CHANGE ANALYSIS OF ANTARCTIC ICE SHELVES BASED ON MULTIPLE REMOTE SENSING PRODUCTS
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ABSTRACT:

The Antarctic ice sheet is well known as the most sensitive and key issue in the global climate change research and is playing a more and more important role for the global sea level change. Measurement of changes in area and mass of the Antarctic ice sheet is critically important and has been made by using different remote sensing technologies and ground exploration data. Sequential mapping of Antarctic boundaries provides a simple and direct method for measuring the area and volume if ice sheet or ice shelves advances or retreats in the Antarctic coasts. Our results show that the total ice shelf area is retreated between 1963 and 2009. However, the trend for each ice shelf is quite different.

1. INTRODUCTION

The majority of Antarctica's mass loss to the ocean occurs through its ice shelves via iceberg calving and basal melting (Depoorter at al., 2013; Rignot et al., 2013). Regional and global changes in atmospheric and oceanic conditions through ice-ocean and ice-atmosphere interactions may lead to iceberg calving or ice shelf basal melt. Meanwhile, the seaward motion of the ice front may occur at a speed at least a few hundred meters per year (Rignot et al., 2011). Although mass change in ice shelves have little or no direct effect on global sea level as the ice shelves are already floating on the sea, they buttress the grounded ice upstream. Reduction of buttressing from ice shelves leads to acceleration of mass loss from ice sheet. Therefore, to accurately understand and study the impact of Antarctic ice mass balance, ice shelf is the important part that needs to be analysed. The ice-shelf thickness around the Antarctic was observed at the accelerating thinning status (Paolo et al., 2015). However, many researchers assume that the ice shelf has no areal extent change when calculate volume change or mass change of ice shelves, which increases the uncertainty of results (Liu et al., 2015). Coastlines generated from remote sensing data could used to predict and analyse iceberg calving and calculate area change of ice shelves and glaciers. Sequential mapping of ice shelf fronts provides a simple and direct method for measuring the area of Antarctic ice shelves. Analysis based on a long period should be more reliable since the ice front of ice shelf can change by kilometers in just a few weeks (Scambos et al., 2000). There are some commonly used methods to analyse ice-shelf change, such as changes in ice-shelf area, average front motion rate, motion rate along main flow lines (Kim, 2004; Ferrigno et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2015). Zwally et al. (2002) summarized mean annual barrier motion estimates obtained from slant-range analysis of ERS-1 and ERS-2 data from 1978 to 1988. Their barrier motion is estimated as the ratio between ice-shelf area change and the length of the discharge periphery. The estimate of their result should be a lower limit estimate of ice-shelf front motion seaward or ice-shelf front regression based on their ice-shelf area change estimation methods. We applied all above methods to analyse changes of Antarctic ice shelves based on multiple remote sensing products.

2. RESEARCH AREA AND DATA

In this paper, ice shelf changes are analysed based on coastlines that are obtained from multiple remote sensing products between 1963 and 2009. DISP coastline was derived from Declassified Intelligence Satellite Photographs (DISP) mosaic by using an automated coastline extraction and refinement algorithm. The DISP images were acquired on 29 August 1963, 29 October 1963 and 3 November 1963 respectively (Kim, 2004). The DISP coastline covers all the Antarctica except Lassiter Coast, Bryant and Eights Coast, Alexander Island, Syowa Prince Olav Coast, Mawson Coast, and Wilhelm II Coast. Radarsat-1 coastline was extracted by applying an automated coastline extraction algorithm based on the Radarsat-1 synthetic aperture radar (SAR) image mosaic with a data acquisition time from September 09 to October 20, 1997 (Liu and Jezek, 2004). MOA2004 coastline was digitized based on visual interpretation by using MODIS Mosaic of Antarctica (MOA) data sets including MODIS band1 and band2 data acquired over the austral summer season between November 20, 2003 and February 29, 2004. Using the same method, MOA2009 coastline was generated based on MOA2009 surface morphology image map that were acquired 1 November 2008 through 28 February 2009 for the full spring-summer season (Scambos et al., 2007).

The area change is calculated for all the ice shelves and summarized in each basin that based on the basin subdivision
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3. CHANGE ANALYSIS METHODS

Coastline outlines the outermost features of the Antarctic coast, which includes both the ice fronts of ice shelves and the grounded ice sheet margin. The extent changes of ice sheet and ice shelves have different meanings to the Antarctic mass balance and the ice sheet margin is more stable than ice shelf front. Therefore, the ice shelf mask generated by Depoorter et al. (2013) is used to identify ice shelves and separate ice shelf coastline and non-ice shelf coastline.

Several methods and procedures are developed and applied to analyse the quality of the products and to detect changes of the ice shelves. The metrics used include area change, average distance change and lower-limit distance change. Area changes are measured from extent between the grounding line and ice front at different periods and are estimated for all ice shelves of Antarctica. The extents of Antarctic ice shelves are measured separately for four products. Then changed areas of each ice shelf are calculated for three time intervals, which are 1963-1997, 1997-2004 and 2004-2009. During area change calculation, the retreat and advance areas of changed areas are classified by comparing whether the later coastline segments are out or in the previous coastal region. Average distance change and lower-limit distance change are estimated as the ratio between ice-shelf area change and the length of the ice shelf front. Their difference is the way to treat advanced and retreated region in distance computation between two ice front boundaries. During average distance change calculation, the length of coastline segments in advanced and retreated region is used as weight. Lower-limit distance change is estimated in the similar way as described in Zwally et al. (2002). If the ice-shelf area change is a positive number, lower-limit distance change presents the lower limit of seaward motion because iceberg calving events smaller than ice front advance. In contrast, if the ice-shelf area change is a negative number, lower-limit distance change presents the lower limit of ice front regression because seaward ice front advance smaller than iceberg calving. These metrics should reflect ice-shelf front change caused by a combination of seaward ice flux and break-back by ice front calving. We summarize the mean annual motion estimates for major 64 Antarctic ice shelves which are shown in Fig.1.

4. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

The total ice shelf area in Antarctica is retreated from 1963 to 2009 and the retreat area calculated based on four coastline products is up to 63,865 km². The total retreat area should be more than this number since DISP coastline didn’t cover ice shelves at Amundsen Sea Embayment (ASE) and ice shelves in West Antarctica are commonly retreated during this period as reported by other researchers. We the as the reference data, the area change rate for 48 ice shelves are shown in Fig.2. Although the total area of Antarctic ice shelves is decreased, some big ice shelves are extended, such as Ross Ice Shelf and Ronne Ice Shelf.
the AIS that was in late 1963 or early 1964, the AIS front kept advancing with a steady rate with minimal calving and the whole AIS was in an expand stage from 1964 to 2009. However the most MOA2009 coastline segments did not extent to the position of DISP coastline segments and the AIS area decreased by 1992 km$^2$ from 1963 to 2009.

5. CONCLUSION

Our results show that the total ice shelf area is retreated between 1963 and 2009. However, the trend for each ice shelf is quite different. Based on ice front motion rate, the trend for ice front change and different effect of ice flux and calving can be analysed. Some ice shelves keep a very stable advancing rate, such as Amery ice shelf. Others have lost areas for some years because of calving but with a similar seaward motion rate, such as Ross Ice Shelf. This paper presents a preliminary result of Antarctic ice shelf change since 1963. Detailed analysis is still under advisement and its associated mechanism should also be further investigated.
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