km² of the ice shelf broke up into many small floating icebergs (Rack and Rott, 2004; Glasser and Scambos, 2008; Bassis, 2020). After that, the remnant Larsen B Ice Shelf, namely the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf has experienced two calving events in early 2006 and late 2007/early 2008 (Khazendar et al., 2015). The collapse of the Larsen B Ice Shelf has led to a decrease in backstress, a flow acceleration of the ice shelf and its tributaries, a decline in surface elevation, a retreat in ice front position, and a substantial increase in both scope and scale of the rifts and crevasses (Glasser and Scambos, 2008; Shepherd et al., 2010; Shuman et al., 2011; De Rydt et al., 2015; Khazendar et al., 2015; Wuite et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2018; Rott et al., 2018; Qiao et al., 2020). Ice shelves around the Larsen A and Larsen B ice shelves such as the Larsen C Ice Shelf and the Seal Nunataks Ice Shelf are also affected by these calving events (Shuman et al., 2016; Han et al., 2019). The analysis of the incurred ice shelf disintegrations and the instability of the ice shelf after calving can help us predict the next ice shelf to collapse, which is of great significance for understanding the contribution of ice shelf disintegrations on the discharge of grounded ice into the ocean (Bassis, 2020).

In this paper, we provide a continuum observation for the previous work (Qiao et al., 2020), the latest dynamic and structural behaviours of Scar Inlet Ice Shelf were investigated, including the ice front positions, riffs propagation, and ice velocities based on sequential Landsat satellite images. The long-term observations of the changes in the frontal position and surface features and ice velocities in time and space up to 2020, all of these indicate the evolving instability of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf.
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2. DATA AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and Data

Scar Inlet Ice Shelf is located between the Weddell Sea and the region from northern Robertson Island to southern Jason Peninsula, is the remnant part of the Larsen B Ice Shelf after its calving event in 2002. After that, the two rift calving events in 2006 and 2008 makes the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf experience substantial losses of ice area, approximately 650 km² and 140 km², respectively (Scambos et al., 2008; Qiao et al., 2020). Figure 1 shows the Landsat image of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf and its tributary glaciers captured on 18 December 2002. The riffs, ice front positions, the stagnant region, the MEaSUREs grounding line (MEaSUREs GL 1999) are presented in Figure 1.

In this study, 21 sequential Landsat satellite images including Landsat 7 enhanced thematic mapper plus (ETM+) and the Landsat 8 operational land imager (OLI) with band 8 (15m), spanning 2005–2020 are used to reconstruct the annual ice velocity fields and the evolution of riffs of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf. All the images were carefully selected and try to have no or few clouds. The data-gap regions in Landsat 7 ETM+ images are filled by ENVI image analysis software (Warner and Roberts, 2013), and all the Landsat images are enhanced using low-pass and high-pass filters (Schowengerdt et al., 2006). The making earth system data records for use in research environments (MEaSUREs) grounding line product with the highest accuracy at about ±100 m is used for subsequent analyses (Rignot et al., 2011).

![Figure 1. Study area of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf and its tributary glaciers on 18 December 2002.](image)

2.2 Methods

We use the IMCORR image correlation software (Release 1.1, http://nsidc.org/data/velmap/imcorr.html, Scambos et al., 1992) to map the ice velocity fields based on the feature-tracking technology. The time interval between the two images is approximately 1 year, the reference window, search window, and grid space sizes to be 64 × 64, 192 × 192, and 10 × 10 pixels, respectively. The location of the center of the reference chip, the total displacement in pixels, the strength of the correlation and resulting flag, the displacement to best match within the search chip, and the estimated error are the main output parameters of IMCORR. The strength of the correlation (S) presents the degree of correlation between the matched features of two images, which is defined as follows:

\[ S = \frac{(R_{\text{max}} - R_{\text{mean}})}{R_{\text{std}}} + \left(\frac{R_{\text{max}} - R_{\text{mean}}}{R_{\text{std}}^2}\right) + 0.2 \times N_{\text{max}} - 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where

- \( S \) = the strength of the correlation
- \( R_{\text{max}} \) = the peak correlation value
- \( R_{\text{mean}} \) = the mean value of the correlation coefficient
- \( R_{\text{std}} \) = the standard deviation of the correlation coefficient
- \( R_{\text{max}}^2 \) = the highest value more than 3 pixels away from the peak
- \( N_{\text{max}} \) = the number of “large” values more than 3 pixels away from the peak.

In addition, we manually digitized the positions of the ice front, the position accuracy of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf front sequences was estimated to be approximately 15 m or within 1 pixel. Furthermore, we visually interpreted the length and width changes in the major rifts on the surface of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf and analyze the dynamics of the major rift based on sequential Landsat images by ArcMap geographical information system (GIS) software (version 10.5). The accuracy was estimated to be approximately 15 m or within 1 pixel.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Observation of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf Ice Front Position Changes

After the collapse in 2002, the ice front of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf retreated about 16 km and reached the ice front position in 2005. In February 2006, the second major calving event of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf occurred along Rift 5, resulting in an approximately 20 km retreat. Then, in February 2008, the third major calving event along Rift 4 caused the eastern part of the ice front position to recede by about 11 km (Shuman et al., 2011; Qiao et al., 2020). Since then, the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf ice front position has shown a relatively stable trend in 2008-2010. From 2010 to 2020, the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf ice front position showed a steady advancement with a mean rate of approximately 1 km/y.

3.2 Evolution of Riffs in the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf

Qiao et al. (2020) analyzed the annual change of five major riffs in the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf from 2005 to 2018. The widths are increased the lengths are stable or increased for all five riffs from January 2005 to September 2018. Here, we extended this long-term observation for Rift 1- Rift 3 to November 2020 since the two calving events in 2006 and 2008 caused Rift 5 and Rift 4 to disappear respectively. Table 1 showed the lengths and maximum widths for the three major riffs in the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf from 2005 to 2020, it can be seen that the widths of all three riffs are increased, where the increase rate of Rift 1 and Rift 3 is relatively significant, about 0.16 km/y, is twice as much as the increase rate of Rift 2. The increase rate of the length of Rift 2 is 0.88 km/y, which is the largest among the three riffs. Now, Rift 3 is nearest to the ice shelf front and continued to widen, which may make it be the next calving margin.
Table 1. The lengths and maximum widths for the three major rifts in the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf from 2005 to 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rift 1 Length (km)</th>
<th>Rift 1 Width (km)</th>
<th>Rift 2 Length (km)</th>
<th>Rift 2 Width (km)</th>
<th>Rift 3 Length (km)</th>
<th>Rift 3 Width (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Jan. 2005</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Nov. 2012</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Sep. 2018</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Oct. 2019</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Nov. 2020</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on these sequential Landsat images from 2005 to 2020, we also found a new rift that is slowly evolving (Rift N in Figure 1) which is located in the central part of the SIIS. Figure 2 showed the evolution for Rift N ((Figure 1) from February 2011 to November 2020. This rift appeared in the 2010 image for the first time, but due to the cloud distribution in the image, we could not get its actual shape accurately in 2010. It can be seen that its length and width are both increase from 2011 to 2020. At the same time, it is moving towards the sea along with the ice flow.

3.3 Reconstruction of Ice Velocity Filed

In this study, we extended the annual ice velocity fields of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf from 2018 to 2020 (Figure 3 showed 9 periods of ice velocity maps), all of these ice velocity maps were smoothed by using the local mean filter. In general, the ice velocity of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf showed an increasing trend from 2005 to 2020. Spatially, ice velocity increased from about 600 m/y and 500 m/y at the Flask and Leppard Glaciers respectively, reached more than 900 m/y at the ice front. Ice velocity in the central part of the ice front is higher than the flanks of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf. It can also be seen that ice flows faster from the Flask Glacier than the Leppard Glacier. In addition, ice velocity in the Stagnant Region was very stable and almost unchanged in 2005-2020.

In order to estimate the change in this long-term ice velocity field, we analyze the evolution at a Check Point (Black Cross point in Figure 3), which was located near the ice front in 2006 (Khazendar et al., 2015; Qiao et al., 2020). Figure 4 showed the evolution of the ice velocity at the Check Point from 2005 to 2020. Overall, the ice velocity of the Check Point increased from 627 m/y in 2005 to 799 m/year in 2020, showing a 27.4% increase. The calving events in 2006 and 2008 resulted in an approximately 50 m/y decrease in ice velocities. From 2008 to 2013, the ice velocity of the Check Point increased by about 187 m/y. After 2013, the ice velocities at the Check Point exhibiting a stable trend.
In this study, we analyzed the long-term dynamics of ice front positions, major rifts’ propagation and ice velocity fields of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf based on sequential Landsat satellite images spanning 2005–2020, to reveal its evolving instability.

The results reflect that the ice velocities for the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf region have continued since 2005, reaching a maximum value of more than 900 m/y along the ice front. The two calving events in 2006 and 2008 are accompanied by a first increase in ice velocity and then a sharp decrease. Then, ice velocities increased from 2008 to 2013 but remained relatively stable thereafter. The Scar Inlet Ice shelf ice front position is relatively stable in 2008-2010 and then has been steadily advancing until 2020. Both the length and width of major rifts on the ice surface substantially increased. The acceleration of ice flows, the rapid growth of major surface rifts, the dynamic position of the ice front, plus the large new rifts in the central part of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf and the heavily enhanced surface crevasses are all indicators that reveal the evolving instability of the Scar Inlet Ice Shelf.
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