MAPPING SPATIAL MOISTURE CONTENT OF UNSATURATED AGRICULTURAL SOILS WITH GROUND-PENETRATING RADAR
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ABSTRACT:

Soil subsurface moisture content, especially in the root zone, is important for evaluation the influence of soil moisture to agricultural crops. Conservative monitoring by point-measurement methods is time-consuming and expensive. In this paper we represent an active remote-sensing tool for subsurface spatial imaging and analysis of electromagnetic physical properties, mostly water content, by ground-penetrating radar (GPR) reflection. Combined with laboratory methods, this technique enables real-time and highly accurate evaluations of soils’ physical qualities in the field. To calculate subsurface moisture content, a model based on the soil texture, porosity, saturation, organic matter and effective electrical conductivity is required. We developed an innovative method that make it possible measures spatial subsurface moisture content up to a depth of 1.5 m in agricultural soils and applied it to two different unsaturated soil types from agricultural fields in Israel: loess soil type (Calcic haploxeralf), common in rural areas of southern Israel with about 30% clay, 30% silt and 40% sand, and hamra soil type (Typic rhodoxeralf), common in rural areas of central Israel with about 10% clay, 5% silt and 85% sand. Combined field and laboratory measurements and model development gave efficient determinations of spatial moisture content in these fields. The environmentally friendly GPR system enabled non-destructive testing. The developed method for measuring moisture content in the laboratory enabled highly accurate interpretation and physical computing. Spatial soil moisture content to 1.5 m depth was determined with 1–5% accuracy, making our method useful for the design of irrigation plans for different interfaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soil subsurface moisture content down to the root zone is significant for the development of agricultural crops, affecting their quality and quantity; unbalanced moisture content can influence their quality and damage them. Spatial knowledge of soil moisture content is also important for precision agriculture programs, and the expense of crop irrigation can be enormous, especially in arid and semi-arid regions (Huisman et al., 2003). However, there is no easy, quick, inexpensive and convenient method to measure subsurface spatial moisture content.

Soil moisture and salinity are currently monitored by conservative local sampling and testing methods in the field and in the laboratory, using neutron gauges, various types of tensiometers and time-domain reflectometry (Ben-Dor et al., 2009; Goldshleger et al., 2012).

In recent years, the use of active remote sensing has shown great advances: e.g., ground penetrating radar (GPR) subsurface imaging and frequency domain electromagnetic (FDEM) to measure the subsurface electrical conductivity and magnetic susceptibility combine with passive tools (Spectrometer – ASD) spectroscopy make it possible to estimate soil properties in the field rapidly and with high precision (Ben-Dor et al., 2009; Goldshleger et al., 2004). This study integrates active remote sensing by GPR with laboratory measurements verification and field tests to determine soil moisture content. GPR measurement of the subsurface spatial moisture content was based on a method originally developed for sand dunes, where moisture content of an unsaturated medium was determined at a resolution of a few percent (Basson et al., 1992).

The study was performed at two main research sites in the Gilat and Sharon areas of Israel (figure 1) with their characteristic agricultural soils:

1. loess soil type (Calcic haploxeralf) (soil survey staff, 2014) – common in rural areas of southern Israel. The site is near the Gilat Research Center for Arid & Semi-Arid Agricultural Research of Israel’s Ministry of Agriculture, in the Ofakim area;

2. Hamra soil type (Typic rhodoxeralf) - common in rural areas of central Israel. The site (Bnei-Dror) is near the Soil Erosion Research Station of the Ministry of Agriculture, at Ruppin College, in the Sharon area.
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Our purpose was to build a practical, inexpensive, robust and standard method for measuring the soil moisture content of regional and subsurface areas using GPR with precision levels between 1% and 5%, in real time.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 GPR

The typical GPR system has three main components: a transmitter and receiver connected directly to an antenna and a control unit. The system transmits pulses of high-frequency (MHz–GHz) electromagnetic waves to the subsurface. These waves penetrate and propagate in the medium; some of the energy returns from areas of continuous electrical properties in the medium and are received by the system (figure 2). The spatial resolution and penetration depth of the waves in the medium depend on their frequency and on the electrical properties of the soil subsurface (Ban-Dor et al., 2009). The system records the reflections and the time from transmission to reception of the reflections by the sensor. The transmitting and receiving antenna is moved along the section in a line at constant distance to obtain a continuous subsurface cross section (assuming sufficient lateral samples). Data are acquired digitally, and are constructed and displayed during the data collection, providing a good first impression of the nature of the surveyed subsurface in the field. By thorough interpretation and analysis of the sections, the electrical properties of the section components are obtained, and a shallow, high-resolution, two-dimensional (2D) subsurface image can be constructed (Davis and Annan, 1989).

Operated conventionally, the GPR system produces reflection profiles in which most returned signals are directed by reflection from discontinuities in the subsurface. Other types of waves can also be created in the system, such as direct waves in the air, wave refraction and direct ground waves, as expected from ray theory principles and simple geometric relationships. The main reflection, as well as the direct waves, can be identified directly, but only generally, in this profile.

Electromagnetic waves are influenced by diffractions created by small discontinuities and objects. In the subsurface medium diffraction can be detected as a hyperbolic reflection in a temporal section and appears in two cases: when at the dominant wavelength \( \lambda_c \), the pulse radar is larger than the source of the diffraction, and when the waves break due to sharp edges. The physical relationship between velocity, wavelength and frequency of the electromagnetic wave is:

\[
V = \lambda f
\]

where

- \( V \) = electromagnetic wave velocity
- \( \lambda \) = wavelength
- \( f \) = frequency

Velocity analysis of hyperbolic reflections in the cross section is a significant factor in measuring moisture content due to the relationship between the wave velocity in the medium and the dielectric constant (Davis and Annan, 1989, Goldshleger and Basson, 2016). Calculating velocities from radar cross sections allows obtaining the values of the different dielectric constants in the medium (Topp et al., 1980). A practical approximation of the dielectric constant from wave velocity in the soil layers is given by (Reynolds, 2011):

\[
K = \frac{c^2}{v^2}
\]

where

- \( K \) = dielectric constant
- \( C = 0.3 \) m/ns is the wave velocity in a vacuum (Yilmaz, 1987)
- \( V \) = characteristic radiowave velocity in the medium

Measuring moisture content based on the dielectric constant to is not simple. This is because the measured dielectric constant is the average of all of the dielectric constants of the medium components. The difficulty in isolating physical properties of a particular component in the medium (in this case, water) from the all-inclusive features is a known “solid-state” problem in physics, the solution to which requires constructing a fitting model. Hence, to calculate soil moisture content with good

Figure 1. Map of Israel with the research sites marked with red circles

Figure 2. Typical flowchart of a GPR system (Davis and Annan, 1989)
approximation, in addition to the average volumetric dielectric constant, the effective dielectric constants of the soil components (table 1) and the volume and porosity relationships between the different components are needed. These parameters can generally be found in the literature from characterizations of the soil and its constituents, or specifically obtained by field sampling and laboratory measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea water</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry sand</td>
<td>3-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated sand</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shales</td>
<td>5-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silts</td>
<td>5-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clays</td>
<td>5-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry salt</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Typical relative permittivity observed in common geological materials (Butler, 2005)

### 2.2 Soil Moisture Content

The fundamental components of soils are mineral particles, organic matter, water and air. Mineral particles are inorganic materials derived from rocks by weathering. They vary in size. The pore space, organic matter and living organisms are filled with water and air. Soil water and air typically make up about 50% of the soil volume.

The volumetric effective relative dielectric constant can be described approximately as a mean value of all of the dielectric constants of the different soil components (Basson et al., 1992):

$$ K_{\text{eff}} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_i V_i $$

where
- $K_{\text{eff}}$ = effective relative dielectric constant
- $K_i$ = component dielectric constant
- $V_i$ = component relative volume
- $n$ = number of soil components

The moisture content, equivalent to the water relative volume, can be extracted from equation (3):

$$ V_w = \frac{K_{\text{eff}} V_m K_a - V_m K_a - K_a}{K_m - K_a} $$

where
- $V_w$ = volumetric moisture content
- $V_m$ = mineral relative volume
- $K_m$ = mineral dielectric constant
- $K_a$ = air dielectric constant

### 3. METHODS

The study was based on the use of proven GPR reflection technology and mapping of the spatial subsurface (Basson et al., 1992) as a platform to develop GPR measurements of subsurface soil moisture content. The aim was to develop a practical method for measuring subsurface moisture content in different soil types.

The research was conducted in two parts. The first consisted of high-resolution laboratory experiments, including a calibration experiment in 21-liter boxes with static 800-MHz GPR measurements, and an irrigation experiment in 270-liter soil boxes with 800-MHz GPR monitoring of cross sections, for maximum accuracy and a better understanding of the relations between the electrical properties and the soil moisture content. The second part of the research consisted of field experiments at the selected agricultural sites: Gilat area with loess soil type and Bnei-Dror area with hamra soil type. A 500-MHz antenna was used to conduct multiple cross-sectional, 2D high-resolution GPR measurements over tens to hundreds of square meters.

#### 3.1 Laboratory Experiments and Data Acquisition

The GPR system was calibrated at a nominal frequency of 800 MHz to the research soil types in a small plastic box with a volume of 21 liters, in which the moisture content was gradually raised (by 5% for each measurement). The soil was mixed manually between measurements to rapidly create basic relative uniformity in the medium. A metal plate was laid at the bottom of the box for strong and clear reflection back to the GPR system. The soil in the box was measured by the GPR system, which was placed above the box and attached so that it would not move (static) during the finite measurement time. The GPR measurements of moisture content were compared to the volumetric moisture content of a sample that had been dried in the oven for 48 h at 105°C.

The irrigation experiment with 2D high-resolution GPR cross sections was performed along the soil boxes. The radar system, operated with a transducer (transmission and reception unit) at a nominal frequency of 800 MHz, was moved manually along the section segments. Box dimensions were 90 x 50 x 60 cm with a total volume of 270 liters. Inside the boxes, there were three iron-tube line diffractors with 3-mm external diameter placed at depths of 10, 30 and 50 cm, with 25 cm distance between them. The box was filled to the top with soil, and at the bottom there was a controlled tap to drain the water at saturation and a layer of thin net, geotechnics fabric and gravel to allow water flow. The irrigation system was built from deionized water columns (TREION) supplying non-saline water through a super-sensitive water meter especially designed for high accuracy and wide measuring range. The drip irrigation system included three lines of built-in drippers with a low flow rate of 2 liter/h every 15 cm (the distance between the lines), for a total of 15 drippers with 30 liter/h flow rate (figure 3).
GPR cross-section measurements at the Gilat research site (figure 1) had a central frequency of 500 MHz, antenna separation of 18 cm, frequency sampling of about 10 GHz, lateral sampling every 5 cm along the profile, time window length of about 50 ns, 512 samples per channel and 8 stack. Twenty cross sections were collected, each about 10–20 m long at distances of about 0.5 m.

Sharon Plain area – hamra soil type (Typic rhodoxeralf): the research site was near Bnei-Dror and the previous location of the Soil Erosion Station of Israel’s Ministry of Agriculture in central Israel. Crops there are mainly irrigated by drippers (figure 5). The area is characterized by a loamy sand soil type with soil texture parameters of about 10% clay, 5% silt and 85% sand (figure 6).

3.2 Field Experiments and Data Acquisition

The radar system was operated with a transducer at a nominal frequency of 500 MHz, moved by a mobile device along the sections to give high-resolution 2D sections over different land surfaces. Soil moisture content measurements were performed in the summer, before irrigation. The distance between sections was about 50 cm over a total area of tens to hundreds of square meters. Soil samples were taken from shallow drillings for further laboratory analyses of soil properties.

Northern Negev area – loess soil type (Calcic haploxeralf) (soil survey staff, 2014): the research site was near the Gilat Research Center for Arid & Semi-Arid Agricultural Research in the Patish basin in southern Israel. Crops there are mainly rainfed (figure 4). The area is characterized by clay loam soil type with soil texture parameters of about 30% clay, 30% silt and 40% sand (figure 6).
4. RESULTS

The laboratory calibration experiment is shown in figure 7. The central panel shows the static GPR section, where the horizontal scale is measurement time and the vertical scale is the two-way travel time signal for travel back to the system. The left panel is the amplitude scale of the section signals, and the right panel shows a specific channel chosen from the section to calculate the time differences, where \( t_0 \) (orange line) is time zero and \( t_1 \) is the reflection wave from the metal plate at the bottom of the box.

![GPR section in the calibration box. Amplitude scale is shown on the left and a specific trace on the right. Upper orange line is time zero (t0), lower orange line is the reflection from the metal bottom (t1).](image)

Figure 7. GPR section in the calibration box. Amplitude scale is shown on the left and a specific trace on the right. Upper orange line is time zero (t0), lower orange line is the reflection from the metal bottom (t1).

Calibration experiment results in figure 8 show the difference between the soil water content measurements in the calibration process and the theoretical water content.

![GPR and oven-dry moisture content as a function of the electromagnetic wave velocity. The measured calibration data were collected with a 1 GHz GPR antenna on loess (upper figure) and hamra (lower figure) soil types irrigated with distilled water.](image)

Figure 8. Measured GPR and oven-dry moisture content as a function of the electromagnetic wave velocity. The measured calibration data were collected with a 1 GHz GPR antenna on loess (upper figure) and hamra (lower figure) soil types irrigated with distilled water.

Laboratory GPR sections showed the change in electrical properties with irrigation steps for each soil. The higher water content directly affected the electromagnetic wave velocity propagating through the media as well as the relative dielectric constant, and therefore changed the GPR image.

To visualize the laboratory experiments in the soil boxes designed to measure the soil water content using GPR, we performed simulations with the geophysics software Reflex-Win and different possible models. The model size was based on the soil boxes (90 x 50 x 60 cm) (figure 3) with three diffractors at 10, 30 and 50 cm. The distance between the diffractors was 20 cm and from the box edges, 25 cm. The electromagnetic properties controlling the models were the dielectric constant, electrical conductivity and wave velocity. The simulation was based on finite difference algorithm to propagating waves in the medium; the section was a zero-offset 2D section with parameters of \( dx = 1 \text{ cm}, dT = 0.02 \text{ ms} \) and frequency of 800 MHz (figure 9).

![GPR simulation section in a soil box with three iron diffractors at 10, 30 and 50 cm depth and a reflector at 60 cm depth.](image)

Figure 9. GPR simulation section in a soil box with three iron diffractors at 10, 30 and 50 cm depth and a reflector at 60 cm depth.

The GPR section after basic data analysis and interpretation is shown in figure 10. The measurement was performed on the soil in an initial air-dried state. The hyperboles created by the first and second diffractors can be identified at depths of 10 cm and 30 cm, respectively, while the third diffractor at 50 cm depth is not clear, probably due to wave interference from the edges or bottom of the box. The reflection from the bottom can be identified as well. The average electromagnetic velocity in the medium was about 0.125 m/ns.
GPR measurement data from Gilat and Bnei-Dror sites, mostly obtained at 500 MHz nominal frequency and some at 1 GHz nominal frequency, were processed specifically to highlight the diffraction waves. The diffraction shape can be analysed and fitted to a hyperbola curve which describes the relevant electromagnetic wave velocity (figure 11).

From the electromagnetic wave velocity, we calculated the soil moisture content in the subsurface using a model relying on theoretical knowledge, the information from the GPR laboratory experiments and other laboratory-measured soil properties such as porosity and texture (figure 12).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Moisture content was gradually increased by known volumes in the laboratory experiments, and by measuring the GPR reflections and diffraction times we could calculate the electromagnetic wave velocity through the different media. We converted the electromagnetic velocities into a dielectric constant and used a model to obtain the subsurface soil moisture content.

GPR is not widely accepted as a method to measure subsurface soil water content. However, in recent years, GPR instrumentation has seen intensive development, allowing faster, easier and most importantly, more accurate soil moisture content measurements. Hence, spatial resolution has improved, particularly for this application where the required penetration depth is low, so that small heterogeneities in the field can be used to obtain information on the relative permittivity and analyzed to calculate soil moisture content.

We presented a GPR method to measure subsurface soil moisture content. This method currently relies on calibration tests in the laboratory and in the field for a better understanding of petrophysical property relations between permittivity and volumetric moisture content. Further studies are needed for more information on this issue.

This method can be performed without the calibration experiments but some knowledge is required to build a simple and reliable model that will describe the relationship between the electrical characteristics of the medium and its moisture content. It is recommended to compare the GPR measurement results to those from other proven methods (e.g., oven-drying) to increase result accuracy and reliability.
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