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ABSTRACT:

A kernel-based method for very high spatial resolution remote sensing image classification is proposed in this article. The new kernel method is based on spectral-spatial information and structure information as well, which is acquired from topic model, Latent Dirichlet Allocation model. The final kernel function is defined as $K_{u} = u_{1}K^{\text{spec}} + u_{2}K^{\text{spat}} + u_{3}K^{\text{stru}}$, in which $K^{\text{spec}}, K^{\text{spat}}, K^{\text{stru}}$ are radial basis function (RBF) and $u_{1} + u_{2} + u_{3} = 1$. In the experiment, comparison with three other kernel methods, including the spectral-based, the spectral- and spatial-based and the spectral- and structure-based method, is provided for a panchromatic QuickBird image of a suburban area with a size of 900×900 pixels and spatial resolution of 0.6m. The result shows that the overall accuracy of the spectral- and structure-based kernel method, as well as the spectral- and spatial-based which accuracy respectively is 67% and 74%. What’s more, the accuracy of the proposed composite kernel method that jointly uses the spectral, spatial, and structure information is highest among the four methods which is increased to 83%. On the other hand, the result of the experiment also verifies the validity of the expression of structure information about the remote sensing image.

1. INTRODUCTION

With statistical learning methods, the extraction of information from remote sensing images can be easy and quick. Support vector machine (SVM) is one of the kernel based machine learning algorithm, which has excellent performance in image classification in terms of accuracy and robustness (Camps et al., 2006). And it is conventional to use spectral information of sample as the input data to learning classifier (Chen et al., 2008). Due to recent advances in remote sensor technology, the spatial resolution of the image is getting higher and higher. And the kernel-based method which only uses the spectral information would cause much “pepper and salt” effect in the results (Yi et al., 2011). To solve this problem, the spatial information among pixels is joint used with composite kernel (Camps et al., 2006). Camps et al defined neighbourhood of a pixel with those pixels that belong to a square centered on it. And then the spatial information of pixel is modeled with the mean or standard deviation of grayscale values of those pixels in its neighbourhood. Furthermore, textural information which is characterized by a wavelet-based multi-scale strategy is applied to model spatial information (Mercier et al., 2006). Fauvel et al took the validity of neighbourhood into account. And he proposed a new method that models the spatial information with the median of grayscale values of those pixels in its morphological neighbourhood which is defined adaptively (Fauvel et al., 2012).

All these composite kernel-based method mentioned above are lack of structure information. So, in this article, a new kernel-based method which concentrates on spectral information, spatial information, as well as structure information is proposed. Therefore, the first goal of our work is to find out a way to model structure information of remote sensing image. To solve this problem, we introduced the topic model to our work. Topic model which is proposed firstly in text analysis domain, is initially developed for statistical text modeling to topic discovery in large document collection (Lienou et al., 2010). Except for text analysis, the topic model has been successfully used for nature image annotation and category. With it in remote sensing image classification, mapping low-level features to high-level semantics is available, and estimating the gap between them as well (Xu et al., 2013). Probabilistic latent semantic analysis (pLSA) and latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) are conventional topic models. In LDA model, all topics is generated from words, and each document is in form of the mixture of latent topics. To some extent, the mixture of latent topics describes the component of the document. And, it is available to define the structure information of the document as the mixture of latent topics. Therefore, the proposed method pay attention on how to joint use spectral information, spatial information, as well as structure information which is modelled with LDA model, for very high spatial resolution remote sensing image classification. For LDA model in the proposed method, an analogue of words and documents is built as grayscale values and segments. And the topics is defined as geo-object classes.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. We will review how the topic model works in the remote sensing domain, and how to use it to model structure information of the image in Section II. And then in Section III, structure-spatial-spectral
2. TOPIC MODEL

Topic model is developed initially in text analysis domain for category and annotation. Probabilistic latent semantic analysis (pLSA) and latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) are generally used. And those topic models have successfully applied in nature image domain, and remote sensing image domain as well. As LDA model is applied in the proposed method, we will firstly introduce the principle of it briefly. And then, we will focus on how it works in remote sensing image domain. Finally, how to use it to model structure information of the image will be presented in detail.

2.1 Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) Model

Comparing with probabilistic latent semantic analysis (pLSA), LDA model treats the topic mixture parameters as variables which follow a Dirichlet distribution. In LDA model, a corpus of document is needed. And each document is identified with statistics of the words based on bag of words (BOW) assumption. Figure 1 shows the generation process of LDA model.

![Figure 1. The generation process of LDA model](image)

As shown in Figure 1, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are hyperparameters of Dirichlet distributions, $\theta \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha)$, $\phi \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\beta)$. The dimension of vector $\alpha$ depends on the number of topics and vector $\beta$ is based on the size of vocabulary. For the word $w$, the probability to generate it is $p(w|t, \phi)$, in which $t \sim \text{Multinomial}(\theta)$. According to the generation process of LDA model, the joint probability among hyperparameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$, word $w$ and topic $t$ can be given as follows:

$$p(\theta, \phi, w | \alpha, \beta) = p(\theta | \alpha) \cdot p(\phi | \beta) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(t | \theta) p(w | t, \phi)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here, $N^D$ is the number of documents. Therefore, with LDA model for text analysis, the key point is to calculate the posteriori probability $p(t | w)$, which can be written as:

$$p(t | w) = \frac{p(t, w)}{p(w)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

On the basis of the principle of Bayesian networks, the joint probability in the molecular of the right part in formula (2), can be expressed as follows:

$$p(t, w | \alpha, \beta) = p(t | \alpha) \cdot p(w | t, \beta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

As the hyperparameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are related with all the variables $\theta, \phi, t, w$, it is difficult to infer the conditional probability in formula (3). But it is easy to simulate it with Gibbs sampler running a Markov chain (Heinrich, 2008). And the multinomial parameters yield:

$$\beta^w = \frac{\text{Num}^w + \beta_w}{\sum_{i=1}^{N^w} \text{Num}^w + \alpha_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here, $\text{Num}^w_t$ denotes the number of words which topic label is $t$ in the document $d$. And $\text{Num}^w_d$ denotes the number of word $w$ which topic label is $t$. $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_w$ is the element of $N^T \times N^w$-dimensional and $N^w$-dimensional Dirichlet random various vector $\alpha$ and $\beta$, in which $N^T$ denote the number of topics and $N^w$ is the size of vocabulary.

2.2 Structure Information

When LDA model is applied in remote sensing classification, the first problem is how to define an analogue of the topics, documents, as well as words in the remote sensing image domain. In the proposed method, we follow the definition of the analogues in (Tang et al., 2013) and (Shen et al., 2014), that the grayscale values are treated as words, segments are to documents, and the geo-object classes are to topics. Therefore, using LDA model to model structure information can be determined by accomplishing the follow steps:

1. Organizing the image into a corpus of documents

As we define the segment of image into document, firstly, it is necessary to over-segment the initial remote sensing image. And segments of the over-segmentation map will constitute the corpus of document $d_i, i=1,2,\cdots,N^D$, in which $N^D$ denotes to the number of documents (segments of the over-segmentation map). And then, the document (segment) should be described as the statistics of the words (grayscale values): $d_i = \{\text{Num}^w_{d_i}, \text{Num}^w_{d_1}, \cdots, \text{Num}^w_{d_N}\}$, in which $N$ denotes the size of vocabulary. Especially, $N$ equals to the number of unique grayscale values of the remote sensing image.

2. Modeling the structure information based on LDA model

Firstly in this step, parameters, i.e. $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $N^T$, should be set. And the mixture of topics (geo-object class) of each document (segment) should be initialized, so that the Gibbs sampler running a Markov chain can be applied. And then those parameters and $d_i$ will be the input data for LDA model. Finally, the model will output $\theta, \phi$ according to formula (4) and formula (5). The document (segment) is in form of mixture of topics (geo-object classes), and the topic (geo-object class) is in form of mixture of words (grayscale values). As informed in Section I, the mixture of topics describes the component of the document, so that it can be the structure information of the document. The flowchart in Figure 2 can describe the process of modeling the structure information of the image.
The ground truth map is shown in Figure 4(b). Thanks to the linearity property of the kernel function, it is possible to build a new kernel function that jointly uses different features for the kernel classifier. The linearity property is to say: if $K_1$ and $K_2$ are both kernel functions, and $u_1, u_2 \geq 0$, then $u_1 K_1 + u_2 K_2$ is also a kernel function (Fauvel et al., 2012). With this principle into remote sensing image classification, the composite kernel function can be given as follows:

$$K_{com}(d_i, d_j) = \exp(-\frac{\|d_i - d_j\|^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

Here, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ tunes the variance of the Gaussian kernel function and $d_i, d_j$ are both $N$-dimensional features in form of a vector. Thanks to the linearity property of the kernel function, it is possible to build a new kernel function that joint uses different features for the kernel classifier. The linearity property is to say: if $K_1$ and $K_2$ are both kernel functions, and $u_1, u_2 \geq 0$, then $u_1 K_1 + u_2 K_2$ is also a kernel function (Fauvel et al., 2012). With this principle into remote sensing image classification, the composite kernel function can be given as follows:

$$K_{com}(d_i, d_j) = \exp(-\frac{\|d_i - d_j\|^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

Here, $u_1 \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i = 1$. For the proposed method in this article, it is desired to obtain a kernel function which is based on spectral, spatial and structure information. Therefore, the composite kernel function is defined as:

$$K_{com} = u_1 K_{spectral} + u_2 K_{spatial} + \cdots + u_k K_{structure}$$

Here, $K_{spectral}, K_{spatial}, K_{structure}$ are kernel functions based on spectral features, spatial features, and structure features, respectively. For our experiment, $u_1 = 0.6$, $u_2 = 0.2$, $u_3 = 0.2$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3$, which will be determined by the program during the studying from the samples. In the proposed method, spectral information is the grayscale values of the digital image. Especially, it is 1-dimensional vector for the panchromatic image. And the definition of spatial information is followed as (Fauvel et al., 2012) that using average of grayscale values of all pixels which are in the neighbourhood. In our article, the neighbourhood is defined with the segment. It means that the segment which the pixel belongs to is act as the neighbourhood of this pixel. And the structure information also is regional feature that denotes the component of the document (segment), which is modelled by LDA model. Figure 3 shows the process of the proposed method.

In this section, the details of experiment is demonstrated. Firstly, we will introduce the data we use, and then it is the experiment result will be present. Finally, we will give an accuracy assessment of the result based on overall accuracy (OA) and kappa coefficient. Furthermore, discussion about the parameter sensibility of the proposed method will be shown in the next section.

### 4.1 Experiment Data

The panchromatic image can provide more rich details of the earth's surface. So, a panchromatic QuickBird image is used in our experiment. The image we use is acquired on April 22, 2006, with a size of 900×900 pixels and 0.6m spatial resolution, as shown in Figure 4(a). And the cover area is located in Tong Zhou district of Beijing, China, in which the major geo-object classes include water bodies, buildings, fields, roads, shadows and trees. The ground truth map is shown in Figure 4(b).

### 4.2 Experiment and result

In this part, comparison of the proposed method and other kernel methods, i.e. original spectral-based kernel and spectral-spatial-based kernel. For the SVM, we should tune three parameters: penalty term $C$, width of the Gaussian kernel $\sigma$ and weight $u_i$ for the composite kernel. In our experiment, the previous two parameters are chosen by the SVM program according to the characteristic of the sample from $[-2, -1, 0, 1, 2]$. The last parameter $u_i$ is defined as table 1 shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u_i$</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>$\frac{d_i - d_j}{\sigma^2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{d_i - d_j}{\sigma^2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ground truth map is shown in Figure 4(b).

Figure 4. The panchromatic QuickBird image (a) and the ground truth map (b).
As shown in Figure 5, (a) is the result to the original kernel method, (b) comes from the spectral-spatial-based kernel method, (c) is the classification map of spectral-structure-based kernel approach, and (d) is the classification map using the proposed composite kernel method.

Table 2. The accuracy of different geo-object classes using different methods in our experiments, and overall accuracy and Kappa coefficient as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geo-object</th>
<th>$K_{\text{Spec}}$</th>
<th>$K_{\text{Spec}+K_{\text{Spat}}}$</th>
<th>$K_{\text{Spec}+K_{\text{Stru}}}$</th>
<th>$K_{\text{Spec}+K_{\text{Spat}+K_{\text{Stru}}}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadows</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our experiment, overall accuracy and Kappa coefficient are used to estimate the accuracy of the proposed method. And the accuracy of experiment is reported in table 2.

In the future research, we will focus on how to use it into object recognition, especially for debris flow and collapsed building, in the future research.
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