A SIMPLE CLOUD DETECTION METHOD FOR GF-1 WFV IMAGERY
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ABSTRACT:

Identification of clouds in optical images is often a necessary step toward their use. However, aimed at the cloud detection methods used on GF-1 is relatively less. In order to meet the requirement of accurate cloud detection in GF-1 WFV imagery, a new method based on the combination of band operation and spatial texture feature (BOTF) is proposed in this paper. First of all, the BOTF algorithm minimize interference of highlight surface and cloud regions by the band operation, and then distinguish between cloud area and non-cloud area with spatial texture feature. Finally, the cloud mask can be acquired by threshold segmentation method. The method was validated using scenes. The results indicate that the BOTF performs well under normal conditions, and the average overall accuracy of BOTF cloud detection is better than 90%. The proposed method can meet the needs of routine work.
Introduction

Cloud detection is one of the first difficulties encountered when trying to automatically process optical remote sensing data. The existence of cloud can cause serious problems for a variety of remote sensing activities, including: image compositing (Roy et al., 2010); correction for atmosphere effects (Vermote et al., 2002); calculation of vegetation indices (Huete et al., 2002); classification of land cover (Zhang et al., 2002); and most importantly in change detection (Zhu et al., 2014). Therefore, it is important to detect clouds in satellite images, and screen them accurately before any kind of remote sensing activity is performed.

However, accurate cloud detection for satellite images is quite challenging (Zhu et al., 2015). It is difficult to separate clouds from other clear-sky observations based on the reflectance of spectral bands, as there are many kinds of clouds and each kind may have different spectral characteristics (Platnick et al., 2003).

Over the years, a number of methods were developed for cloud identification, For example ISCCP (The Inter-national Satellite Cloud Climatology Project) (Rossow et al., 1985). APPOLLO (The AVHRR Processing Scheme Over Cloud Land And Ocean) (Kriebel et al., 1989). CLAVR (The NOAA Cloud Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer) (Stowe & Mcclain, 1991), etc. These algorithms are mainly based on the threshold for cloud detection. The threshold gain analysis method based on the histogram of the image itself (Liu et al., 2005) using sliding window or nested window (Liu J, 2010), statistical analysis was made on the different phase images, and ultimately determine the threshold (Yang et al., 2008), etc.

The Gaofen-1 satellite was the first of a series of satellites in the civilian High-Definition Earth Observation Satellite (HDEOS) program to realize a high-resolution and wide-swath optical remote sensing mission. It has been widely used in the field of geographic mapping, ocean and climate meteorological observation, water conservancy and forestry resources monitoring, urban and traffic fine management, epidemic assessment and public health emergency, Earth System Science Research (Ma et al., 2016; Shang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016), because of its convenience, large area and high resolution. It includes four integrated cameras with a 16-m spatial resolution and four-day temporal resolution. Each WFV camera has four multispectral bands, spanning the visible to the near-infrared spectral regions. The swath width of the GF-1 WFV imaging system increases to 800km when the four cameras are combined, which significantly improves the capabilities for large-scale surface observation and monitoring (Li et al., 2017). Although the above methods can effectively eliminate the cloud region for moderate spatial resolution sensors such as Land satellite (Landsat), Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), which sensors are usually equipped with more than one thermal band, short-wave infrared band or with water vapor/CO2 absorption bands, all of which are useful for cloud detection, however, they cannot be used directly for the identification of cloud pixels in the satellite images of the GF-1. Because of the different parameters such as band and spatial resolution. In this paper, we analyze the spectral characteristics of the cloud and the typical objects in different spectral bands according to the characteristics of GF-1 imagery, we develop a novel method which combination of band operation and texture features for identifying clouds, and the feasibility of the algorithm is verified by images.

Methods

The input data are Top of Atmosphere (TOA) reflectances for band 1, 2, 3, 4 (Table 1). For GF-1 imagery, Digital Number (DN) values are converted to TOA reflectances with the atmosphere correction tool, and we achieved this function by IDL programming. Subsequently, extracting cloud features by band operation. Finally, rule based on cloud texture feature is used to extraction cloud pixels. Figure 1 shows the process flow of the BOTF algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform and Payload</th>
<th>Band(μm)</th>
<th>Spatial resolution (m)</th>
<th>Swath width</th>
<th>Revisit time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GF-1 WFV camera</td>
<td>Band 1(0.45—0.52)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&gt;800km</td>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 2(0.52—0.59)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(when the four cameras are combined)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 3(0.63—0.69)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Band 4(0.77—0.89)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Main parameters of GF-1 WFV camera
2.1 Spectral analysis and band operation

Due to the high spectral variability of clouds and the Earth’s surface, automated accurate separation of clouds from normally illuminated surface conditions is difficult, especially in urban areas (Figure 2). As can be seen from the Figure 2, the reflectivity of cloud and town is very close, therefore, it is difficult to separate them rely on band threshold. The experiment found that RSB can effectively reduce the reflectivity of the cloud, and thus separating the town from the cloud. RSB can be defined by Eq. 1:

\[
RSB = \rho_{\text{red}} - \rho_{\text{blue}}
\]

where \(\rho_{\text{red}}\) and \(\rho_{\text{blue}}\) denote the red and blue band reflectance.

In order to show the effect of RSB on the reflectivity of the ground objects, the paper shows the operation results of the Beijing region, as shown in Figure 3. By comparing with the original image, it is found that the reflectivity of urban buildings is still very high, but the reflectivity of the cloud area is low. The proposed RSB aims to use the important characteristics of the clouds, described above, for detection.

Because of the low reflectivity of vegetation, there will be some vegetation pixels misclassified as cloud. In view of this situation, the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) can be used to separate vegetation pixels from cloud pixels.

2.2 Texture features

Texture is a reflection of the change of gray level or color, which usually reflects the characteristics of objects in the background. Texture features have been successfully employed in object recognition and texture analysis, and they have also been used for cloud classification and cloud detection (Hu et al., 2015; Cheng & Yu et al., 2015). The texture feature of cloud belongs to random texture, its texture element can be varied and unpredictable, but it is different from the statistical texture characteristics of the underlying surface of the object. After RSB operation, the gray level of the cloud area is lower than that of most of the underlying surface, especially in the urban areas.

In the description of texture features, the application of the method includes the first order features, the two order features, the gray travel method and the gray level co-occurrence matrix and other (Kurosu et al., 1999; Clausi & Deng, 2005). Among them, the gray level co-occurrence matrix method is one of the most widely used methods. Through the comparative analysis, this paper selects the characteristic statistics of the texture information as the mean, and the calculation formula is as follows (Haralick, 1979):

\[
\text{Mean} = \sum_i \sum_j p(i, j) \cdot i
\]

Where Mean is the local mean value of the processing window, which reflects the degree of the rule of the image texture, the texture is chaotic, difficult to describe, the value is small; the rule is strong, easy to describe, the value is bigger. In the calculation of co-occurrence matrix and the corresponding texture index, it is found that the values of X and Y transform are set 1 and the processing window is set 9×9 or 11×11, the cloud detection works better.

3. EXPERIMENTS

During the experiments 2 images are selected for experiment purposes. According to the algorithm of cloud detection is shown in Figure 1, which is used to handle images of different regions. The details will not be seen in thumbnail, due to the size of remote sensing image is too large, in order to better observe the test results, we select two representative sub images to show the results.
The sample test results are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5. The experiments show that the proposed approach has a higher cloud detection performance in vegetation areas and urban areas. Thick clouds can be detected, but for the smaller optical thickness of the thin clouds, transparent clouds, the detection accuracy is general, there will be some of the leakage phenomenon. Although, the algorithm successfully detects most of the clouds, some of the small clouds can be missed. Since some pixels contain other objects (like buildings and trees), the presence of mixed pixels is difficult for cloud identification. Similarly, some pixels, which are the boundaries of the clouds or thin cloud, may be misjudged since they contain other objects.

Since there is no authoritative algorithm as a comparison of result, it is difficult to perform an accurate quantitative validation. Therefore, the reference masks for the accuracy evaluation in this study were obtained by manually drawing cloud borders after visual inspection by users. Similar approaches have been applied in previous studies of cloud detection (Scaramuzza et al., 2012) to acquire the reference masks for accuracy evaluation. Figure 6 shows the comparison between the results of cloud detection and the real nephogram, cloud in red color. According to the precision of extracting three random Subgraphs from the cloud region in the image shows that the detection precision is better than 90%, it shows that the algorithm can meet the needs of routine work.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, a cloud detection method that works on GF-1 WFV imagery has been proposed. The new algorithm combines band operation and the spatial texture feature of cloud to extract the cloud pixels. It has a certain universality in theory, and can be used in a variety of remote sensing images. Our experiments...
showed that mean texture can successfully describe texture properties of cloud regions.

The BOTF performs best for dense, big clouds. Haze like structures, cloud edges and pure white regions (such as snow) have the potential to reduce the algorithm performance. Very small cloud coverage where a patch contains not only cloud but also other structures can also have negative effect on the algorithm performance.

Due to the fact that the research of this paper is only a preliminary attempt, it needs to be improved the accuracy and robustness of the cloud detection algorithm in the future work.
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