ANALYSIS OF LAND USE CHANGE IN INNER MONGOLIA REGION FROM 1978 TO 2018 BASED ON REMOTE SENSING
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ABSTRACT:

Land use change is an important theme of the research on the impact of human interaction on global change. In this paper, two phases of land use data were interpreted from remote sensing images of 1978 and 2018, and the spatial-temporal characteristics of land use change in China's Inner Mongolia Region from 1978 to 2018 were analyzed. The results indicated that grasslands and arable land are mainly distributed in the central and eastern region of Inner Mongolia, forest land are mainly distributed in the eastern region, and unused land is mainly distributed in the western region. From 1978 to 2018, the area of arable land in Inner Mongolia decreased by 9,000 km², forest land increased by 900 km², and the area of grassland decreased by 1,400 km². Urban and rural, industrial mines, and residential land continued to increase with an area of 7,800 km²; and unused land increased by an area of 11,500 km². It was indicated that after 40 years of development, land use in urban and rural areas, industrial mines, and residential areas caused by human activities in the Inner Mongolia Region has increased significantly. At the same time, the policy of returning farmland to forests to protect the environment has achieved significant results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Land use and land cover changes (LUCC) is one of the core projects of the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) and the International Human Dimension Programme on Global Environmental Change (IHDP). It is a hot and frontier issue in the research of global environment. LUCC contribute to qualitative and quantitative analyse the impacts of human activities on climate change, water and soil erosion, hydrological process and ecosystem function. Domestic and foreign scholars successively carried out many related researches.

The Inner Mongolia is located in the northern frontier of China. As a significant ecological barrier in northern China, it has one of the four prairies in this country and distributes a third of China's desert and sandy land. The Inner Mongolia is affected by soil erosion due to abundant sources of sand and frequent gale weather. The economic development aggravates the burden of the ecosystem by the high intensity of human activities and the drastic change of land types. The Reform and Opening in 1978 can be called the third enormous historical change in the 20th century. It is of great historical significance to backtrack on the land use situation at that time. Analysis of land use changes in the 40 years of reform and opening up can help systematically grasp the overall layout and changes of land use in Inner Mongolia.

In view of this, based on remote sensing image data, this paper builds the two-stage land use data sets of the Inner Mongolia in 1978 and 2018, quantitative analyses layout and characteristics of land use changes, and reveals the spatial-temporal changes of land use, provides a scientific basis for the rational development and utilization of land resources and the analysis of soil and water loss in the region.

2. STUDY AREA

The Inner Mongolia (Figure 1) is located in the northern frontier of China (37° 24′ - 53° 23′ N, 97° 12′ - 126° 04′ E), 2400 kilometres long from east to west and 1700 kilometres wide from north to south. The Inner Mongolia crosses the northwest, north and northeast China. It is adjacent eight provinces, including Heilongjiang, Jilin and Liaoning provinces to the east, Gansu to the west, Hebei, Shanxi, Shansi, and Ningxia to the south, and Mongolia and Russia to the north. It administers 9 cities and 3 leagues, occupies 12.3% of China's land area. In this area, the terrain is complex, mainly composed of mountains, hills and plateaus. The topography gradually declines from south to north and west to east. The climate is dominated by temperate continental monsoon climate. From east to west, it spans five climatic zones: temperate humid zone, semi-humid zone, semi-arid zone, arid zone and extreme arid zone. Thus, the Inner Mongolia forms a diverse geographical environment and rich natural resources. The land use policy of the Inner Mongolia has been continuously adjusted during the years of social development. From the large-scale reclamation of grasslands and woodlands to meet the "food for the program" policy, to conversion of cropland to forest and grassland for "ecological first". Both land use intensity and methods have changed tremendously. Land use patterns have changed significantly, and have had different effects on ecosystem patterns and processes.
3. DATA AND METHODS

3.1 Remote sensing data

The remote sensing data are mainly from Landsat-MSS/TM/ETM and Landsat8 images which covered the whole region. The area that cannot be covered due to poor or lack of time are supplemented by the data of China-Brazil earth resource satellite or HJ satellite. The LULC data are mainly from two Landsat images (Landsat-MSS (1978), Landsat8 images (2018)) In seasonal aspects, cloudless images (cloud measurement <10%) were selected from middle of June to end of September.

3.2 Methods

3.2.1 Data processing and interpretation

Data processing mainly includes band extraction, false colour composite, geometric exact correction, and image mosaic by counties. The geometric exact correction process is using a satellite or HJ satellite. The LULC data are mainly from two Landsat images (Landsat-MSS (1978), Landsat8 images (2018)). In seasonal aspects, cloudless images (cloud measurement <10%) were selected from middle of June to end of September.

3.2.2 LUCC characteristics analysis method:

(1) The rate of land use change. The dynamic degree of a certain land use type refers to the quantity change of this land use type within a period of time in the study area. It can quantitatively describe the changing speed of this land use type within a certain time in the area. The dynamic degree is calculated by

\[
K_T = \frac{U_b - U_a}{U_a} \times 100\% 
\]

(2)

\[
K = \frac{U_b - U_a}{U_a} \times \frac{T}{T} \times 100\% 
\]

where \( K \) = the dynamic degree of a certain land use type \( U_a, U_b \) = the area of the initial and end of the study \( T \) = the length of the study period (if the unit scale of \( T \) is set to year, the value of \( K \) is the annual change rate of the land use type) \( K_T \) = the dynamic degree of this land use type during the study period

(2) Transfer matrix of land use. The Markov land use transfer matrix is used to overlay and analyse the grid land use / cover data of two periods. Then obtain the dynamic processing of each land-use type transformation in this period. While for the transfer matrix:

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{11} & S_{12} & \cdots & S_{1n} \\
S_{21} & S_{22} & \cdots & S_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
S_{n1} & S_{n2} & \cdots & S_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(3)

\[
D_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} S_{ij} - S_{ii} 
\]

(4)

\[
D_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n} S_{ij} - S_{jj} 
\]

(5)

where \( n \) = the number of land use types \( i, j (i,j=1, 2, 3, \ldots, n) \) = the types of land use \( S_{ij} \) = Area of land types converted at the beginning of the study \( (i) \) into land types at the end \( (j) \) \( D_i \) = reduced area of \( i \) land type \( D_j \) = increased area of \( j \) land type

The land-use net change \( (G_j) \) is the absolute difference between transfer-out and transfer-in area in the matrix of land use.

\[
G_j = \max(S_j+ - S_{jj}, S_{aj} - S_{jj}) - \min(S_j+ - S_{jj}, S_{aj} - S_{jj}) = |S_j+ - S_{jj}| \]  

(6)

where \( G_j \) = the net change of the \( j \)-th land-use type \( S_{ij} \) = the transfer-out of land use type \( S_{ij} \) = the transfer-in of land use type

The concept of land use type exchange \( (C_j) \) is introduced to quantitatively indicate the conversion of a certain land type.

\[
C_j = 2 \times \min(|S_j+ - S_{jj}|, S_{aj} - S_{jj}) 
\]

(7)

where \( C_j \) = net exchange capacity of the \( j \)-th land use type

The sum of the net change and the exchange capacity is the total change of a certain land use type. The total change of the land use type is actually the sum of the transfer-out and transfer-in area.

4. RESULTS

This study was based on the land use data of 1978 and 2018. Land use type dynamics and land use transfer matrix are the main
indicators. The land use change in Inner Mongolia Region in the past 40 years is the object of analysis.

4.1 Land use interpretation results

The land use data of 2018 was used to analyse the land use distribution in Inner Mongolia Region. From the analysis of the chart, it can be seen that the area of cultivated land has decreased overall, from 126,600 km² to 115,600 km², and the land use change rate is -7.18%. The area of forest land has increased from 165,000 km² to 165,900 km², and the change rate is 0.52%. The grassland area is reduced from 526,600 km² to 525,200 km², and the change rate is -0.27%. The water area is increased from 14,100 km² to 14,200 km², and the change rate is 0.62%. Residential land increased significantly, from 10,400 km² in 1978 to 18,200 km² in 2018, an increase of 70,800 km², and the change rate is 75.89%. Unused land increased from 309,000 km² to 31,114 km², the rate of change is 0.5%.

4.2 Land use change rate analysis

Table 1 Change rate of land use types in Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region from 1978 to 2018 (positive values increase, negative values decrease)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Arable land</th>
<th>Forest land</th>
<th>Grassland</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Urban and rural, industrial, mining land</th>
<th>Unused land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978-2018</td>
<td>-7.18 0.52 -0.27 0.62</td>
<td>75.89 0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the analysis of the chart, it can be seen that the area of cultivated land has decreased overall, from 126,600 km² to 115,600 km², the land use change rate is -7.18%. The area of forest land has increased from 165,000 km² to 165,900 km², and the change rate is 0.52%. The grassland area is reduced from 526,600 km² to 525,200 km², and the change rate is -0.27%. The water area is increased from 14,100 km² to 14,200 km², and the change rate is 0.62%. Residential land increased significantly, from 10,400 km² in 1978 to 18,200 km² in 2018, an increase of 70,800 km², and the change rate is 75.89%. Unused land increased from 309,000 km² to 31,114 km², the rate of change is 0.5%.

4.3 Land Use Transfer Analysis

Table 2 Land use transfer matrix (positive values increase, negative values decrease)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transfer type</th>
<th>Arable land</th>
<th>Forest land</th>
<th>Grassland</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Urban and rural, industrial, mining land</th>
<th>Unused land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arable land</td>
<td>-7.18</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>75.89</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The total change area of woodland was 14,700 km², with a total change area of 50,800 km² of grassland, with a total change area of 0.49 million km². The total change area of unused land was 20,100 km², with a net change of 14,400 km².

Table 3. Land use change from 1978 to 2018 (Unit: 10,000 km²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>1978</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Transfer in area</th>
<th>Transfer out area</th>
<th>Total change area</th>
<th>Exchanged</th>
<th>Net change area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arable land</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest land</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>15.81</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>52.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban and rural,</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industrial, mining land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unused land</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total                  | 11.56         | 16.59         | 52.52            | 1.42             | 1.82              | 0.00      | 31.14           |                |                |
| Transfer in            | 2.02          | 0.78          | 2.47             | 0.22             | 0.79              | 1.08      | 1.04            |                |                |

From the conversion table of the land use, the largest total land use change in Inner Mongolia Region from 1978 to 2018 was grassland, with a total change area of 50,800 km², of which the area transferred in was 24,700 km², mainly from cultivated land (16,000 km²), unused land (0.49 million km²) and forest land (30,400 km²). The area transferred out of grassland was 26,100 km², mainly transferred to cultivated land (15,300 km²), unused land (50,800 km²), urban and rural, industrial land (0.32 million km²), with a net change of 14,400 km².

The largest total change was followed by cultivated land, with an area of transferred out of 29,200 km² and an area of transferred in 20,200 km², and the net change of cultivated land was 89,000 km². The total change area of unused land was 20,100 km², of which the transferred-in area was 10,800 km², the transferred-out area was 9,300 km², and the net change area was 15,000 km². The total change area of woodland was 14,700 km², of which the area of transferred in was 7,800 km², the area of transferred out was 69,00 km², and the net change area was 9,00 km².

The total change area of urban and rural, industrial and mining land is 7,900 km², of which the transferred-in area in was 7,900 km², and the transferred-out area was 0. The total change area of water area is 4300 million km², of which the transfer-in area was 2,200 km², and the transfer-out area was 2,100 km², with a net change area of 100 million km².

5. RESULTS

(1) Through the analysis of the spatial distribution of land use in Inner Mongolia Region in 2018, it was found that the land use type in different regions is very different. Grassland and arable land are mainly distributed in the central region, and grassland, forest and arable land are mainly distributed in the eastern region. Unused land is mainly distributed in the western region. The largest area in Inner Mongolia Region is grassland, which accounts for 45.65% of the total area, followed by unused land(27.07%), forest land(14.42%), cultivated land(10.05%), urban and rural areas, industrial and mining land(1.59%), and water area(1.24%).

(2) The land use changes in the Inner Mongolia Region in the past 40 years was analysed. It was found that the area of cultivated land has decreased by 0.9 thousand km², the area of forest land has changed less, increased by 0.09 million km², and the area of grassland has decreased by 14,400 km². The area of water changed less with an increase of 0.01 million km², while urban and rural, industrial and mining land continue to increase, from 1978 to 2018, with a total area of 7,800 km². Unused land increased by 11,500 km². It was shown that after 40 years of development, human activity in the Inner Mongolia Region has increased urban and rural, industrial and mining land, but at the same time, the policy of returning farmland to forests to protect the environment has achieved significant results.
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