MODELING URBAN DYNAMICS USING RANDOM FOREST: IMPLEMENTING ROC AND TOC FOR MODEL EVALUATION
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ABSTRACT

The importance of spatial accuracy of land use/cover change maps necessitates the use of high performance models. To reach this goal, calibrating machine learning (ML) approaches to model land use/cover conversions have received increasing interest among the scholars. This originates from the strength of these techniques as they powerfully account for the complex relationships underlying urban dynamics. Compared to other ML techniques, random forest has rarely been used for modeling urban growth. This paper, drawing on information from the multi-temporal Landsat satellite images of 1985, 2000 and 2015, calibrates a random forest regression (RFR) model to quantify the variable importance and simulation of urban change spatial patterns. The results and performance of RFR model were evaluated using two complementary tools, relative operating characteristics (ROC) and total operating characteristics (TOC), by overlaying the map of observed change and the modeled suitability map for land use change (error map). The suitability map produced by RFR model showed 82.48% area under curve for the ROC model which indicates a very good performance and highlights its appropriateness for simulating urban growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

Around 2% or 3% of the Earth’s land surface is covered by urban land (Poelmans and van Rompaey 2010). Thus, information with respect to the intensity and future direction of urban expansion is of great importance for urban planners, policy makers and scholars. In this regard, using satellite images to monitor, identify and analyze urban expansion is the initial step.

A review of the previous studies shows that urban dynamics operate in a non-linear and complex manner (Tayyebi et al., 2014; Shafizadeh-Moghadam et al., 2015). A number of statistical (e.g., logistic regression and auto-logistic regression) and machine learning approaches (e.g., neural networks and support vector machines) have been calibrated and developed for modelling urban dynamics (Poelmans and van Rompaey 2010; Tayyebi and Pijanowski 2014; Shafizadeh-Moghadam et al., 2015); however, random forest (RF) (Breiman, 2001) has rarely been used in the field of land change modelling.

The RF is a non-parametric tree-based model and quite desirable for dealing with high-dimensional datasets. The appeal of RF is that it offers an understandable and intuitive structure with a solid theory. Pal (2005) reported high performance of the RF in land use classification and that it requires less user-defined parameters than support vector machines (SVMs). Relaxation of normal distribution assumption, robustness to over-fitting, less required training time and providing information regarding variable importance are the main characteristics of this method. Kamusoko and Gamba (2015) compared the random forest-cellular automata (RF-CA) with support vector machine cellular automata (SVM-CA) and logistic regression cellular automata (LR-CA) models for
modelling urban change. They found that RF-CA model outperformed SVM-CA and LR-CA models. Compared to statistical models (e.g., logistic regression), RF is not sensitive to outliers and is superior to generalized additive mixed models which fail to account for interaction among the explanatory. For these reasons, RF is increasingly employed in spatial analysis, remote sensing and modelling spatial data for purposes such as land use classification, soil evaluation, uncertainty analysis and landslide hazard mapping (e.g., Gislason et al., 2006; Loosveld et al., 2012; Heung et al., 2014).

To the best of our knowledge, with the exception of Kamusoko and Gamba (2015) who used the RF-CA urban land change model, no study has used RFR to simulate urban growth. Thus, the main objective of this paper is to evaluate RFR model in terms of urban change detection performance and spatial accuracy in Rasht, capital of Gilan Province, Iran. The simulated maps will be evaluated using the observed maps and statistical tools.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study area

Rasht is the largest and most populous city in Iran's Caspian Sea coast and the capital of Gilan Province. Located on 37°53' N and 49°58' E and with 920000 people (Fig. 1), the urban region of Rasht receives thousands of tourists annually. The total area of the region is approximately 180 km². The city, in recent decades, has experienced increasing population growth and urban expansion. Due to the urban expansion in recent decades, many of the peripheral villages have been appended into the urban regions of Rasht.

2.2 Data

In this study, Landsat data are the main source for information extraction and monitoring urban change. Table 1 lists the dataset and driving forces prepared for modeling urban growth using RFR model. The Landsat images of 1985 (TM, May 1985), 2000 (ETM+, May 2000) and 2015 (ETM+, April 2015) were processed for spatio-temporal mapping and were then projected to UTM Zone 39 North with 30m spatial resolution. The classification procedure was conducted using maximum likelihood classification followed by a post-classification phase for improving the accuracy of the classified maps.

The processing stage resulted in five classes including built-up class, crop land, open land, water bodies and forest. The classification accuracy, using the Kappa index, was 87%, 86% and 88% for 1985, 2000 and 2015 respectively.

The urban change between the 1985 and 2000 was the target variable and a set of 11 driving forces including distance from main roads, distance from built-up areas, distance from coast, distance from agricultural land, distance from open land, digital elevation model (DEM), slope, aspect, northing and easting were used as explanatory variables. The selection of driving forces was based on previous experimental studies (e.g. Hu and Lo 2007; Shafizadeh-Moghadam et al. 2015) and the local characteristics of the region.

2.3 Method

A set of classification and regression trees (CART) are known as Random forests so that each tree relies upon the values of an independently sampled random vector with the same distribution for all trees in the forest (Breiman 2001; Tayyebi and Pjanowski, 2014). RF can be used for classification and regression tasks while estimating variable importance through these processes (Tayyebi et al., 2014). In RFR model, the output is calculated by taking the average over k of the trees (Breiman 2001). From the total amount of predictors (p), as a rule of thumb, the square root (m) of p is used to make decisions at each tree node. Since there were 11 independent factors for predicting the target variable, the m parameter was set at 4. The number of trees to be fitted was set at 400. To assess the model performance, out-of-bag (OOB) approach was used. The OOB is an internal error estimate of an RF as it is being constructed, from the data which are not in the bootstrap sampling process (Mellor et al., 2013).

We used relative operating characteristics (ROC) and following the recommendation of Pontius and Si (2014), employed total operating characteristics (TOC), as well as error maps to assess the goodness-of-fit of transition potential maps (Kamusoko and Gamba 2015) and visualization of spatial accuracy of the RFR model in urban growth simulation. Considering multiple thresholds, ROC reveals how strong each threshold of the generated index is in diagnosing either presence or absence of a characteristic which results in a two by two contingency table without informing the size of each entry (Pontius and Si 2014). While preserving the important information revealed by ROC,

Figure 1. Study area, Rasht, Iran
### Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Data type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance from agriculture areas (m)</td>
<td>0-1,590</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from sea (m)</td>
<td>0-47,306</td>
<td>20,524</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from built-up areas (m)</td>
<td>0-9,703</td>
<td>1,688</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from river (m)</td>
<td>0-23,365</td>
<td>5,041</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from forest (m)</td>
<td>0-1,667</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from roads (m)</td>
<td>0-9,710</td>
<td>2,585</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEM (m)</td>
<td>44-683</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (°)</td>
<td>0-51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect (°)</td>
<td>0-360</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easting parameter (m)</td>
<td>364,929-403,689</td>
<td>383,062</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northing parameter (m)</td>
<td>4,098,817-4,145,917</td>
<td>4,125,967</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Spatial explanatory variables of urban change between 1985 and 2000.

---

**3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Model construction followed two consequent steps. In order to develop RF model, we used the urban growth between 1985 and 2000 for model calibration and the urban growth between 2000 and 2015 for model validation (Fig 2). The model obtained from the calibration phase was then used to predict urban change between 2000 and 2015. In the modelling process, built-up areas and water bodies in 2000 were considered as exclusionary zones and the rest were seen as the regions where urban expansion could occur. We set the built-up gain between the two consequent times as target variable (Target variable was coded as either 0 or 1, pixels that were transformed to the built-up class between 1985 and 2000 were coded as 1, and those which were unchanged were considered as 0) and a set of 11 driving forces as explanatory variables (Table 1). By running the model, as seen from Figure 3, after 100 trees, the model starts converging and the error rate falls below 0.01 at 400 trees point. Then, a probability map was obtained with values ranging from 0 to 1 representing lowest to highest probability of urban change occurrence. The processes of calibration and prediction were conducted using MATLAB®.

---

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Urban growth for the years between 1985 and 2000 and between 2000 and 2015.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Decrease in error as a function of the number of trees for RFR model
By subtracting Landsat images of 2000 and 2015, it was revealed that 68313 cells were transmitted to built-up class from all other classes. Following the approach of Pijanowski et al. (2002), 68313 cells which had the greatest change likelihood values were selected from the output transition probability map obtained from RFR and classified as new built-up areas. Figure 4 shows the observed changes between 2000 and 2015 along with the predicted changes obtained by RFR model. The model was able to correctly predict 44476 out of 68313 urban changes and 1113353 out of 1156506 non-changed pixels. The area under ROC curve was 82.48% which indicates good performance of the model. Each threshold in ROC creates a two-by-two contingency table, which has four numbers: True Positive (TP; shows cells which are predicted as change and are actually change cells in the observed map), False negative (FN; shows cells which are predicted as non-change but are actually change cells in the observed map), True negative (TN; shows cells which are predicted as non-change and are actually non-change cells in the observed map) False positive (FP; shows cells which are predicted as non-change but are actually change cells in the observed map) as shown in Fig. 4. Unlike ROC, TOC shows the four numbers in contingency table for each threshold. For example, the values for TP, TN, FP and FN were 44387, 968116, 23926 and 188390 for a threshold of 0.8 in the TOC curve (Fig. 5), respectively. Also, the area under ROC curve (82.48%) is equal to the ratio of the area of TOC curve within the parallelogram to the whole area of parallelogram (Fig. 5).

On the other hand, the most important variables for explaining the spatial patterns of urban growth were distance from sea, easting and northing, distance from water bodies, distance from roads, distance from built-up areas and DEM in order.
Figure 5. Total operating characteristic (TOC) for the performance of RFR in simulating urban change between 2000 and 2015.

Figure 6. Variable importance plots based on A) mean decrease accuracy and B) mean decrease Gini for urban growth prediction which had the highest proportion of variance explained.
However, the slope, aspect, forest and croplands did not appear to be significant predictors (Fig 6).

RFR is a powerful model similar to the other black box models such as neural networks which are desirable where the prediction is prioritized. In contrast to the logistic regression, a drawback of RFR model is that it does not provide insights regarding the positive or negative influence of each variable. The variable importance is indeed an indicator of the predictive ability of each variable in RF model.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Understanding the complexity and interaction among the drivers of urban dynamics is key to accomplishing a highly accurate urban growth prediction. The spatial accuracy of urban change simulation map is of great importance to urban planners and policy makers which highlights the importance of developing more accurate models. In this paper, on the basis of the extracted land use classes from the Landsat imageries, RFR model was calibrated for modeling and understanding the importance of urban growth factors. Then, using ROC and TOC statistical tools and by overlaying the observed and simulated maps, spatial accuracy of RFR model was calculated.

The RFR model proved to be a proper tool for urban growth simulation. A measure of variable importance was also computed through the modeling process of RFR which is related to the predictive ability of the model and thus not analogous to the statistical approaches such as logistic regression which are well known for their ability to quantify causality. On the other hand, by the relaxation of statistical assumptions, ease of use and parameter tuning, RFR application is highly recommended for the other geographical regions. It is also suggested to calibrate the model for multiple land use/cover change modeling. However, when using RFR, it should be noted that it is a time-intensive method where running time goes up enormously by increasing the number of trees.

5. REFERENCES


