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ABSTRACT:

Coastline extraction is a fundamental work for coastal resource management and coastal environmental protection. Today, by using digital image processing techniques, coastline extraction can be done with remote sensing imagery systems. In this study, Landsat 8 Operational Land Imagery (OLI) data have been the main data source due to free access and sufficient spatial resolution for coast line extraction. This research is focused on determining the coastline length and measuring land area by using Landsat 8 OLI satellite image for Bodrum Peninsula, Turkey. Three commonly used methods have been applied in order to determine sea-land boundary line and its length, and area of the study area. The Automatic Water Extraction Index (AWEI), Iterative Self-Organizing Data Analysis Technique (ISODATA) unsupervised classification technique and on screen digitizing method was chosen for identification of coastal boundaries. Results of coastline length and land areas of Bodrum by using AWEI, ISODATA and on-screen digitizing are compared with each other. This study shows that with using optimal threshold value, AWEI can be used for coast line extraction method with coherently for Landsat 8 OLI satellite imagery. The overall results show that coastline extraction from satellite imagery can be done with sufficient accuracy using spectral water indices instead of time consuming on-screen digitizing.

1. INTRODUCTION

The coastline which has a dynamic nature refers to the most important line features on earth's surface (Alesheikh et al., 2007; Winarso et al., 2001). Monitoring coastline changes in time is essential to provide fundamental information about the situation that the environment is; due to this reason it is necessary to monitor the coastline dynamics with large spatial scales and for long time periods (Xu, 2018; Lui et al., 2017; Papakonstantinou et al., 2016). Calibrating and verifying numerical models (Kraus, 1996) at level rise (Leatherman, 2001), identification of legal property boundaries (Morton and Speed, 1998) and coastal survey-monitoring (Smith and Jackson, 1992) are examples of coastline investigations conducted (Boak and Turner, 2005). This task is difficult, time consuming, and sometimes impossible for a large area when traditional ground survey techniques are used (Cracknel, 1999). Alternatively, remotely sensed data provide important preliminary estimates of change. Although maps provide good spatial coverage, they are limited for temporal resolution and location (Dolan et al., 1983). Remote sensing technology provides continuous monitoring of shorelines and it is a very important source for detection of changes in coastlines (Kevin and El-Asmar, 1999). Multispectral imaging systems such as Landsat and Sentinel series provide broad spectral, spatial and temporal resolution. Coastline can be obtained by on screen digitizing features (using true or false colour images) or by using digital image processing techniques. There are numerous digital image processing techniques that allow extraction of coastline and mapping.

Density slicing methods for coastline delineation using single band Landsat TM; multiband methods to extract the water area (Du, Z., et al 2012; Mc Feeter, 1996; Xu, 2006; Wang et al, 2017; Feyisa et al, 2014) band rationing (Alesheikh et al., 2007; Niya et al, 2013) classification (Gurglia et al 2006; Ekercin 2007; Garcia et al., 2015) on screen digitizing (Goksel et al, 2001; Sameh et al, 2017; Niya et al., 2013) are methods to identify coastline from multispectral satellite images. This study investigates extraction coastline of Bodrum Peninsula with using three commonly used techniques; Automatic Water Extraction Index (AWEI) (Feyisa et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2017) Iterative Self-Organizing Data Analysis Technique (ISODATA) unsupervised classification technique (Papakonstantinou et al., 2016) and on screen digitizing method in order to determine land-sea boundary line and its length, and area of the Bodrum Peninsula. Results of coastline length and land areas of Bodrum by using AWEI, ISODATA and on-screen digitizing are compared with each other.

2. STUDY AREA AND DATA SETS

The study area of this research is Bodrum Peninsula which is in the Muğla, Turkey (Figure 1). The coast of the province is very indented and protruding, it consists of areas with a lot of limestone content due to its soil structure. The district is located on a peninsula between Gulluk in the north and Gokova Gulf in the south. Satellite image is dated to 11 September 2017 for Landsat 8 OLI.
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2.1 Data Sets

In this study, 1/50,000 scaled conventional maps and Landsat 8 OLI satellite data are used. Six bands of the Landsat 8 satellite images were used in the study, blue, green, red, near infrared (NIR), shortwave infrared 1(SWIR 1) and shortwave infrared 2 (SWIR 2). The characteristics of the corresponding bands used in the study are presented in Table 1. The programs used are ArcGIS 10.2.1, ENVI 5.2 and ERDAS Imagine 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI)</th>
<th>Bands</th>
<th>Wavelength (µm)</th>
<th>Res (m)</th>
<th>Area of Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 2 - Blue</td>
<td>0.452 - 0.512</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>bathymetric mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 3 - Green</td>
<td>0.533 - 0.590</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>to evaluate plant health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 4 - Red</td>
<td>0.636 - 0.673</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>distinguish vegetation trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 5 - Near Infrared (NIR)</td>
<td>0.851 - 0.879</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>biomass content and coastline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 6 - Shortwave Infrared (SWIR) 1</td>
<td>1.566 - 1.651</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Distinguish the soil's moisture content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 7 - (SWIR) 2</td>
<td>2.107 - 2.294</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Moisture vegetation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) Sensor

3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Using the Landsat 8 OLI satellite image, the area calculation of the peninsula and coastline length are determined using different methods. The process steps are expressed in Figure 2.

3.1 Pre-processing

3.1.1 Geometric Correction: Landsat 8 OLI image was rectified and projected using Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinate system in the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS 84) datum. A second order polynomial transform was used to model the geometric distortions in the satellite image data. ArcMap IKONOS base map with a spatial resolution of 5 m was used as reference. Geometric correction was made using 31 ground control points. Registration error of image was found as 0.5 m pixel.

3.1.2 Atmospheric Correction: Dark Object Extraction (DOS) was used to remove the effect of atmospheric scattering. DOS is a simple empirical atmospheric correction method for satellite images. It assumes that reflectance from dark objects includes a substantial component of atmospheric scattering. Dark Subtraction is used to remove the effects of atmospheric scattering from the image by subtracting a pixel value that represents a background signature from each band. With subtracting this value from every pixel in the band, the scattering is removed (Crane, 1971).

3.2 Image Processing

The extraction of information with remote sensing data has always been an important study area (Hu et al, 2008). In this framework, AWEI, ISODATA unsupervised classification and on-screen digitizing image processing techniques was evaluated and compared for coastline extraction from Landsat 8 OLI data.

3.2.1 Index: AWEI (Automatic Water Extraction Index) index is used in the study. The main objective of the AWEI is to distinguish between water and non-water pixels; taking the difference of bands, collecting bands and maximizing using coefficients. AWEI is formulated to effectively isolate non-water pixels, including dark, built-up surfaces with urban background (Feyisa et al, 2014). The coefficients used in these equations aim to maximize the separability of water and nonwater surfaces in low reflectance. AWEIsh is mainly effective to eliminate non-water pixel areas and used in areas with an urban background, while AWEIsh is formulated with further accuracy to remove shadow pixels that AWEIsh may not effectively eliminate. AWEIsh which is expressed in equation 1 used in this study (Feyisa et al, 2014).

\[
AWEIsh = 4 \times (\text{Green} - \text{SWIR1}) - (0.25 \times \text{NIR} + 2.75 \times \text{SWIR2})
\]  
Equation 1
3.2.2 On Screen Digitizing: Extraction of shoreline by on-screen digitizing is easy and costless method. This method is used to extract shoreline by digitization of a shoreline from an available geo-information. In this method the shoreline has been drawn as a line at intersection between water and lands by the operator manually and all relevant map objects are vectorized. An improved accuracy can be achieved by zooming properties and digitizing by same analyst. The disadvantage of this method is to be time consuming. In this study the scale was selected as 1:2000 as possible.

3.2.3 ISODATA Unsupervised Classification: The Iterative Self-Organizing Data Analysis Technique (ISODATA) is an unsupervised classification algorithm. In this study ISODATA classification method was used to classify into 200 classes and generalized to 2 clusters as water and non-water. For the accuracy assessment process, 100 randomly stratified sample point was created in ArcMap software. Overall accuracy was calculated for accuracy assessment.

4. RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

Three different methods of coast line extraction were applied to Landsat 8 OLI Bodrum Peninsula images. The comparison of AWEI, on screen digitizing and ISODATA Unsupervised classification were done. ISODATA classification regrouped into only two classes as water and non-water area. Overall accuracy was achieved over 85%. The land-sea boundary line resulting from water-non-water obtained from the classification has been converted into vector data. On screen digitizing method is reference for this study while calculating the coastline length. Comparison of AWEI and ISODATA can be seen in the Table 2, Table 3 and Figure 3.

When the on screen digitizing data were used as reference data, the area difference for AWEI was found to be 63.15 hectares (0.1%) and 236.65 hectares (0.4%) for the classification results. Also, length difference for AWEI was found as 9.339 km (3.5%) and for classification results was found as 16.252 km (6.1%).

Study area does not have a smooth boundary and ISODATA classification could not detect the boundary properly. The total coastline length of Bodrum Peninsula was calculated shorter than the two methods by ISODATA classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On-screen digitizing (Reference)</th>
<th>AWEI</th>
<th>ISODATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area (ha)</td>
<td>57,902.75</td>
<td>57,839.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (ha)</td>
<td>63.15</td>
<td>236.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference (%)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Length difference results

Manual on screen digitizing method process is time consuming than the other methods and needs analyst expert but on screen digitizing method has high accuracy. The reason of good result of AWEI is attributed to the special characteristic of the water that absorbs more MIR light than the NIR light. In threshold algorithm, pixel water with values equal to or greater than the specified threshold value is classified as water, while in other cases the pixel is classified as water-free. After the AWEI index was converted from the raster to the vector, the coastal indentations were more accurately detected. A carefully selected threshold application is required for application. One of the problem of AWEInsh is determination of ideal threshold value. In addition to above mentioned, the discrimination capability of coast and sea is not sufficient due to medium spatial resolution when comparing with on screen digitizing method for small scale studies. Due to indented shape of Bodrum Peninsula, in some regions, the continuation of the rock fragments under water leads causes calculation of the coastal area more than real for on screen digitizing method and AWEI method. The sea-facing side of the rock fields, which appear to be cut with some straight knife, makes the pixels look darker. In addition, many small berths and small wharves belonging to the marinas in the Bodrum Peninsula could not be detected even on screen digitizing method due to medium spatial resolution.

In large scale studies, satellite imageries which have medium temporal (16 days) and medium spatial resolution (30 m) are more ideal than other data sources for monitoring the coastline zone areas. Landsat 8 OLI has very great potential for coastline extraction due to having SWIR-2 (MIR) band. This is the reason of success of AWEInsh. In this paper, coastline length calculated with existing various methods has been compared with appropriate water index for Landsat 8. In the future study finer spatial resolution can be used such as Sentinel 2 to further studies to support for testing the accuracy of multiband index due to mixed classification of non-water features.

Figure 3. Visualisation of three methods differences
5. REFERENCES


