









While PhotoScan and SURE provided usable results, VisualSfM in combination with PMVS/CMVS2 did not. The distortion of the true geometry of the field in the results provided by VisualSfM with PMVS/CMVS2 could be caused by the image alignment algorithm used in VisualSfM not being able to deal correctly with this corner case. Another possibility is that the camera self-calibration implemented in PhotoScan performs better than the one from VisualSfM. Regarding noise and point density, the results of PMVS/CMVS2 look promising, but further analysis in the context of this study was impossible due to the incorrect geometry of the generated point cloud.

In summary, this study shows that from the tested software packages, PhotoScan is best suited for crop height monitoring from oblique angles when using a single stereo image pair.

### References

- Bendig, J., Bolten, A. and Bareth, G., 2013. UAV-based Imaging for Multi-Temporal, very high Resolution Crop Surface Models to monitor Crop Growth Variability. *Photogrammetrie - Fernerkundung - Geoinformation* 2013(6), pp. 551–562.
- Brocks, S. and Bareth, G., 2014. Evaluating the potential of consumer-grade smart cameras for low-cost stereo-photogrammetric Crop-Surface Monitoring. *ISPRS - International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences XL-7(October)*, pp. 43–49.
- Brutto, M. L. and Meli, P., 2012. Computer Vision Tools for 3D Modelling in Archaeology. *International Journal of Heritage in the Digital Era* 1(0), pp. 1–6.
- Dall’Asta, E. and Roncella, R., 2014. A comparison of semiglobal and local dense matching algorithms for surface reconstruction. *ISPRS - International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences XL-5(June)*, pp. 187–194.
- Eltner, A. and Schneider, D., 2015. Analysis of Different Methods for 3D Reconstruction of Natural Surfaces from Parallel-Axes UAV Images. *The Photogrammetric Record* 30(151), pp. 279–299.
- Furukawa, Y. and Ponce, J., 2010. Accurate, Dense, and Robust Multiview Stereopsis. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 32(8), pp. 1362–1376.
- Furukawa, Y., Curless, B., Seitz, S. M. and Szeliski, R., 2010. Towards Internet-scale multi-view stereo. In: 2010 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, IEEE, pp. 1434–1441.
- Grenzdörffer, G. J., 2014. Crop height determination with UAS point clouds. *ISPRS - International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences XL-1(1)*, pp. 135–140.
- Hoffmeister, D., Bolten, A., Curdt, C., Waldhoff, G. and Bareth, G., 2010. High resolution Crop Surface Models (CSM) and Crop Volume Models (CVM) on field level by terrestrial laser scanning. *SPIE Proceedings* 7840, pp. 78400E–78400E–6.
- James, M. R. and Robson, S., 2012. Straightforward reconstruction of 3D surfaces and topography with a camera: Accuracy and geoscience application. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 117(F3), pp. F03017.
- Lowe, D. G., 1999. Object recognition from local scale-invariant features. *Proceedings of the Seventh IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision* pp. 1150–1157 vol.2.
- Lowe, D. G., 2004. Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints. *International Journal of Computer Vision* 60(2), pp. 91–110.
- Metz, M., 2012. v.ply.rectify - Imports PLY points, georeferences and exports them. <https://grass.osgeo.org/grass70/manuals/addons/v.ply.rectify.html>.
- Remondino, F., Spera, M. G., Nocerino, E., Menna, F. and Nex, F., 2014. State of the art in high density image matching. *The Photogrammetric Record* 29(146), pp. 144–166.
- Rothermel, M. and Wenzel, K., 2012. SURE - Photogrammetric Surface Reconstruction from Imagery. *Proceedings LC3D Workshop* pp. 1–21.
- Seitz, S., Curless, B., Diebel, J., Scharstein, D. and Szeliski, R., 2006. A Comparison and Evaluation of Multi-View Stereo Reconstruction Algorithms. In: 2006 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition - Volume 1 (CVPR’06), Vol. 1, IEEE, pp. 519–528.
- Semyonov, D., 2011. Algorithms used in Photoscan. <http://www.agisoft.com/forum/index.php?topic=89.msg323#msg323>.
- Tilly, N., Hoffmeister, D., Cao, Q., Huang, S., Lenz-Wiedemann, V., Miao, Y. and Bareth, G., 2014. Multitemporal crop surface models: accurate plant height measurement and biomass estimation with terrestrial laser scanning in paddy rice. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing* 8(1), pp. 083671.
- Tilly, N., Hoffmeister, D., Cao, Q., Lenz-Wiedemann, V., Miao, Y. and Bareth, G., 2013. Precise plant height monitoring and biomass estimation with Terrestrial Laser Scanning in paddy rice. *ISPRS Annals of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences II-5/W2(November)*, pp. 295–300.
- Triggs, B., McLauchlan, P. F., Hartley, R. I. and Fitzgibbon, A. W., 2000. Bundle Adjustment — A Modern Synthesis. In: B. Triggs, A. Zisserman and R. Szeliski (eds), *Vision Algorithms: Theory and Practice*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1883, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 298–372.
- Ullman, S., 1979. The Interpretation of Structure from Motion. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B*. 203(1153), pp. 405–426.
- Wu, C., 2007. SiftGPU: A GPU implementation of Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT). <http://cs.unc.edu/~ccwu/siftgpu/>.
- Wu, C., 2013. Towards Linear-Time Incremental Structure from Motion. In: 2013 International Conference on 3D Vision, IEEE, pp. 127–134.
- Wu, C., Agarwal, S., Curless, B. and Seitz, S. M., 2011. Multicore bundle adjustment. *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (1), pp. 3057–3064.