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ABSTRACT:

In resonance with the popularity of user-generated contents (UGC) and the volunteered geographic information (VGI), this study crowdsourced 77,098 hotel reviews of 220 hotels provided by U.S. reviewers in the city of San Francisco, 2002 to 2015. In this exploratory analysis, we have revealed that there is spatial dependence of customer satisfaction at different locations (of hotels), which violates the assumption that ordinary least-square (OLS) is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE); therefore, spatial model might be required for analysing any antecedents and consequences of such phenomena. These results have implications in marketing and management strategies.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the deluge of social media, user-generated contents (UGC) created by customers and volunteered geographic information (VGI) produced by citizens has formulated a new mode of knowledge generation through crowdsourcing (Wang, 2015; Zhou et al., 2015; Zhou and Zhang, 2016). For instance, UGC provide new avenues to better understand travel behaviour at different spatiotemporal scales (Wang et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016). Meanwhile, customer satisfaction is one of the most widely known customer metrics studied by researchers and applied by firms. UGC also provides critical information about brand, products and firm stock performance (Nam and Kannan, 2014; Xiong and Bharadwaj, 2014).

During the past decades, the incorporation of spatial models has become a new research area in marketing. As stated by Bradlow et al. (2005), spatial models allow for correlations in response to variables across individuals, they represent an entirely new way to understand decision processes. In resonance with this wave, this exploratory study tested whether geography matters in customer satisfactions of hotel industry at intra-city level based on data derived from TripAdvisor.com in the City of San Francisco, CA, USA.

Through Moran’s I, we confirmed that there is spatial dependence among hotel customer satisfaction at intra-city level in the City of San Francisco, CA, USA. As customer satisfaction is usually treated as dependent variable in empirical modelling approaches, such finding informs the potential violation in regard to ordinary least-square (OLS) as the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE). Therefore, spatial model might be required for analysing any antecedents and consequences of such phenomena.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The following section introduces our data and data cleaning strategies. Section 3 summarizes our measures and proposed methods. Section 4 combines empirical findings and discussions. Finally, the last section concludes with practical implications.

2. DATA

We have crowdsourced a total number of 172,050 hotel reviews from 223 hotel in the city of San Francisco, CA during 9/22/2002 to 9/30/2015 from TripAdvisor.com. TripAdvisor is not only an early adopter of UGC but also one of the largest travel site in the world, with more than 60 million members and over 170 million reviews and opinions of hotels, restaurants, attractions and other travel-related businesses around the world. TripAdvisor conducts quality check of each review. For example, TripAdvisor scrutinizes IP address and email address of the author, and tries to detect any suspicious patterns, obscene, or abusive language rather than post reviews to the website instantly. It also enables the community of users to report suspicious content, which is then assessed by a team of quality assurance specialists, and TripAdvisor alerts the owner or manager of a TripAdvisor-listed establishment whenever a review is posted on their listing. These features allow the relative high quality of review contents compared to other sources of UGC. To date, data from TripAdvisor have been used by a couple of marketing studies (Ghose et al., 2012; King et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015).

We then have cleaned and removed (1) hotels without any reviews; (2) incomplete review records (reviews without explicit review rating, review context or reviewer’s address). Finally, to control differences in international cultural and political backgrounds, we remove reviews provided by self-identified international reviewers. The final dataset is composed of 77,098 reviews from by United States reviewers in 220 hotels (Figure 1). All data were collected at individual review level for each hotel.

3. MEASURES AND METHODS

3.1 Measures

We use sentiment analysis with natural language processing toolkit and define customer satisfaction as the contextual polarity of the review content. Polarity is defined as a continuous variable, ranging from -1 to 1, where 0 denotes neutral. While positive polarity shows positive sentiment, negative value denotes negative sentiment. Additionally, the numeric rating score of
each review is obtained as a five-point Likert scale, where one indicates the least satisfactory and five indicates the most satisfactory review.

The descriptive statistics of our two measures (polarity and number hotel rating scores) from UGC in shown in Table 1. The contextual polarity ranges from -0.37 to 0.5, with an average number of 0.22, while the generic numeric score spans from 1 to 5, with a mean value of 3.61. There is a high degree of consistency between the contextual polarity and numeric rating score, measured by Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficient ($R = 0.86$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for the Average Scenario.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer Satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contextual Polarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeric Rating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are two primary types of spatial dependence. First, spatial error model (SEM), where error term across different spatial units are correlated. With spatial error in OLS estimator, the assumption of uncorrelated error terms is violated. As a result, the estimates are inefficient. Spatial error is indicative of omitted spatially corrected covariates that if left unattended would affect inference. SEM has been widely applied to econometrics, regional science and marketing research (Anselin, 2002; Bronnenberg, 2005; Cressie, 2015).

Second, spatial autoregressive (lag) model (SAM), where the dependent variable $Y$ in place $i$ is affected by the independent variables in both place $i$ and $j$. With spatial lag in OLS estimator, the assumption of uncorrelated error terms is violated. Additionally, the assumption of independent observations is also violated. As a result, the estimated are both inefficient and biased. Spatial lag may suggest a diffusion process—events in one place predict an increased likelihood of similar events in neighbouring places. SAM is more likely to be applied to inform consumer behaviour studies (Manchanda et al., 1999; Wedel and Pieters, 2000; Bradlow et al., 2005).

Before deciding handling spatial dependence using SEM or SAM, it is required to confirm the existence of spatial dependence, which is the main goal for this exploratory analysis. Detecting spatial dependence through spatial autocorrelation can tell us whether clusters of similar values across geographic space (positive spatial autocorrelation) or dissimilar values occurring near one another (negative spatial autocorrelation). Typical spatial autocorrelation statistics include Moran’s $I$ (Moran, 1950), Geary’s $C$ (Geary, 1954), Getis’s $G$ (Getis and Ord, 1992), etc. Amongst all of these statistics, Moran’s $I$ has the longest history and has been the most widely used (Wang and Armstrong, 2009; Lloyd, 2010; Huang and Wei, 2014; Luo, 2014). Therefore, Moran’ $I$ is applied in this study to test the existence of spatial dependence in hotel customer satisfactions at intra-city level.

For $n$ observations on a variable $x$ at location $(i, j)$, Moran’s $I$ is defined as:

$$I = \frac{n}{S_0} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} w_{ij} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})$$

where $ar{x}$ is the mean of the $x$ variable; $w_{ij}$ are the elements of the spatial weight matrix; $S_0$ is the sum of the elements of the spatial weight matrix:

$$S_0 = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} w_{ij}.$$
Spatial weights matrix reflects the intensity of the geographic relationship between observations in a neighborhood.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of Moran’s I from both measures are plotted in Figure 2. There exists moderate positive spatial autocorrelation of hotel customer satisfactions of study area.

Based on the Moran’s I, hotels with high customer satisfaction are spatially clustered with other highly customer-satisfied hotels. Therefore, location strategy of hotel is critical. Similar to general firms, hotels will locate to maximize their net spillovers as a function of locations’ knowledge activity, their own capabilities, and competitors’ anticipated actions (Alcácer and Chung, 2007).

Importantly, these findings also inform when using customer satisfaction as dependent variable for empirical analysis of antecedents and consequences, the presence of spatial dependence is potential violate the BLUE assumption of OLS. Therefore, SAM and/or SEM might be required to any further substantive analysis.

5. CONCLUSION

Customer satisfaction has been widely studied by researchers and applied by firms. The prevalence of UGC and VGI helps customers and firms. This paper applied both sentiment analysis derived contextual polarity with natural language processing and numeric rating scores to confirm that spatial dependence exists and geography matters in online hotel reviews. Measured by Moran’s I, we have confirmed that highly-rated hotels are clustered and location does matter. In the future, SAM and/or SEM can be used to unveil more insights of the antecedents and consequences related to customer satisfaction at both intra-city and inter-city scales.
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