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ABSTRACT:

In this article the new method for iris image features extraction based on phase congruency is proposed. Iris image key points are calculated using the convolutions with Hermite transform functions. At each key point the feature vector characterizing this key point is obtained based on the phase congruency method. Iris key point descriptor contains phase congruency values at points located on concentric circles around the key point. To compare the key points, Euclidean metric between the key points descriptors is calculated. The distance between the iris images is equal to the number of matched iris key points. The proposed method was tested using the images from CASIA–IrisV4–Interval database and the value of EER=0.226% was obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Iris recognition is one of the most powerful biometric technologies for human identification. Iris is used in different fields of access control and security due to its high reliability, accuracy and uniqueness. Traditional iris recognition systems use near-infrared sensors to acquire images of the iris but this restricts the iris image acquisition distance. Over the last several years, there have been numerous attempts to design and implement iris recognition systems that operate at longer distances but that yields some problem both in hardware and algorithmic perspectives. (Nguyen, 2017). Mobile biometrics represent now the new trends of authentication. The most appealing feature of mobile devices is the wide availability and the presence of reliable sensors for capturing biometric traits, e.g., cameras and accelerometers. Moreover, they more and more often store personal and sensitive data, that need to be protected. Doing this on the same device using biometrics to enforce security seems a natural solution. Thus the use of iris recognition for mobile devices is generally promising (De Marsico, 2017). Some methods use also both the iris and periocular information (Santos, 2015) to obtain more robust results.

There are three main stages in iris recognition system: image preprocessing, feature extraction and feature matching. Different approaches for solution of iris recognition problem mostly differ in iris feature extraction and matching algorithms, although machine learning techniques are also widely used. (De Marsico, 2016, Ahuja, 2016). The first and the most famous iris recognition method was proposed by J. Daugman (Daugman, 2004). In Daugman’s method 2-D Gabor wavelets are used to obtain the phase information of iris image. The phase is then encoded and the 256-byte “iris code” is obtained. Later it was shown (Hollingsworth, 2009) that some iris regions contain more information than others. Iris texture is also unstable to strong illumination changes where the non-linear distortions of iris features may occur. So the feature extraction methods based on iris key points look promising to be used in iris recognition (Alvarez-Betancourt, 2016, Sahu, 2018). The iris key points matching methods can use the information about iris texture in local areas of key points to obtain more robust results. The key points can be extracted by different algorithms. In particular, numerous SIFT-based (Lowe, 1999) schemes are presented (Rathgeb, 2018). SIFT descriptor can also be used to describe distinctive features for different types of key points (Alvarez-Betancourt, 2016). After key points extraction the density-based spatial clustering and key point reduction can be applied to reduce the time for iris recognition (Sahu, 2018).

In this article we find the iris key points using Hermite transform functions and propose a new method for iris image features extraction based on phase congruency. Phase congruency can measure the significance of image features and it provides a measure that is independent of the overall magnitude of the signal. Phase congruency can be used for biometric image enhancement (Punsawad, 2009), for edge and corner detection (Kovesi, 2003) and in many other problems of image processing (Pavelyeva, 2018).

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows: in Section 2 the iris key points extraction algorithm is described. The phase congruency method is discussed in Section 3, and it is shown how the phase congruency can measure the significance of image features. In section 4 the method of iris key point descriptors calculation is presented. The experimental results are shown in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 provides some conclusions.

2. IRIS IMAGE PREPROCESSING AND KEY POINTS EXTRACTION

In this research the iris images from CASIA–IrisV4–Interval database (CASIA, 2010) are used (Fig. 1). After iris localization the iris is normalized to a rectangular image and iris areas free of eyelashes, eyelids and glares are determined. The normalized iris image can have low contrast and non-uniform brightness caused by the position of light sources so the image enhancement algorithm is applied (Fig. 2).

Figure 1. Examples of iris images from CASIA–IrisV4–Interval database
Then the key points are calculated using the convolutions of normalized iris image with the Hermite transform functions
\[ \varphi_{m,n}(x,y) = \frac{-(1)^{m+n}e^{\frac{-x^2+y^2}{2\sigma_x^2\sigma_y^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi^2 \sigma_x^2 \sigma_y^2}} H_n(x) H_m(y). \]
where \( H_n(x) \) are the Hermite polynomials:
\[ H_0(x) = 1, \quad H_2(x) = 2 \cdot x, \]
\[ H_n(x) = 2 \cdot x \cdot H_{n-1}(x) - 2 \cdot (n-1) \cdot H_{n-2}(x), \quad n \geq 2. \]
We convolve the normalized image with \( \varphi_{2,0}(x,y) \),
\[ \sigma_x = \sigma_y = 3: \]
\[ M_{2,0}(x,y) = I(x,y) \ast \varphi_{2,0}(x,y). \]
Then we consider the points where the convolution values are more than one third of \( \max(M_{2,0}(x,y)) \) with an additional assumption that the distance between the points shall be not less than 4 pixels (Fig. 3). We call the taken points as iris key points (Pavelyeva, 2013) and suppose that iris image can have no more than 75 key points. At each key point the feature vector characterizing this key point is calculated based on the phase congruency method.

3. PHASE CONGRUENCY

The one dimensional wavelet transform at point \( p \) and scale \( s \) for the signal \( f(s) \) is defined as
\[
F(s,p) = \left[ f(x) \ast \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} G\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) \right]_{x=p},
\]
where \( G(x) \) is the wavelet function, \( s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) is the scale parameter, \( p \in \mathbb{R} \) is the shift parameter, * denotes signal convolution. The wavelet transform rewrites the signal to the time-frequency representation.

We denote the values of the wavelet transform magnitude and phase as \( A_j(p) \) and \( \varphi_j(p); \]
\[
F(p) = \sum_s \text{Re}F(s,p), \quad H(p) = \sum_s \text{Im}F(s,p), \quad E(p) = \sqrt{F^2(p) + H^2(p)}. \]
It can be shown that the values of \( \varphi_j(p) \) have a small difference over all scales \( s \) at feature points of the signal (Kovesi, 2003). In Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 a one dimensional signal and its phase scalogram (the values of \( \varphi_j(p) \) for different scales \( s \) ) are presented (Kovesi, 1996). If a signal has step edge, then we can observe a vertical line of constant grey value in the scalogram that means that the phase of wavelet transform does not change significantly over all scales at given point. In Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 the phase is mapped from 0-360 degrees to 0-255 gray levels for visualization.

The phase congruency at point \( p \) is defined as
\[
PC(p) = E(p) \frac{\sum_s F(s,p)}{\sum_j A_j(p)}.
\]
It can be seen that \( PC(p) \in [0,1] \). A geometric interpretation of phase congruency is shown in Fig 6. For a fixed point \( p \) each convolution \( F(s,p) \) can be represented as a vector with the length equal to the magnitude \( A_j(p) \), and the angle equal to \( \varphi_j(p) \). If there is a feature at a given point \( p \) of the image, the value of \( PC(p) \) is close to 1, because at this point the phase values \( \varphi_j(p) \) will have a small difference at all scales \( s \), and vectors \( F(s,p) \) will be practically collinear. Thus the phase...
congruency can measure the significance of image features. Phase congruency provides a measure that is independent of the overall magnitude of the signal making it invariant to variations in image illumination and contrast (Kovesi, 2003).

\[ PC(p, q) = \frac{\sum_{s} \sum_{q,p} F(s, p, q)}{\sum_{s} \sum_{q,p} |F(s, p, q)|} \]

where the two-dimensional wavelet transform \( F(s, p, q) \) for an image with Log-Gabor function is calculated using the convolution theorem. The example of normalized iris image and its phase congruency map \( F(s, p, q) \) is presented in Fig. 8. The phase congruency values are mapped from \([0,1]\) to 0-255 gray levels for visualization. Here 4 scales and 6 orientations are used and the parameters \( \omega_0 = 0.1, \sigma_\omega = 0.07, \sigma_\theta = 0.4 \) are taken.

4. IRIS KEY POINTS DESCRIPTORS

At each iris key point feature vector contains phase congruency values at 24 points located on two concentric circles (radius \( r \) and \( 2r \) ) around the key point, where \( r = \sigma_\omega \cdot \sigma_\theta \) was used in iris key points extraction stage. This feature vector we call as iris key point descriptor. If \((x, y)\) are the key point coordinates then the points \((x_j, y_j), i = 1, 2, ..., 24\) have the following coordinates:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_i &= x + r \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{4} \right), \quad y_i = y + r \sin \left( \frac{j\pi}{4} \right), \quad j = 0, 7, 1, i = j + 1, \\
  x_i &= x + 2r \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{8} \right), \quad y_i = y + 2r \sin \left( \frac{j\pi}{8} \right), \quad j = 0, 15, i = j + 9.
\end{align*}
\]

The taken points are shown in Fig. 9. For better visualization, in Fig. 10. only part of the image and only 8 key points are presented.

To compare the key points, Euclidean metric between the feature vectors is calculated. Points outside the image have zero values, and these points are not used in Euclidean metric. To obtain the final result we divide the derived distance to the number of the used values. Thus the distance between two comparable key points \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) with feature vectors \( a = (a_1, ..., a_{24}) \) and \( b = (b_1, ..., b_{24}) \) is

\[ d(a, b) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{24} |a_i - b_i|}{\text{number of used values}} \]
where \( I = \{ i \in \{1, 24\} | a_i \cdot b_i \neq 0 \} \), \(| I |\) is the cardinality of \( I \).

If the distance between the key points of two iris images is less than a given threshold, then these key points are considered as belonging to the same iris texture area. We assume that the spatial shift between the comparable key points may correspond to the angle of eye rotation no more than 30 degrees.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed method was tested with a part of CASIA–IrisV4–Interval database. In our research 6 orientations and 4 scales of Log-Gabor functions were taken. The examples of iris key points matching for two images of one eye are shown in Fig. 11. The red lines connect the matching key points. The example of iris key points matching for two images of different eyes is shown in Fig. 12.

![Figure 11. The examples of key points matching for two iris images of one eye. The red lines connect the matching key points.](image)

![Figure 12. The example of key points extraction and key points matching for two iris images of different eyes: 3 matched key points are detected.](image)

The distance between the iris images is equal to the number of matched iris key points. The distribution of genuine and imposter scores is demonstrated in Fig. 13. The ROC-curve is shown in Fig. 14. The value of EER = 0.226% was obtained.

![Figure 13. The distribution of genuine (green line) and imposter (red line) scores.](image)

![Figure 14. ROC-curve, EER = 0.226%.](image)

6. CONCLUSIONS

The new method for iris key points descriptors calculation is described in the article. The proposed method was tested using the images from CASIA–IrisV4–Interval database and the value of EER = 0.226% was obtained. The key points are calculated based on Hermite transform. The key point descriptor consists of phase congruency values at points around taken key point. The proposed method of iris key points descriptors calculation using phase congruency looks promising to be used in iris recognition.
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