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ABSTRACT:

Presently, urban agriculture (UA) is an important part of the urban ecosystem and a key factor that can help in the urban environmental management. Therefore, this paper studies a spatial-temporal analysis of UA areas and types in Municipality of Nakhonratchasima City (MNC), Thailand. This UA types referred land use classification system of Land Development Department (LDD). Google Earth images acquired in the years of 2007, 2011, 2015 and 2018 were used to examine UA change with segmentation-based classification method in QGIS to classify Google Earth images into thematic maps. Moreover, this study showed different spatiotemporal change patterns, composition and rates in the study area and indicates the importance of analyzing UA change. Therefore, the results of this classification consisted of eleven classes – abandoned paddy field, rice paddy, abandoned field crop, mixed field crop, cassava, betel palm, mixed orchard, coconut, rose apple, truck crop, and fish farm. Truck crop had the greatest cover in study area while floricultural covered the minimal space over periods of study. The UA change analysis over time for entire study areas provides an overall picture of change trends. Furthermore, the UA change at census sector scale gives new insights on how human-induced activities (e.g., built-up areas and roads) affect UA change patterns and rates. This research indicates the necessity to implement change detection for better understanding the UA change patterns and rates.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Urban Agriculture

Urban agriculture (UA) is now one important type of urban land use/cover planning or an agricultural activities in urban areas. UA provides food, economic, social and environment (Goodman and Minner, 2019; Dieleman, 2017) that types of UA includes a diversity of agriculture in both hidden and obvious patterns that is depend on that urban area’s location. Meaning of UA, generally, is briefly defined as the growing of urban food areas (Weidner et al., 2019; Mackay, 2018; Pulighe and Lupia, 2016; Taylor and Lovell, 2012). On the other hand, UA plays a role of urban greenness or environment (Robinneau and Dugue, 2018; Cvejc et al., 2015; Weerakoon, 2014; van Leeuwen et al., 2010). Furthermore, the present studying of UA may include peri-urban agriculture, acronym as ‘UPA’ that consists of growing crops, raising animals, and their associated activities either within or along the fringes of cities (de Zeeuw, van Veenuhizen, and Dubbeling 2011).

In Thailand, UA occurs a variety of types that is up to cities’ policy and living. For example, Bangkok’s UA is in a form of urban farming projects that support Bangkok people such as Thai city farm and veggie prince (Environnet, 2016), vertical farming concept (Kamonpatana and Anuntavoranich, 2015). For Nakhonratchasima municipality (NM)’s UA, Land development Department (LDD) from 2007 to 2015 recorded NM’s UA types in from of GIS data layer that was displayed on QGIS and was found by eleven types: abandoned paddy field, rice paddy, abandoned field crop, mixed field crop, cassava, betel palm, mixed orchard, coconut, rose apple, truck crop, and fish farm. Interesting, most truck crops (higher than 70%) covered in NM area and increased between 2007 and 2015.

1.2 Spatiotemporal Analysis

Spatiotemporal analysis allows spatial or time-series analyses and describes investigation (Alatorre and Begueria, 2010, monitoring (Mugiraneza et al., 2017; Haas et al., 2015), detection (Furberg and Ban, 2012) and evaluation (Haas and Ban, 2014) for the persistence of patterns over time that exists at a certain time and location. In other words, spatial and temporal studying shows the relationship between pattern and process for space and time that the used spatial and temporal data can be identified in different results (Fortin and Dale, 2005). This research reviewed spatiotemporal analysis relevant to urban agriculture areas and urban land use/land cover from various related papers such as Wellmann et al. (2018), Mugiraneza et al. (2017), Wilken et al. (2017), etc.

1.3 Google Earth Images

Google earth imagery is open source and is able to utilize for urban study because of providing clear view of urban land use and land cover (Malarvizh et al., 2016) and GE is a tool that provides you with the ability to view the planet through a virtual globe, and tunnel down to examine more detailed information (Harrington and Cross, 2014). Moreover, the pros of satellite images on Google earth (GE) is used for analyzing spatial data because they give the latest satellite
imagery having spatial resolution less than 1m (Malarvizhi et al., 2016; Potere, D. 2008). Therefore, this study required using GE images for detecting UA. For example of using GE images related application of urban land use and UA areas included urban land use change detection (Liu et al., 2018; Malarvizhi et al., 2016); mapping UA (Pulighe and Lupia, 2016; Ringenbach et al., 2013; Taylor and Lovell, 2012).

1.4 Concepts of Segmentation Algorithms

Segmentation produces homogenous clusters or objects each of which is associated with additional attributes such as mean, variance, shape index, textural measures, etc. depending on the segmentation methods (Zou and Lin, 2013) and is the process of completely partitioning a scene (e.g., a remote sensing image) into non-overlapping regions (segments) in scene space (e.g., image space) (Schiewe, 2002). Image segmentation is a key component to object-based classification (Clark Labs, 2018; Humboldt State University, 2015) and still is considered to be a very crucial prerequisite, because its quality largely affects the final performance of geobjects recognition (Su and Zhang, 2017). Therefore, Principle of segmentation algorithms defines categorization of image elements based on homogeneity or heterogeneity. Therefore, segmentation methods are designed for partitioning a scene into regions are distinguished as; point-based, edge-based, region-based, and combined approaches (Moham and Leela, 2013; Schiewe, 2002). This study used Orfeo ToolBox (OTB) in QGIS. Orfeo ToolBox (OTB), is an open-source project for remote sensing which can be integrated in QGIS, is toolbox of segmentation algorithms including watershed, mean shift, k-means etc. (Baisantry wt al., 2017). In OTB, we selected mean-shift filtering for image segmentation on GE imagery. Finally, evaluation of segmentation result consists of two types that are qualitative (not need ground truth) and quantitative (need ground truth) approaches (Su and Zhang, 2017).

1.5 Objectives and Scope of Study

1.5.1 Objectives: The objectives were a spatial-temporal analysis of urban agriculture (UA) areas and types in Municipality of Nakhtonratchasima City (MNC), Thailand.

1.5.2 Scope of study:

(1) Study area was MNC, Thailand.
(2) UA types referred land use classification system of Land Development Department (LDD).
(3) Satellite images and software consisted of google earth (GE) imagery in year 2007, 2011, 2015 and 2018 and Orfeo Toolbox (OTB) in QGIS were used for analysing image segmentation.
(4) Evaluation of segmentation results used such above two-combined approach because the past GE images (e.g., year 2007-2015) cannot check in the present ground so we have to investigate with reliable source such as Land Development Department (LDD).

2. STUDY AREA AND DATA DESCRIPTION

2.1 Study Area

Municipality of Nakhtonratchasima City (MNC) is located in Nakhon Ratchasima province in the North-East of Thailand region. Geographically, it is located in Southeast Asia of Equator from 14°55´-15°00´N to 102°01´-102°08´E. Figure 1 illustrates the location of MNC within Nakhon Ratchasima province in the North-East of Thailand region.

Land use/land cover in the study area appeared forest, agriculture, urban and built-up, abandon and water bodies. Especially, MNC’s urban agriculture based land use classification system of Land Development Department (LDD) consisted of eleven types from 2007 to 2018 as follows: abandoned paddy field, rice paddy, abandoned field crop, mixed field crop, cassava, betel palm, mixed orchard, coconut, rose apple, truck crop, fish farm.
3.2 Image Pre-Processing

3.2.1 Geometric correction: Geometric correction used ground control point (GCP) from GE program with image to image registration – translation and rotation alignment process.

3.2.2 Filtering techniques: Spatial filter was used for enhancement or highlight the spatial characteristics of an image dataset.

3.3 Model Area Extraction

GE images based multispectral data includes three bands that was done by geometric correction. It was extracted by covering the prototype areas of eleven-UA types as mentioned above and shown as Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UA types/Year</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Abandoned paddy field (APF)</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image 1" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image 2" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image 3" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image 4" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Rice paddy (RP)</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image 5" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image 6" /></td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image 7" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Image 8" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Abandoned field crop (AFC)</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Image 9" /></td>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Image 10" /></td>
<td><img src="image11.png" alt="Image 11" /></td>
<td><img src="image12.png" alt="Image 12" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Mixed field crop (MFC)</td>
<td><img src="image13.png" alt="Image 13" /></td>
<td><img src="image14.png" alt="Image 14" /></td>
<td><img src="image15.png" alt="Image 15" /></td>
<td><img src="image16.png" alt="Image 16" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Cassava (Cas)</td>
<td><img src="image17.png" alt="Image 17" /></td>
<td><img src="image18.png" alt="Image 18" /></td>
<td><img src="image19.png" alt="Image 19" /></td>
<td><img src="image20.png" alt="Image 20" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Betel palm (BP)</td>
<td><img src="image21.png" alt="Image 21" /></td>
<td><img src="image22.png" alt="Image 22" /></td>
<td><img src="image23.png" alt="Image 23" /></td>
<td><img src="image24.png" alt="Image 24" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Mixed orchard (MO)</td>
<td><img src="image25.png" alt="Image 25" /></td>
<td><img src="image26.png" alt="Image 26" /></td>
<td><img src="image27.png" alt="Image 27" /></td>
<td><img src="image28.png" alt="Image 28" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Coconut (Coc)</td>
<td><img src="image29.png" alt="Image 29" /></td>
<td><img src="image30.png" alt="Image 30" /></td>
<td><img src="image31.png" alt="Image 31" /></td>
<td><img src="image32.png" alt="Image 32" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Rose apple (RA)</td>
<td><img src="image33.png" alt="Image 33" /></td>
<td><img src="image34.png" alt="Image 34" /></td>
<td><img src="image35.png" alt="Image 35" /></td>
<td><img src="image36.png" alt="Image 36" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Truck crop (TC)</td>
<td><img src="image37.png" alt="Image 37" /></td>
<td><img src="image38.png" alt="Image 38" /></td>
<td><img src="image39.png" alt="Image 39" /></td>
<td><img src="image40.png" alt="Image 40" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Fish farm (FF)</td>
<td><img src="image41.png" alt="Image 41" /></td>
<td><img src="image42.png" alt="Image 42" /></td>
<td><img src="image43.png" alt="Image 43" /></td>
<td><img src="image44.png" alt="Image 44" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Screenshots example of spatiotemporal analysis based UA types in same areas in year 2007, 2011, 2015 and 2018 detected by using GE image.
3.4 Image Segmentation

This study used mean-shift filtering algorithm (multi-threaded) for GE image segmentation that was processed by Orfeo ToolBox (OTB) (download at https://www.orfeo-toolbox.org/) for integrating in QGIS, there are steps (OTB-Team, 2019) as follows:

3.4.1 Spatial radius (filter.meanshift.spatialr): Spatial radius of the neighborhood.

3.4.2 Range radius (filter.meanshift.range): Range radius defining the radius (expressed in radiometry unit) in the multispectral space.

3.4.3 Mode convergence threshold (filter.meanshift.thres): Algorithm iterative scheme will stop if mean-shift vector is below this threshold or if iteration number reached maximum number of iterations.

3.4.4 Maximum number of iterations (filter.meanshift.maxiter): Algorithm iterative scheme will stop if convergence hasn’t been reached after the maximum number of iterations.

3.4.5 Minimum region size (filter.meanshift.minsize): Minimum size of a region (in pixel unit) in segmentation. Smaller clusters will be merged to the neighboring cluster with the closest radiometry. If set to 0 no pruning is done. Then it shifts the center of window to the mean

After image segmentation was done, then we classified segment.

3.5 Ground Survey and Accuracy Assessment

Accuracy assessment of image segmentation results included ground survey (for GE images in year 2018) and non-ground survey (for GE images in year 2007, 2011 and 2015) – was checked to LDD land use dataset. Then, these results were calculate by overall accuracy.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Results of mean shift segmentation based GE images

4.1.1 Results of mean shift segmentation based GE images in eleven-UA types: The mean shift algorithm provides an efficient way to simplify (Zou and Lin, 2013) and also helps to open the original image into a multi-scale space to allow the incorporation of scale into classification (Vu, 2012).

In our study, Monteverdi (GUI version OTB) is employed for mean shift segmentation. A demonstration example of OTB mean-shift segmentation is shown as Table 2 (with parameters setting: spatial radius is 30, spectral value is 45.5, and minimum region size is 100).

Results of OTB mean-shift segmentation reflects that UA type of TC and FF in MNC are clearly segmented and related to true ground higher than other US types.

Table 2. Screenshots example of TCs for spatiotemporal analysis with mean shift segmentation in QGIS between 2007 and 2018 detected by using GE image.

4.1.2 Accuracy assessment: Accuracy assessment of overall of MNC land use about 85.56%. Accuracy assessment of overall UA types in MNC based on analysis of GE image segmentation about 82.35%. For evaluation of each UA type included APF 85.51%, RiceP 91.29%, AFC 77.23%, MFC 75.67%, Cas 77.80%, BP 76.45%, MO 75.56%, Coc 73.90, RA 77.67%, TC 95.88%, FF 98.90%, respectively.

4.2 Summary statistics and spatiotemporal characteristics of UA in MNC

Table 3 shows overall of MNC land use from 2007 to 2018, it explored five main land use types that includes forest land (FL) (0.06 sq.km. or 0.16%), agricultural land (AL or UA) (3.24 sq.km. or 8.58%), urban and built-up land (UBL) (32.24 sq.km. or 85.34%), water bodies (WB) (1.04 sq.km. or 2.75%), and miscellaneous land (ML) (1.20 sq.km. or 3.18%). These information showed that the highest area was UB and the second-highest was AL.

Table 4 show the analysis of the size range of the UA (or agricultural land, AL) in MNC reveals a spatial relationship with UA types and agricultural land use. The following size ranges of areas’ size were analysed by from 2007 - 2018: APFs (0.229-0.212 sq.km.), RPs (0.014-0.027 sq.km.), AFCs (0.0-0.011 sq.km.), MFCs (0-0.116 sq.km.), Cas (0.105-0.178 sq.km), BP (0.076-0.77 sq.km.), MO (0.030-0.039 sq.km.), Coc (0.089-0.090 sq.km.), RA (0-0.008 sq.km.), TC (1.305-2.687 sq.km.), and FF (0.309-0.325 sq.km.). The highest area range is TC while the lowest area range is RA. Most UA spatial and temporal distribution from 2007 to 2018 were scattered in
surrounding the north-western and south-western edge of study area in except TCs were seen nearly Takhong Kao river. Interesting, TCs were mostly provided and occupied in MNC area because urban people required them. Most TCs’ species were occurred as an eligible vegetation and green health such as morning glory, aubergine, cowpea, cabbage, lettuce, etc. Therefore, potential implications for MNC planning and management should find the suitable and potential areas of TC for MNC planning and management especially food supply and urban green spaces.

5.2 Future Research

The future work will be suggested as follows: (1) other advanced statistics (e.g. deep learning, R analysis) should be combined in image segmentation, (2) Finding the suitable and potential of TC areas should be suggested for MNC and concerned officials in planning and management of MNC area, and (3) TC species should be studied.
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Table 4. Summary statistics of UA sites identified in MNC of Thailand classified into the eleven types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UA types/Year</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APF (6.20%)</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP (0.027)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO (0.73%)</td>
<td>0.44%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>0.65%</td>
<td>0.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFC (0)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFC (3.14%)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cas (2.83%)</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP (2.0%)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO (1.04%)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coc (2.42%)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA (0.23%)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (7.25%)</td>
<td>2.305</td>
<td>2.306</td>
<td>1.305</td>
<td>1.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF (8.77%)</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (10%)</td>
<td>3.702</td>
<td>3.244</td>
<td>3.183</td>
<td>2.161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

5.1 Conclusion

As row material to produce geo-data, timely high resolution satellite images can be acquired from Google Earth by several approaches. In this study, an Internet browser based acquisition method is introduced. Orfeo Toolbox as a free and open-source library for remote sensing task is handy and helpful for satellite imagery analysis and feature extraction. Results of OTB mean-shift segmentation reflects that UA type of TC and FF in MNC are clearly segmented and related to true ground higher than other US types.

The analysis of the size area range of the UA (or agricultural land, AL) in MNC reveals a spatial relationship with UA types and agricultural land use. Most UA spatial and temporal distribution from 2007 to 2018 were scattered in surrounding the north-western and south-western edge of study area in except TCs were seen nearly Takhong Kao river. Interestingly, TCs were mostly provided and occupied in MNC area because urban people required them. Most TCs’ species were occurred as an eligible vegetation and green health such as morning glory, aubergine, cowpea, cabbage, lettuce, etc.


