AUTOMATIC PARKING OF SELF-DRIVING CAR BASED ON LI DAR
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ABSTRACT:

To overcome the deficiency of ultrasonic sensor and camera, this paper proposed a method of autonomous parking based on the self-driving car, using HDL-32E LiDAR. First the 3-D point cloud data was preprocessed. Then we calculated the minimum size of parking space according to the dynamic theories of vehicle. Second the rapidly-exploring random tree algorithm (RRT) algorithm was improved in two aspects based on the moving characteristic of autonomous car. And we calculated the parking path on the basis of the vehicle’s dynamics and collision constraints. Besides, we used the fuzzy logic controller to control the brake and accelerator in order to realize the stably of speed. At last the experiments were conducted in an autonomous car, and the results show that the proposed automatic parking system is feasible and effective.

1. INTRODUCTION

Autonomous vehicle is a hot research area now. And automatic parking as an important part of autonomous vehicle have been concerned by more and more researchers. Besides, as the number of car increases, parking has becoming an increasingly difficult matter. In this case, automatic parking is an indispensable part in our life.

The automatic parking system consists of target position designation, path planning and parking guidance (Wang, Song et al. 2014). The scanning laser radar (Jung, Cho et al. 2008), ultrasonic sensor, (Park, Kim et al. 2008) and binocular (Kaempchen, Franke et al. 2002) are used to gather exteroceptive information and identify the parking spot. However the ultrasonic sensor can’t adapt to the situation that the both sides of parking place is empty and there are lots of blind spots when using camera. In order to improve the efficiency of automatic parking, we chose the HDL-32 LiDAR to detect parking spot.

About the path planning and control, there are mainly about two categories(Marouf, Pollard et al. 2014). One is based on the fuzzy logic to transfer human driver’s parking skill to automatic parking controller(Laugier and Paromtchik 1996). Yanan Zhao designed novel fuzzy logic controller using the kinematic model of a skid steering autonomous ground vehicle((Zhao and Jr 2005). And Xiyang Yang designed a fuzzy logic control method combined hierarchical control and variable universe(Yang and You 2010). However it is difficult to model in this way.

In this paper, we choose the second way. And we proved the RRT algorithm to plan parking path.

2. PREPROCESSING AND CALCULATING

In order to increase efficiency, the preprocessing and segmentation of 3-D cloud data is necessary since the large amount of data. We extracted the available parking space information by recognizing the geometric feature of stopped car.

2.1 PREPROCESSING OF DATA

In china, traffic keeps on the right of the road. We need to find the parking spot on the right side of the car. And the obstacle information on the left side is used to constrain the parking path. However, the data in the distance will interfere the process of information extraction and increase the time and calculating cost. For this reason, we defined the region of interest (ROI). The distance to the left and right of LiDAR are 8m and 10m and the distance to the forward and backward of LiDAR are 16m and 8m.

We care about the information of parked cars and the obstacles. Ground data and the point data of tree are useless for us. The distance from LiDAR to ground is 2m. And the tree is taller than LiDAR. So the through filter is used to retain the data -2m<z<0m. There exist safe distance between parked cars and obstacles. Based on this feature, the Euclidean cluster is applied to extract parked cars and obstacles. At last we projected the data to XOY plane and replaced it by minimum bounding rectangle (MBR).

Figure 1(a) shows the original 3D point cloud. Figure 1(b) depicts the extracted ROI. The result of Euclidean cluster is depicted by Figure 1(c). And Figure 1(d) shows the MBR of parked cars and obstacles.

The distance between MBR compared to the minimum size of parking spot can determine the area of parking.
CALCULATING OF PARKING SPOT

2.2.1 Minimum parallel parking space calculating: Parking is a reversible process in this paper that means autonomous vehicle can drive out of the parking space according to the original track after parked into the parking space. The size of minimum parking spot is decided by kinematic constraint conditions and vehicle-crashed restrictions.

Figure 2 shows the sketch of parallel parking. Points abcd represent the autonomous car and ABCD represent the parked car. The point S is located at the center of the rear axle. Another center of rear axle is T when the advancement direction of autonomous vehicle is 45° relative to the X-axis. And E is the point when the car parallel to X-axis. So the curve STE means the track of vehicle abcd. When the car abcd drive out form the parking spot according to the track STE, and just collide with the car ABCD at the point B. The coordinates of B is equal to the length $L_p$ and width $W_p$ of the minimum size of parallel parking.

So the size of minimum parallel parking spot can be extracted by the following formula:

$$L_p = y_s = W + 2l_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$W_p = x_s = \min(x_{s1}, x_{s2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$x_{s1} = L_{ro} + l_s + \sqrt{(2R_{min} - l_s)(W + l_s) + (L - L_{ro})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$x_{s2} = L_{ro} + l_s + \sqrt{2R_{min} - \sqrt{((2 - \sqrt{2})R_{min} - W - l_s)[\sqrt{2}R_{min} + l_s]}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Were $W$ = the width of the vehicle, $L$ = The length of the vehicle, $L_{ro}$ = Front overhang, $L_{fo}$ = Rear overhang, $R_{min}$ = Minimum turn radius of vehicle, $L_{wb}$ = wheelbase, $L_f$ = Front gauge, $L_r$ = Rear gauge, $l_s$ = Safe distance between vehicle and the boundary of parking spot.

2.2.2 Minimum perpendicular parking space calculating: Perpendicular parking can divide into two situations according to the space in front of the parking spot. Figure 3(a) shows the situation when the parking space is enough. The size of minimum perpendicular parking spot can be extracted by the following formula:

$$L_{p1} = L + l_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$W_{p1} = W + 2l_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

And Figure 3(b) represent the insufficient parking space situation. In this situation, the condition of collision constraints can be described as follow: when the car abcd drive out form the parking spot, the right rear wheel collide with the parked car at the point B, and the left rear wheel collide with the parked car at the point C.

In this case, the following formula can be used:

$$L_{p2} = L + l_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$W_{p2} = x_C - x_B = \sqrt{R_{min}^2 + \frac{W^2}{4} + L_{ro}^2 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}R_{min}W}{4}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

These contributions have been peer-reviewed.
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3. PATH PLANNING

Rapidly-exploring Random Tree (RRT) is a fast and probabilistic algorithm which generally applied to robot path planning (Lavalle and Kuffner 2002). The basic RRT algorithm build the random tree to the global space at first, and then select a path as the planned path in the random tree. This way can explore the unknown area effectively. However the efficiency of this algorithm is low. In this paper, we improved it based on the moving characteristic of autonomous car.

As Figure 4 show, this paper improved the RRT algorithm (Kalisiak and Panne 2006) in two aspects. The maximum turning angle $\theta_{\text{max}} = 70^\circ$ is used to constrain the space of new random points. And the distance between random points and the target points is a reference factor to improve the approaching speed of random-dot to target-dot. Besides, before the collision detection between autonomous vehicle and obstacles in the new random-dot, it is necessary to acquire the advancement direction of autonomous vehicle in the new random-dot. Like the following formula depicts, the new direction of vehicle is the average value between initial value and the value from initial point to new random point.

$$\text{azimuth}(x_{\text{new}}) = \frac{\text{azimuth}(x_{\text{init}}) + \text{azimuth}(x_{\text{init}}, x_{\text{new}})}{2}$$  (9)

3.1 Path planning of parallel parking

After detecting the effective parking spot, autonomous vehicle need to find the start point to drive into the parking spot. When the car drive to the start point, the body of car should parallel to the long side of the parking spot, and then start to park to the spot followed by two arc which consisted by two minimum turning radius.

As Figure 5 depict, E is the start point, and S is the parking spot. The coordinates of S and E can be described as follow:

$$x_S = L_{ro} + l_s$$  (10)

$$y_S = W_p/2$$  (11)

$$x_E = \sqrt{2}R_{\text{min}} + x_S$$  (12)

$$y_E = W_p + y_S$$  (13)

In order to get into a right position, the starting place need to add L. So the coordinates of start point is $(\sqrt{2}R_{\text{min}} + x_S + L, W_p + y_S)$.

Figure 6 shows the planned parking path with improved RRT algorithm. The white points stand for the accessible path, and the yellow points represent the start point and parking point.
3.2 Path planning of perpendicular parking

As Figure 7 show, $R_{ro}$ is the radius of trajectory, $L_z$ means the direct distance of parking in parking place. The coordinates of start point, parking point and $L_z$ can be described as follow:

\[ x_S = \frac{W_p}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)
\[ y_S = L_{ro} + l_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)
\[ x_E = R_{min} + x_S \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)
\[ y_E = R_{min} + L_z + y_S \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)
\[ L_z = L_p - l_s - L_{ro} - \frac{\sqrt{2}R_{rr}}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Also we add $L$ to increase the space for getting into a right position. So the coordinates of start point is $(R_{min} + x_S + L, W_p + y_S)$.

4. CONTROL

In order to control the speed of autonomous vehicle stability, the fuzzy logic controller (Zhao and Jr 2005) is designed to control the brake and accelerator.

4.1 Fuzzy logic controller of brake

The acceleration and the speed difference between the current and expect speed are input variables of fuzzy logic controller. And the two variables are fuzzed by trapezium membership functions.

The sugeno model is used in this paper to get the output data of brake intuitive. It is a nonlinear model for which is convenient to express the dynamic characteristics of complex system. The rulebase of brake is showed in table 2. Figure 9 depicts the specific output data of brake.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed difference</th>
<th>Acceleration</th>
<th>Output controlled data of brake</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Rulebase of brake

Where: NS= negative small; M= middle; PS= positive small; PB= positive big; N= Negative; P= positive; S= small; MS= middle small; MB= middle big; B= big
4.2 Fuzzy logic controller of accelerator:

The membership function of accelerator is different from brake. The rulebase of accelerator is showed in table 3. Figure 10 depicts the specific output data of accelerator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed difference</th>
<th>Acceleration</th>
<th>Output controlled data of accelerator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Rulebase of accelerator

Where NB= Negative big; NS= Negative small
M= middle; PS= positive small
N= Negative; P= positive
S= small; MS =middle small
MB= middle big; B= big

Figure 10. Output data of brake

5. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

The algorithm was implemented on “TuZhi” autonomous vehicle of Wuhan University. The vehicle is equipped with a suite of sensors including velodyne-32E, GPS, IMU and a wheel encoder etc.

5.1 Experimental of parallel parking

Figure 11 shows the processing of parallel parking. Figure 11(a) shows the position of vehicle after it detected the efficient parking spot. And inferred the situation between parallel parking and perpendicular parking by the posture of parked cars. The position of vehicle showed in Figure 11(b) is the start parking point. Figure 11(c) shows the process of autonomous parking. And Figure 11(d) shows the vehicle stopped in parking spot.

Figure 11. The processing of parallel parking

Figure 12 shows the error of actual path and planned path of parking (green), and the red curve is planned path. From Figure 13 we can tell that the maximum error is about 10cm, and when stopped in the parking spot, the final error is about 5cm. Figure 14 expresses the difference between the actual and specified speed of vehicle.

Figure 12. The error of path

Figure 13. Specific difference of path

Figure 14. Difference between speed

5.2 Experimental of perpendicular parking

Figure 15 shows the processing of perpendicular parking. It is similar to parallel parking. Results show that it need 30s to parking the autonomous vehicle. And the error of actual and planned path of perpendicular parking (green) showed in Figure 16. Figures 17 and 18 show values of error and difference of speed.

Figure 15. The processing of perpendicular parking

Figure 16. Values of error and difference of speed
6. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed an automatic parking system based on self-driving vehicle. The start and parking point have been calculated based on the vehicle dynamic model. And have improved the RRT algorithm to plan the parking path. Furthermore two fuzzy logic controller have been designed to control the brake and accelerator to realize the steady of speed. From the results obtained, it can be seen that the automatic system is able to park the autonomous vehicle safe and fast.

Future works include the following: 1) eliminating the near blind area of LiDAR to improve the ability of environment perception. 2) Adding the camera data to recognize the white parking line to improve the ability of parking detection.
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