SEA ICE THICKNESS MEASUREMENT BY GROUND PENETRATING RADAR FOR GROUND TRUTH OF MICROWAVE REMOTE SENSING DATA
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ABSTRACT:

Observation of sea ice thickness is one of key issues to understand regional effect of global warming. One of approaches to monitor sea ice in large area is microwave remote sensing data analysis. However, ground truth must be necessary to discuss the effectivity of this kind of approach. The conventional method to acquire ground truth of ice thickness is drilling ice layer and directly measuring the thickness by a ruler. However, this method is destructive, time-consuming and limited spatial resolution. Although there are several methods to acquire ice thickness in non-destructive way, ground penetrating radar (GPR) can be effective solution because it can discriminate snow-ice and ice-sea water interface. In this paper, we carried out GPR measurement in Lake Saroma for relatively large area (200m by 300m, approximately) aiming to obtain grand truth for remote sensing data. GPR survey was conducted at 5 locations in the area. The direct measurement was also conducted simultaneously in order to calibrate GPR data for thickness estimation and to validate the result. Although GPR Bscan image obtained from 600MHz contains the reflection which may come from a structure under snow, the origin of the reflection is not obvious. Therefore, further analysis and interpretation of the GPR image, such as numerical simulation, additional signal processing and use of 200MHz antenna, are required to move on thickness estimation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Observation of sea ice thickness is one of key issues to understand regional effect of global warming. One of approaches to monitor sea ice in large area is microwave remote sensing data analysis. For example, VV-to-HH backscattering ratio obtained by Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) system can be used to estimate ice thickness (Wakabayashi et al., 2004a). However, ground truth must be necessary to discuss the effectivity of this kind of approach.

The conventional method to acquire ground truth of ice thickness is drilling ice layer and directly measuring the thickness by a ruler. However, this method is destructive, time-consuming and point information resulting in limited spatial resolution. Although there are several methods to acquire ice thickness in non-destructive way such as electromagnetic induction, sonar and so on, ground penetrating radar (GPR) can be effective solution because it can discriminate snow-ice and ice-sea water interface and comparative higher spatial resolution than the other methods (Liu et Al., 2014a). GPR which utilizes microwave is used to detect underground anomaly in subsurface (shallow than several meters) such as buried pipe, cavity, layer structures and even ice thickness (Tebaldini et al., 2016a). On Feb. 2017, we carried out GPR measurement in Lake Saroma, which is a brackish lake, in order to confirm utilization capability of GPR and estimated ice thickness (Matsumoto et al., 2017).

The goal of our study is to obtain ground truth for remote sensing data by using GPR. In this paper, we carried out GPR measurement in Lake Saroma for relatively large area (200m by 300m, approximately) aiming to obtain grand truth for remote sensing data. The methodology of thickness estimation and preliminay result will be presented.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Ice thickness measurement by GPR

Figure 1 shows schematic view of ice thickness measurement by GPR. GPR transmits microwave to underground and receives reflected wave caused by an interface of two different materials in terms of dielectric constant. From this figure, snow and ice thickness can be estimated by the time difference of arrival time of the reflections coming from snow-ice interface and ice-sea water interface. However, the propagation velocity, which is unknown and determined by the dielectric constant of material, is necessary in order to estimate these thicknesses. The propagation velocity v is given by

\[ v = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}} \]  

where \( c \) = speed of electromagnetic wave in vacuum \( \varepsilon_r \) = dielectric constant of a medium

Our strategy to estimate snow and ice thickness is as following. Firstly we carry out GPR survey and acquire Bscan image which is a set of reflected signal along survey line. The direct measurement on the survey line is also conducted simultaneously. The precise position information of GPR antenna also should be acquired by RTK-GNSS in order to
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correlate Bscan image and result of the direct measurement. If the reflected signal from snow-ice interface and ice-sea water interface are observed, the velocity in the medium can be estimated by considering the time difference of arrival time of these reflections at the location where the direct measurement is conducted. Then, the snow and ice thickness can be estimated along the survey line by assuming the velocity in the medium is constant for all over the line.

### 2.2 Numerical Simulation for Ice Thickness Measurement by FDTD method

An electromagnetic field analysis was implemented in order to understand what kind of reflection we observe in ice thickness measurement and to confirm the strategy above. In this paper we used gprMax which is a free numerical GPR simulator based on FDTD (Finite-Difference Time-Domain) method (Warren et al., 2016a).

We assumed three layered model which consists of snow, ice and sea water, having layer thickness of 20cm, 20cm and 40cm, respectively. The dielectric constant of them is assumed as 6, 4 and 81, and the conductivity is assumed $10^{-5}$S/m, $10^{-3}$S/m, and $100$S/m, respectively by referring to a list of electric properties (Daniels, 2004). In this simulation, we assumed 600MHz GPR system by feeding first derivative of Gaussian pulse with central frequency of 600MHz as an electromagnetic source.

Figure 2 shows result of the simulation. Three main reflections can be seen, which correspond to 1) Air-snow interface and direct wave from transmitter, 2) Snow-ice interface, 3) Ice-sea water interface, respectively. Since the reflected signal has both of positive and negative peaks, an absolute value of analytic signal was calculated by using Hilbert transform in order to obtain a single peak. Then arrival time of the reflected signal was determined. From the time difference of these three reflections, which correspond to $t_1$, $t_2$ and $t_3$, the thickness of snow and ice were estimated as 19.41cm and 20.03cm, respectively. The results are well agreed with actual thickness in the simulation model. Based on the simulation result, we summarised a flowchart to estimate ice and snow thickness as shown in Figure 3.

### 3. FIELD EXPERIMENT

#### 3.1 Test Field

Field experiment was carried out in Lake Saroma, north east of Hokkaido, Japan, on 18th to 22 of February 2018. Figure 4 shows satellite image of Lake Saroma taken by Sentinel-2 (Jan. 18th, 2018). Lake Saroma is facing to Sea of Okhotsk and connected by two inlets resulting in brackish lake. The lake is frozen over only winter season. Since the salinity of lake water is almost same as that of sea water, the ice of Lake Saroma can be considered as First Year ice of the sea. From Figure 4, the ice formed east and west side of the lake when the image was taken. The test field is located in the west side of the lake indicated by rectangler.

Figure 5 (a) shows Satellite image around the test field. The area indicated by an arrow can be discriminated by the crack as shown in Figure 5 (b). Figure 5 (c) shows location of GPR survey lines in the right side of the crack area indicated by rectangular in Figure 5 (a). GPR survey was conducted at 5 locations in the area in order to cover relatively large area.
The average thickness of snow is 9.7 cm, 9.0 cm and 9.2 cm with standard deviation of 2.2 cm, 1.9 cm and 2.5 cm respectively. The overall average and standard deviation of snow are 10.1 cm and 2.2 cm, and those of ice are 26.0 cm and 3.0 cm, respectively. When we carried out the experiment on 2017, the average thickness of snow and ice was 15.5 cm and 19.7 cm, respectively. Therefore, the snow and ice was about 5 cm thinner and 6 cm thicker than 2017.

We also observed the condition between snow and ice layer. As for No.1, there was a mixture of snow and water above ice layer at distance of 9 m to 18 m. As for No.2 and No.4, we found that snow just above ice layer is bit wet condition at all points.

4.2 GPR Bscan Image

Figure 8 shows GPR Bscan image obtained from 600MHz antenna for all survey line. Horizontal axis indicates survey distance which is directory obtained from hip chain encoder, and vertical axis indicates arrival time of the reflected signal. Note that aspect ratio of these figures are different depending on survey distance. As for distance axis, positioning error still exists caused by hip chain encoder. Roughly speaking, the error of distance of survey line is almost smaller than -4.5% compared to GNSS results in this measurement. This means that if we carry out GPR survey with 20 m distance, the GPR records the data for only 19.1 m. Therefore, position synchronization and GPR data alignment by GNSS is necessary to correlate GPR Bscan image and direct measurement. As for time axis, although GPR records the signal up to 80 nsec, the figures are shown up to 20 nsec. Since the reflection from ice - sea water interface would arrive about 10 nsec after the direct coupling arrives according to the simulation as shown in Figure 2, 20 nsec is enough long to see the result, because the direct coupling arrived about 5 nsec in all Bscan images.

From the figures, the layer like structure can be observed in part of Figure 8 (a), (b), (c) and (e), and whole of (d) as indicated by arrow. These reflections are not completely horizontal but vertically changes. Although this implies that these signals can be caused by some structures under the snow, it is not obvious where the reflection comes from at this moment. Since the average snow and ice thickness was about 5 cm thinner and 6 cm thicker than 2017, we may need to adjust the numerical simulation model in order to figure out origin of these reflections.

Besides, horizontal reflection can be seen at 9 nsec and 18 nsec in Figure 8 (a), (b) and (c). These may not be a reflection from a layer structure but something caused by antenna coupling or reflection from snow surface, because these reflection is horizontally constant. These reflections should be eliminated because they may cover the weak signal arriving at same time. Average subtraction, which subtracts mean trace of Bscan image from each trace, may be effective for this purpose.

Figure 9 shows Bscan image obtained from 200MHz antenna at survey line of No.4. The layer like structure indicated by an arrow can be clearly seen in the image. Although lower frequency antenna cannot detect small changes due to low resolution image, it may be better to get perspective of the structure. Therefore the use of 200MHz data also should be considered.
5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we carried out GPR measurement in Lake Saroma for relatively large area (200m by 300m, approximately) aiming to obtain grand truth for remote sensing data. GPR survey was conducted at 5 locations in the area. The direct measurement was also conducted simultaneously in order to calibrate GPR data for thickness estimation and to validate the result. Although GPR Bscan image obtained from 600MHz contains the reflection which may come from a structure under snow, the origin of the reflection is not obvious. Therefore, further analysis and interpretation of the GPR image, such as numerical simulation, additional signal processing and use of 200MHz antenna, are required to move on thickness estimation. Looking at all Bscan images, different survey lines give different image features. This difference may reflect the local difference of snow and ice condition. Further consideration how associate the GPR data with remote sensing data in terms of spatial and time scale will be a future work.
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