THE COMBINED USE OF LONG-TERM MULTI-SENSOR INSAR ANALYSIS AND FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATION TO PREDICT LAND SUBSIDENCE
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ABSTRACT:

Land subsidence in Tehran Plain, Iran, for the period of 2003-2017 was measured using an InSAR time series investigation of surface displacements. In the presented study, land subsidence in the southwest of Tehran is characterized using InSAR data and numerical modelling, and the trend is predicted through future years. Over extraction of groundwater is the most common reason for the land subsidence which may cause devastating consequences for structures and infrastructures such as demolition of agricultural lands, damage from a differential settlement, flooding, or ground fractures. The environmental and economic impacts of land subsidence emphasize the importance of modelling and prediction of the trend of it in order to conduct crisis management plans to prevent its deleterious effects. In this study, land subsidence caused by the withdrawal of groundwater is modelled using finite element method software Plaxis 2D. Then, the model was verified using InSAR data. The results were in good agreement with the measurement results. The calibrated model was used to predict the land subsidence in future years. It could predict future subsidence for any assumed rate of water depletion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Land subsidence is defined as the differential displacement of the ground surface with respect to surrounding terrain or sea level. There are a number of natural causes such as tectonic motion and sea level rise introduced as a reason for land subsidence. Land subsidence may also happen as a result of human activities such as withdrawal of groundwater, oil and gas, the extraction of coal and ores and underground excavation for tunnel and cavern (Hu et al.,2004). Although displacement occurred as a result of land subsidence is mainly downward, the horizontal component of deformation can lead to deteriorating effects. Withdrawal of groundwater due to its impact on the compaction of the susceptible aquifer system is a significant reason causing land subsidence. One of the other reasons for land subsidence is subsidence as a result of extraction of fluids such as water, crude oil and natural gas from subsurface formation. (Galloway and Burbey, 2011). Land subsidence occurs in plenty of cities around the world because of geologic settings and the reduction of groundwater levels as a result of an increase in the groundwater demand due to population growth and intensifying industry and agriculture. (Calderhead et al.,2011). Land subsidence has been reported in Vietnam (Thu et al.,2000), Jakarta, Indonesia, West Bengal, India (Ganguli and Malay, 2011). Land subsidence in Iran has been reported in Mahyar, Nayshabour, and Kashmar (Lashkaripour et al., 2010, 2007,2006) and Mashhad (Motagh et al., 2007).

Land subsidence occurs in a valley where aquifer systems are partly made of fine-grained sediments, and groundwater level has decreased. The granular skeleton of the aquifer system as well as the fluid pressure of the groundwater that fills the intergranular pore space support the pore structure of a sedimentary aquifer system (Meinzer,1928). When pore-fluid pressure decreases as a consequence of withdrawal of groundwater and reduction of groundwater level, the skeleton should support most of the weight of overlying layers. Hence, the reduction in pore-fluid pressure increases the intergranular load, or effective stress, on the skeleton. The alteration in effective stress leads to the deformation of the skeleton- an increase in the effective stress results in skeletal compression, and a decline causes expansion. The vertical component of this deformation sometimes causes irreversible compaction of the aquifer system and land subsidence. An aquifer skeleton that contains fine-grained sediments, such as silt and clay, is considerably more compressible than the ones containing coarse-grained sediments, such as sand and gravel. In the cases that the stress imposed on the skeleton is smaller than the previous maximum effective stress, the deformation of the aquifer system is elastic (recoverable). The Maximum historical effective stress imposed on the aquifer system, sometimes the result of the lowest groundwater level, is called the “pre-consolidation stress”. If stresses are greater than the pre-consolidation stress, rearrangement of the fine-grained sediments occurs in order to make a more stable structure at higher stresses. This rearrangement causes an irreversible reduction of pore volume and, hence, inelastic compaction of the aquifer system. (Riley,1998)

Predictive approaches for land subsidence are classified into five categories: (i) statistical methods; (ii) one-dimensional numerical method; (iii) quasi-3D seepage model; (iv) 3D seepage model; (v) fully coupled 3D model (Xu et al., 2008). Numerical models are beneficial in assessing of the evolution of land subsidence due to groundwater pumping, and are at present the most powerful predictive tool in evaluating potential future land subsidence.
developments. The paucity of data remains a major limitation to model applications and the reliability of their predictions. (Calderhead et al., 2011)

A number of tools are used to measure and monitor land subsidence due to extraction of groundwater including first order levelling (Bell 1981; Bell et al. 2002; Bell and Price 1991), borehole and tape extensometers (Carpenter 1993; Carruth et al. 2007; Pope and Burbey 2004; Riley 1969), and Global Positioning System (GPS) networks (Bell et al. 2002; Ikehara et al. 1998; Ikehara and Phillips 1994; Sned and Galloway 2000). Recently, interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) has emerged as a powerful remote sensing tool to measure and monitor vertical surface displacements with time (Ameelung et al. 1999; Bell et al. 2002; Galloway et al. 1999; Hoffmann et al. 2001; Massonet and Feigl 1998; Rosen et al. 2004). The ability of InSAR for providing useful information to geomorphologists and hydrologists has been less recognized (Smith, 2002).

In the late 1950s, the idea of imaging the Earth first emerged, yet the scientific use began with the Seasat satellite in 1978. The early images of ground echoes were first considered to be undesirable noise. They became a useful signal to study large areas as radars were installed on airplanes and later satellites (Massonet et al., 1998).

InSAR data have been utilized in previous investigations of land subsidence caused by earthquakes (Massonet et al., 1993), volcanoes (Masson et al., 1995), and land subsidence (Massonet et al., 1997; Fielding et al., 1998; Galloway et al., 1998). Subsequent images from a side-looking radar mounted on a satellite is used by InSAR for estimation of vertical displacement of the ground surface associated with land subsidence. An antenna transmits a pulsed train of microwaves from a side-looking satellite-borne radar. The waves are reflected by the earth, and the same antenna receive and record them as a continuous image along the track of the satellite. The same area of ground is re-imaged in each orbital repetition cycle of the satellite. Differentiating the phase differences between two radar images of a specific area leads to the creation of interferograms that are helpful for measurement of vertical displacement of the ground surface (Galloway and Hoffmann, 2007).

The vertical displacement estimated by InSAR is not an absolute value, but rather a relative value which depends on the orbital repetition cycle of the satellite. Various factors influence the accuracy of InSAR result. Therefore, corrections such as phase variations within a pixel, orbital trajectories, topography and atmospheric conditions should be applied in order to derive more precise results. (Massonnet and Feigl, 1998). InSAR can depict the centimetre-scale ground subsidence resulted by the exploitation of groundwater, petroleum, or coal. Interferometric observations of most researchers have been successfully validated with ground-based survey measurements (Smith, 2002).

Results from InSAR analysis can be used for calibration of numerical methods that simulate land subsidence caused by the exploitation of groundwater. InSAR data were used to calibrate numerical modelling by Herrera et al. (2009) and Tomas et al. (2010).

Overall, the presented paper is mainly aimed to use results from a long-term multi-sensor InSAR analysis and finite element simulation to predict land subsidence in Tehran.

2. GEOLOGICAL AND HYDROLOGICAL INFORMATION

Tehran Basin with the area of approximately 2250 km² is located in Tehran province between the Alborz Mountains to the north and the Arad and Fashapouye Mountains to the south. It is a semi-arid area and has relatively flat and gently sloping topography. Land subsidence occurred in the southwestern part of the basin due to the withdrawal of groundwater. A subsidence area of 415.64 km² is located at 35° 30’ N to 35° 42’ N latitude and 50° 55’ E to 51° 23’ E longitude (GSI, 2005).

The hydrogeological units of the southwestern plain are divided into the aquifers and aquitards that constitute the region’s aquifer system. The hydrogeological units consist of three aquifers and three aquitards:

- Aquifer 1 (shallow aquifer) extending down from 7 m to more than 30 m.
- Aquifer 2 extending down from 35 m to more than 65 m.
- Aquifer 3 (deep aquifer) extending downward from 70 m.

Deep aquifer (3) is the major aquifer for groundwater extraction in the region. This aquifer is mainly composed of fine alluvial sand and silt sand with a thickness of 2 to 20 m. The maximum depth of drilling in this area is 100 m; thus, the lower boundary of the aquifer 3 is not precisely known. It appears that the thickness of this aquifer is greater than of the other two (GSI, 2005).

The groundwater level has been measured on a monthly basis since 1996 by Tehran’s regional water authority in several wells located in different places across Tehran. Although the piezometric head of the wells are measured monthly, many intermittent gaps exist in the data record. Groundwater has its lowest levels in northeast part of the area, but it is higher in the southern and eastern part and reaches to the depth of 2 m in the southeast of the area. The groundwater flow direction is from the north to the south-southeast (GSI, 2005). Considering the fact that land subsidence in Tehran is highly related to exploitation of groundwater, the data record of the piezometric head of wells are used in numerical simulation of land subsidence at points where surface displacements were reported by InSAR data.

Alluvial aquifers usually contain different proportions of gravel, sand, silt, and clay deposited as layers and lenses of varying thicknesses. Tehran alluvial deposits consist of four stratigraphic units A (Hezardarreh formation), B (Kahrizak formation, Qt1), C (Tehran alluvial formation, Qt2), and D (recent alluvium) (Rieben, 1955).

Geophysical investigations were carried out in the studied area in order to obtain more information about the geological and hydrological features. The map indicating changes in the thickness of alluvium was proposed by the interpretation of geophysical data in Figure 1 (GSL2005). Thickness of the alluvium reaches more than 400 m in the northern part and about 300 m in the northwest of the area. In some points in north, east, southeast, and southwest of the area, the thickness of the alluvium is less than 25 m in accordance with arising in the level of bedrock.
There are a number of studies investigating the land subsidence in Tehran by using various methods. Although the conventional InSAR technique has high capabilities, it has some limitations with atmospheric disturbances and lack of image coherence (Foroughnia et al., 2019). Some investigations are carried out to improve the phase quality (Azadnezhad et al., 2019). Considering all the strong points and weaknesses, the data provided by Motagh et al. (2018) is used in the presented paper. Remote sensing radar interferometer measurements were carried out by Motagh et al. (2018). SAR images from the Envisat, ALOS, TerraSAR-X, and Sentinel-1 were used to investigate land subsidence in Tehran. In this study, the results of an Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) time series analysis of Tehran using different SAR data between 2003 and 2017 are presented. By constructing more than 400 interferograms derived from 39 Envisat ASAR (C-band), 10 ALOS PALSA (L-band), 48 TerraSAR-X (X-band), and 64 Sentinel-1 (C-band) SAR datasets, displacement time series from interferometric observations using the Small Baseline (SB) technique are compiled. Datasets of different satellites have different time period coverage. Three Envisat datasets and one ALOS dataset span 2003 to 2010. The Envisat data were collected in both ascending and descending orbits, but the ALOS data were only collected in an ascending orbit. After a two-year gap, three ascending TerraSAR-X datasets cover the study area between 2012 and 2014. At last, Sentinel-1 data in both ascending and descending paths cover a two-year period between 2015 and 2017 (Motagh et al., 2018).

SAR datasets that were used in Motagh’s study have different spatial coverage. Sentinel-1 dataset covers all the agricultural areas in the Tehran plain. By contrast, the Envisat and ALOS datasets mostly cover the subsidence basin to the southwest of Tehran. A small area near Tehran is covered by TerraSAR-X datasets. Acquisitions of Sentinel-1 and TerraSAR-X are semi-regular. While, the Envisat and ALOS datasets have regular temporal distributions, and there are many missing acquisitions (Motagh et al., 2018). SAR interferometry was conducted using Single Look Complex (SLC) images. The precise orbit data of satellite was used to re move the flat-earth phase. 3-arcsecond SRTM DEM were used to calculate and remove the topographic phase from the interferograms. Afterward, the remaining phase mainly contains the displacement, atmospheric artifact, and noise. The atmospheric artifact in InSAR consists of phase delays in the ionosphere and troposphere. In the study area, no clear evidence of ionospheric delays was shown in visual inspection of the interferograms even for L-band data except for some long-wavelength phase ramps across some of the interferograms, which might be caused by the ionospheric delay. These ramps are mixed with the previously mentioned orbital ramps and can be corrected by the trend removal. Tropospheric delays are generated by vertical variations, long-wavelength lateral variations, or short-wavelength turbulence in the atmosphere (Bekaert et al., 2015). The atmospheric delay resulted by vertical variations is highly correlated with the topography. Nonetheless, the topography in the study area is very smooth with a slight north-south slope; Thus, this effect is negligible. The other two sources of tropospheric artifacts can produce cm-scale errors in the interferograms. The part which is not temporally correlated is estimated and removed during the time series analysis (Motagh et al., 2018).

All of the SLC SAR images are coregistered and resampled to a reference SAR frame in order to generate the stack of small Baseline interferograms for each dataset. Then, using the SAR images in a common geometry, subsidence interferograms are produced. The unwrapped interferograms of the subsidence network are inverted in a least-squares adjustment to recover the displacement time series and the average rate of displacement. Errors should be filtered as much as possible to attain a reliable estimation of displacement. The time span of each individual SAR dataset used in this study is restricted to a few years. Moreover, the period between 2003 and 2010 is only covered by haphazard data acquisitions of Envisat and ALOS. This impedes obtaining homogeneous and long-term information about the dynamics of land subsidence in the study area. (Motagh et al., 2018). Joining the InSAR time series derived from various datasets enables us to obtain a long time series with a higher temporal resolution (Castellazzi et al., 2017).

Motagh et al. (2018) compared the results derived from InSAR with levelling measurements and showed that they are generally in good agreement. The precision of the displacement rates is better than 0.5 cm/year (Motagh et al., 2018).

The interferogram proposed by Motagh et al. (2018) identifies three dominant subsidence features: (1) The southwest of Tehran, (2) Near IKA international airport, (3) Near Varamin (Motagh et al., 2018).

The largest deformation feature is reported in the area located in the southwest of Tehran, east of Malard and north of Esalamshahr. Envisat results report that the maximum rate of land subsidence in this area is more than 25 cm/year from 2003 to 2005. Nevertheless, it decreased to approximately 20 cm/year based on Sentinel-1 results. Motagh et al. (2018) suggest that groundwater management and reduced rate of pumping accounts for the slightly lower rate of land subsidence in recent years. Few smaller subzones exist in the main subsidence bowl which are illustrated by dashed lines in Figure 2.

Slight changes are seen in the subsidence pattern of sub-zone one and two over 15 years. In contrast, sub-zone three is considerably spreading eastward, which puts the urban area of Tehran under jeopardy of deteriorating effects of land subsidence. The principal reason justifying the eastward expansion of the subsidence area is the augment in demand for groundwater in the urban area. Land subsidence has affected many buildings in a large urban area of approximately 40 km² north of the Azadegan Expressway. It has also damaged some infrastructure, including two railways (Tehran-Qom and Tehran-Tabriz), the Tehran Metro line 3 and several high ways (Motagh et al., 2018).

Figure 1. Alluvium thickness map of Tehran plain (GSI, 2005)

### 3. INSAR DATA

The interferograms even for L-band data except for some long-wavelength phase ramps across some of the interferograms, which might be caused by the ionospheric delay. These ramps are
The water pressures should be activated in a calculation. Groundwater pressure is used as input data for deformation analysis. The water pressures can be produced. A phreatic level is given as input data, pore pressures according to the specified water weight. After the input of depth of the model, and external water pressures can be generated. A phreatic level is the phreatic level, which is given as input data, pore pressures. Proper geotechnical parameters were assigned to the model. The soil layers were defined according to the soil profiles. Then, element provides a fourth order interpolation for displacements. The mesh is based on 15-node elements. The 15-noded triangle type soils as a function of time. Plaxis gives us the opportunity to perform accurate elastic-plastic consolidation analyses. The drained and undrained behaviour of soil was considered for consolidation analysis. The water pressures should be activated in a calculation by the activation of the soil weight using the SMweight Parameter, which represents the proportion of gravity that is applied. The value of 1 can be accepted, which implies that the full soil weight is activated. In fact, stress points in elements with a zero steady pore pressure are considered to be unsaturated, whereas stress points that have a non-zero steady pore pressure are considered to be saturated. Thus, the value of the pore pressure determines whether the saturated soil weight (σ sat) or the unsaturated soil weight (σ unsat) is applied in a deformation analysis.

Consolidation boundaries should be appropriately considered for consolidation analysis, and in this model, vertical boundaries were closed. Mohr-Coulomb model was selected as the constitutive model for the soil. Mohr-Coulomb model is widely used in modelling geotechnical problems, and according to its simplicity was chosen in the presented study. The other main advantage of Mohr-Coulomb in comparison to other constitutive models is that it needs fewer input variables which is important regarding lack of geotechnical information in the studied area. The drained and undrained behaviour of soil was considered for granular and fine-grained soils, respectively.

Stage construction was utilized for the analysis. In the first stage, initial stresses were generated. In general, the initial stresses at a stress point are as a result of the weight of the material above this point and the value of SMweight. The value of initial stress at each point is calculated using Equation 1.

$$\sigma'_{v,0} = \sum Mweight(\sum \gamma_i \cdot h_i - p_w) \cdot \sigma'_{h,0} = K_0 \cdot \sigma'_{v,0} \quad (1)$$

Where

- $\gamma_i$ = the unit weight of individual layers
- $h_i$ = the layer depth
- $p_w$ = the initial pore pressure in the stress point.

After the generation of the initial stresses, the initial situation of the finite element method is complete. Hence, the finite element calculation can be executed. Therefore, determining the types of calculation to be performed and types of loading or construction stages to be activated in the calculations is the next necessary step.

In our finite element model for land subsidence, the groundwater level is decreased in the second stage, and deformation is calculated using plastic calculation. A Plastic calculation is used in the cases where the deformation should be calculated without taking the decay of excess pore pressures with time into account. The stiffness matrix in a normal elastic calculation is based on the original undeformed geometry. This type of calculations is proper in most practical geotechnical applications.

After the second phase of the construction, a consolidation phase is introduced to allow the excess pore pressure dissipate entirely. In this phase, the irrecoverable deformation of the soil will be calculated. A Consolidation analysis examines the development or dissipation of excess pore pressures in water-saturated clay-type soils as a function of time. Plaxis gives us the opportunity to perform accurate elastic-plastic consolidation analyses. The regulating equations of consolidation as used in Plaxis follow Biot’s theory (Biot,1941). Darcey’s law for fluid flow and elastic behavior of the soil skeleton are also assumed. The formulation is based on the small strain theory. According to Terzaghi’s principle, stresses are divided into effective stresses and pore pressures:

$$\sigma = \sigma' + m(P_{steady} + P_{excess}) \quad (2)$$

Where

- $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{xz}, \sigma_{yz})^T$
- $m = (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)^T$
- $\sigma'$ = the effective stresses,
- $P_{excess}$ = the excess pore pressure
- $m$ = a vector containing unity terms for normal stress components and zero terms for the shear stress components

Within Plaxis $P_{steady}$ is defined as:

$$P_{steady} = \sum Mweight \cdot P_{input} \quad (3)$$
Where $P_{input}$ is the pore pressure generated in the input program based on phreatic lines. The constitutive equation is written in incremental form. Denoting an effective stress increment as $\dot{s}$ and a strain increment as $\dot{\epsilon}$, the constitutive equation is:

$$\dot{s} = M \cdot \dot{\epsilon}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

Where $\dot{\epsilon} = (\dot{e}_{xx} \dot{e}_{yy} \dot{e}_{zz} \dot{e}_{yx} \dot{e}_{zy} \dot{e}_{zx})^T$ and $M$ represents the material stiffness matrix.

Material properties used in this study are obtained from borehole logs presented by site investigation and laboratory tests. Additionally, some modifications were carried out on the soil parameters from an engineering judgment perspective. Input variables for the Mohr-Coulomb model are young’s module ($E$) as the basic stiffness modulus, Poisson’s ratio ($\nu$), Cohesion ($C$), Friction angle ($\phi$).

**4.2. Verification**

The model previously defined has been used to simulate land subsidence in 3 points (A, B, C) shown in Figure 2. These points were chosen to verify the calculation of land subsidence by the finite element model, since they are the representatives of various rates of land subsidence in the area. The geotechnical information of each point was obtained from borehole logs presented in the geotechnical dataset of Iran. Some modifications on soil parameters were carried out using geotechnical tables suggesting characteristic of different types of soils by Burt Look. Geotechnical information of boreholes is presented in Table 1.

**Rate of land subsidence based on InSAR data at each point during 2015-2017** is presented in Table 2. Results from numerical modelling are in good agreement with the InSAR data available.

Table 2. Rate of land subsidence based on InSAR data at selected points (A, B, C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of years</th>
<th>Point A (cm/year)</th>
<th>Point B (cm/year)</th>
<th>Point C (cm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**4.3. Calibration**

InSAR data available in the study area were used to calibrate the numerical model in order to obtain the ability to predict the rate of land subsidence in critical points. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to identify the most influential input parameters in the problem. According to the results of sensitivity analysis, the initial level of groundwater, decrease in groundwater level, Young’s module of the thickest layer and depth of bedrock were the most important parameters.

**5. RESULTS**

A numerical and calibrated model can be used to predict rate of land subsidence in future years assuming any reasonable rate of water extraction. Based on the calculated results, the predicted rate of land subsidence in the selected points (points A, B, C) during 2015-2020 assuming constant rate of groundwater pumping similar to 2015 – 2017 is presented in Table 3. The rate of land subsidence assuming doubled rate of water extraction in the selected points is presented in Table 4. The rate of land subsidence assuming half rate of water extraction in the selected points is presented in Table 5.

**Table 3. Predicted rate of land subsidence at selected points A, B, C assuming constant rate of groundwater extraction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of years</th>
<th>Point A (cm/year)</th>
<th>Point B (cm/year)</th>
<th>Point C (cm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017 – 2019</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 – 2022</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>11.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Predicted rate of land subsidence at selected points A, B, C assuming doubled rate of groundwater extraction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of years</th>
<th>Point A (cm/year)</th>
<th>Point B (cm/year)</th>
<th>Point C (cm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017 – 2019</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td>27.17</td>
<td>24.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 – 2020</td>
<td>27.48</td>
<td>23.87</td>
<td>23.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 – 2021</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>20.57</td>
<td>22.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 – 2022</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>17.27</td>
<td>20.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Geotechnical information at selected points (A, B, C)**
Table 5. Predicted rate of land subsidence at selected points A, B, C assuming a half rate of groundwater extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of years</th>
<th>Point A (cm/year)</th>
<th>Point B (cm/year)</th>
<th>Point C (cm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017-2019</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>6.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-2020</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-2021</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-2022</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSION

1. In the presented study, InSAR time series investigation of land subsidence for the period of 2003-2017 and finite element modelling are successfully used to predict the rate of land subsidence in the south-western area of Tehran plain, Iran in future years.

2. The InSAR data indicate that the maximum rate of land subsidence in Tehran during the period of 2015-2017 is about 25 cm/year.

3. According to the calibrated model, if the rate of groundwater extraction in future years be as same as pumping rate during 2015-2017, the rate of land subsidence will decrease. If the rate of pumping groundwater increase in future years, the rate of land subsidence will grow to larger amounts.

4. Results from the finite element model indicate that the rate of land subsidence at each point decreases gradually overtime for any assumed rate of water extraction. The results are in good agreement with results derived by Motagh et al. (2018).
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