ABSTRACT:

In the Galapagos Islands, where 97% of the territory is protected and ecosystem dynamics are highly vulnerable, timely and accurate information is key for decision making. An appropriate monitoring system must meet two key features: on one hand, being able to capture information in a systematic and regular basis, and on the other hand, to quickly gather information on demand for specific purposes. The lack of such a system for geographic information limits the ability of Galapagos Islands’ institutions to evaluate and act upon environmental threats such as invasive species spread and vegetation degradation. In this context, the use of UAVs (unmanned aerial vehicles) for capturing georeferenced images is a promising technology for environmental monitoring and management. This paper explores the potential of UAV images for monitoring degradation of littoral vegetation in Puerto Villamil (Isabela Island, Galapagos, Ecuador). Imagery was captured using two camera types: Red Green Blue (RGB) and Infrared Red Green (NIR). First, vegetation presence was identified through NDVI. Second, object-based classification was carried out for characterization of vegetation vigor. Results demonstrate the feasibility of UAV technology for base-line studies and monitoring on the amount and vigorousness of littoral vegetation in the Galapagos Islands. It also showed that UAV images are not only useful for visual interpretation and object delineation, but also to timely produce useful thematic information for environmental management.

1. INTRODUCTION

A critical factor for rational natural resources management is information availability (De Gruijter et al., 2006). In the Galapagos Islands, where 97% of the territory is protected and ecosystem dynamics are highly vulnerable, timely and accurate information is key for decision making. An appropriate monitoring system must meet two key features: on one hand, being able to capture information in a systematic and regular basis; and on the other hand, to quickly gather information on demand for specific purposes. The lack of such a system for capturing geoinformation limits the ability of Galapagos Islands’ institutions to evaluate and act upon environmental threats such as invasive species spread and environmental degradation.

It was reported that anthropogenic impacts have already caused the degradation of 5% of the Archipelago (Watson et al., 2010). Large populated places in Galapagos are located near the coastline, where dominant vegetation are shrubs and mangroves. Degradation of littoral vegetation, and mangroves in particular, is critical for both, land and marine species. As an example, the critically endangered mangrove finch (Camarhynchus melanoleucos) was historically recorded in five mangrove forests on Isabela Island and one of them was close to Puerto Villamil’s urban area. This species is currently confined to only three small mangrove patches on the island (Fessl et al., 2010) and the population size is estimated in only about 100 individuals (BirdLife International, 2015). Littoral vegetation monitoring is therefore of utmost importance in Galapagos, in order to trigger early warning alerts when vegetation degradation is detected.

Imagery from remote sensing satellite platforms has been used in Galapagos for several decades for environmental research and management (Trueman et al., 2013; Trueman et al., 2014). However, a number of disadvantages limit the applications of such platforms in regions such as Galapagos (Matese et al., 2015). On the one hand, the high frequency of cloud coverage above the islands along the year makes difficult to obtain clear imagery at regular time intervals. On the other hand, the relatively low spatial resolution of free and low-cost products limits the possibilities for timely detection of changes at small scales. These limitations hinder the potential of satellite imagery based monitoring systems in Galapagos. In this context, UAVs (unmanned aerial vehicles) are a promising technology for environmental monitoring in the Galapagos Islands. They offers a low-cost and rapid deployment alternative for geoinformation generation (Anderson and Gaston, 2013). UAVs are revolutionizing the way in which georeferenced imagery is captured (Colomina and Molina, 2014). Images have very high spatial resolutions (sub-decimeter) which generate a huge amount of information (several billion pixels) (Hardin and Jensen, 2011). Conversely, spectral resolution is usually low due the limited characteristics of the sensors that are generally used (Teodoro and Araujo, 2016).

UAV technology is currently in growth and expansion, providing a wide range of available options in the market. Colomina and Molina (2014) provided an UAV classification according to their main characteristics. In relation to its weight, they range from micro UAV (less than 5kg), mini (less than 30kg), to tactical (less
than 150kg). In relation to the coverage area, from less than 10km to 70km or more; in relation to flight autonomy from few minutes to 30 hours. UAVs are also available with fixed wing or propellers and with cameras in the visible, infrared, multi-spectral, thermal and LiDAR spectrums.

The wide variety of UAVs makes also very wide the range of possible applications, such as agriculture (Zhang and Kovacs, 2012); environment and ecology (Anderson and Gaston, 2013; Salami et al., 2014); natural disasters (Baiocchi et al., 2013); cadastral (Rijsdijk et al., 2013) and cultural heritage (Bendea et al., 2007). Specifically for environmental management is was applied to biomass monitoring (Bendig et al., 2015); forest tree discrimination (Clark et al., 2005); health condition of riparian forest species (Michez et al., 2016); weed mapping in crop fields (Peña et al., 2013); forest fallen trees detection (Inoue et al., 2014) and quantification of forest gap patterns (Getzin et al., 2014).

This paper explores the potential of UAV images for monitoring degradation of littoral vegetation in Puerto Villamil (Isabela Island, Galapagos, Ecuador). UAV imagery was captured in June 2015 using two camera types: Red Green Blue (RGB) and Near Infrared (NIR). First, vegetation presence was identified through NDVI. Second, object-based classification was carried out for characterization of vegetation vigor. This work demonstrates the feasibility of UAV technology for baseline studies and monitoring on the amount and vigor of littoral vegetation in the Galapagos Islands.

2. METHOD

2.1 UAV flights

Fights were done in June 2015 in Puerto Villamil which is located in Isabela Island, Galapagos (Figure 1). It was used an ebee AG from SenseFly (https://www.sensefly.com/drones/ebee-ag.html). Two flights were done, one focused on the visible region of the spectrum and the other in the near infrared region. Both flights were conducted in order to achieve a spatial resolution of 7 cm.
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Figure 1. Galapagos Islands. (a) In the American Continent context, and (b) Puerto Villamil, Isabela Island.

In the first flight, the UAV was equipped with a RGB camera (Sony WX with blue 450 nm, red 520 nm and green 660 nm bands). It was covered 409 ha, collecting 242 images. Post-processing was done with Postflight Terra 3D and consisted of three steps. First, an initial calibration processing generated a quality report indicating the overlapping density per pixel, gaps or mosaic distortion, number of images calibrated and georeferenced. Second, densification of 3D point cloud was done. Third, the orthomosaic and digital surface model were generated. This resulted of 64 tiles with around 1.3 billion pixels (Figure 2). In the second flight, the UAV was equipped with a NIR camera (Cannon S110 with green 550 nm, red 625 nm and infrared 850 nm). It was covered a smaller area of 85 ha, collecting 69 images. After post-processing, an orthomosaic with 12 tiles was obtained (around 207 million pixels) (Figure 2).
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Figure 2. Overlapped orthomosaics generated with the two UAV flights (RGB and NIR). In red is highlighted the selected region of interest.

2.2 Region of interest and NDVI masking

One of the main bottleneck to perform image classification is computing time. In order to achieve a reasonable computing time, we selected a region of interest containing land cover types which were representative for the whole image (Figure 3). It contained urban areas (roads and buildings) and natural areas (vegetation, sea, sand, bare soil, and lava rocks). It covered 5.7 ha with close to 12 million pixels.
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Figure 3. Region of interest. (a) RGB image and (b) NIR image.

Since images were obtained from two flights, shifts of 4 to 6 cm were detected among images. To correct this effect, NIR image was linearly moved to overlap RGB image. Spatial resolution was kept at 7 cm. Finally, a multispectral image was created grouping red, green and blue bands from RGB image as well as infrared, red and green bands from NIR image (i.e. r_RGB, g_RGB, b_RGB, nir_NIR, r_NIR and g_NIR bands).

Finally, in order to focus attention of OBIA classification only on vegetation, NDVI was calculated and values larger than 0.025 were selected, while the smaller were masked. The threshold was...
selected through visual interpretation. These processes were done in QGIS in combination with R using rgdal and raster packages.

2.3 OBIA classification

Traditional imagery classification methods are pixel-based. Each individual pixel is compared with training information in order to decide the class it belongs to. They have been largely used for low spatial and large spectral resolutions. However, when classifying UAV images with pixel-based classification, three challenges are present. First, it has been shown that the quality of prediction is reduced when spatial resolution increase. Second, billion pixels of UAV significantly increase computing time. Third, UAV has very low spectral resolution which is a disadvantage for classification. In this context, object-based image classification (OBIA) showed a better performance in comparison to pixel-based analysis. OBIA is performed through two steps: segmentation and classification (Blaschke et al., 2014; Teodoro and Araujo, 2016).

2.3.1 Segmentation

Segmentation is the process by which an image is subdivided into homogeneous objects. It was performed with the Mean Shift Segmentation algorithm (MSS) implemented in ORFEO toolbox. It selects neighboring pixels based on iterations of spatial radius and color range. Three main parameters need to be set: the spatial radius used to define the neighborhood, the range radius used to define the interval in the color space, and the minimum size for the regions to be kept after clustering. Then, the segmented image is converted to shapefile polygons (Teodoro and Araujo, 2016).

We performed segmentation with the multiband image (r_RGB, g_RGB, b_RGB, nir_NIR, r_NIR and g_NIR bands). Segmentation was masked with NDVI smaller than 0.025. The three MSS parameters were set as: spatial radius of 10, range radius of 20, minimum regions size of 4. They were chosen after combination of different parameter values and visual interpretation of results.

2.3.2 Classification

Supervised image classification transforms a set of bands with multispectral reflectance information in a single layer containing discrete thematic information, typically “classes” of land cover. It requires to identify a set of predictive variables (bands) and the classes or land cover to classify. It is also needed to select training as well as validation polygons. Then a classifier is executed to assigns each segmented object to a defined class.

We selected two classes: high and low vegetation vigor. High vegetation vigor was related to high chlorophyll level and those located in high water content soil. Low vegetation vigor was related to leafless trees, low chlorophyll level and algae on lava rocks in the intertidal zone. We used 6 predictive variables, 3 bands from the RGB image (red, green and blue), and 3 from NIR image (infrared, red and green). Training polygons were identified based on segmentation results. A total of 139 segments were recognized as belonging to high vigor class (60 segments) or to low vigor class (79 segments). Polygons identification were scattered throughout the region of interest taking into consideration class variability (Figure 4a).

The used classifier was Random Forest (Breiman, 2001). This is based on a collection of decision trees, where each tree is formed with a subset of segments. The subset is selected through a random sampling with replacement (Bagging o Bootstrap Aggregating). In this sampling, 1/3 of the data is left out to estimate the goodness of classification, in order to compute the “out-of-bagging error” or OBB. Each decision tree returns a “vote” and the algorithm choose the class that most votes obtained in all generated trees (Belgiu and Dragut, 2016; Lawrence et al., 2006; Rodriguez-Galiano et al., 2012). For computation, it was used the randomForest library in R (Liaw and Wiener, 2002), with 500 decision trees.

Finally, validation segments were labeled independently from classification results in order to build a confusion matrix and report classification accuracy. A total of 84 polygons were labeled (Figure 4b). The confusion matrix was generated with Caret library in R (Kuhn, 2008).

3. RESULTS

3.1 NDVI masking

Figure 5 shows the NDVI map. In red color there is the sea (values between -0.5 to -0.8), in orange and yellow colors there are the bare soil, buildings, roads and sand (values between -0.5 and 0.025), and in different shades of green there is vegetation (values between 0.025 and 0.6). Darker green color is associated with high chlorophyll content while light green with lower chlorophyll content, which may be due to dried or leafless plants. NDVI was also able to highlight small amounts of marine algae located in lava rocks in the intertidal zone. Maximum NDVI value was of 1, however only 3 pixels had values larger than 0.4. Figure 6 summarizes NDVI value distribution. After NDVI masking, the region of interest was reduced to 2.45 ha with 5 million pixels (Figure 5b).

Figure 5. NDVI. (a) NDVI map and (b) masked NDVI with values smaller than 0.025

Figure 4. Labeled segments. (a) Training segments (a), and (b) validation segments
3.2 Segmentation

Segmentation gave as result 18815 polygons (Figure 7), which represented only the 0.2 % of the total pixels from the region of interest. It was well performed being able to detect small as well large segments of homogenous vegetation. Mean segmentation area was of 1.3 m². Only 10 segments had areas larger than 100 m², and all of them represented high vegetation vigor. Figure 8 shows the histogram of segment areas for smaller areas than 10 m² (which contained 99.25 % of total segments).

It was detected that some building roofs were segmented. Their NDVI values were larger than 0.025, which may be because of roof material reflecting infrared wavelength or moss presence on the roofs. The first case is likely to occur in two cases when the whole roof was segmented, while the second in cases where only small parts of the roof were segmented. Additionally, some vegetation located in soil with high water content were not selected for segmentation since their NDVI was lower than 0.025.

3.3 Classification

Table 1 and Figure 9 show classification results. They show a predominance of vegetation with high vigor (75 %) and less presence of vegetation with low vigor (25 %).

Table 1. Vegetation distribution by class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>Number of segments</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High vigor</td>
<td>10386</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>74.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low vigor</td>
<td>8429</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>25.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18815</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows that there was a predominance of vegetation with high vigor (75 %) and less presence of vegetation with low vigor (25 %).

Figure 10 shows detailed areas for classification and their respective NIR images. Figures 10a and 10b show algae in the intertidal zone. This vegetation is essential to feed the marine iguanas. This were classified as vegetation with low vigor. The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XLI-B1, 2016
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because it has low chlorophyll level and density. In other to
capture this type of vegetation is needed to plan UAV flights
during low tides. Figures 10c and 10d mainly show palm plants
in urban area of Puerto Villamil. In Galapagos’ urban areas are
typical to find non-native and invasive species such as palms.
Most of the palms were classified as vegetation with high vigor,
while few of them with low vigor. Finally, figures 10e and 10f
show mangroves located close to the sea. There are mangrove
areas with high vigor because of high chlorophyll level and
density, while others with low vigor because of leafless plants or
poor mangrove density.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation coverage</th>
<th>High vigor</th>
<th>Low vigor</th>
<th>Misclassification (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High vigor</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low vigor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Confusion matrix for validation segments

Finally, figure 11 shows the predictive variables importance for
classification by the Mean Decrease Accuracy. In the y-axis are
the predictive variables and in the x-axis their importance for
classification. It illustrates how the accuracy of the classification
was reduced during the “out-of-bagging” process. It is observed
that the bands that had more impact on the classification accuracy
were green NIR and blue RGB bands, followed by the infrared
NIR band. Less impact on the classification accuracy had green
RGB and both RGB and NIR red bands. Variable importance
could be used in future classifications for variable selection.

Figure 10. Classification detailed areas. (a - b) Algae in
intertidal zone; (c - d) Palm urban vegetation; (e - f) Mangrove
vegetation

For training data, the overall OOB error was 2.16 %. The error
class for low vegetation vigor was 0%, while for high vigor was
0.05% with 3 segments misclassified. For validation data, table 2
shows the confusion matrix with misclassification by coverage
type. Overall classification accuracy was of 0.94 with a Kappa
index of 0.88. Vegetation with high vigor was correctly
classified, while vegetation with low vigor had a 12% of
misclassifications.

Figure 11. Variable importance for classification

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This study explored the potential of UAV image analysis for
monitoring degradation of littoral vegetation in a pilot area of the
Galapagos Islands. OBIA classification for high and low
vegetation vigor was done on a NDVI masked image. This image
was compound of RGB and NIR bands. The segmentation step
of OBIA was performed with the Mean Shift segmentation
algorithm implemented in ORFEO toolbox, and the classification
step with the randomForest library in R. This work demonstrates
the feasibility of UAV technology for baseline studies and
monitoring on the amount and vigorousness of littoral vegetation
in the Galapagos Islands. The results showed that images of
UAVs are not only useful for visual interpretation and
digitization, but that they are also suitable for generation of
environmental thematic information.

In the analysis presented here, we did not perform atmospheric
and radiometric corrections which, in the case of satellite images,
are needed to obtain radiance values for proper interpretation of
NDVI and temporary analysis. While the effect of the atmosphere
is much lower in UAVs than satellite images, this effect needs to
be quantified in order to properly apply image analysis.

Regarding NDVI masking, two issues need to be addressed. First,
in areas with high soil water content, NDVI had very low values.
So that vegetation located in these areas were masked by the
selected threshold. Second, some building roofs had NDVI larger
than 0.025. This could be because of roof material reflecting
infrared wavelength or moss presence on the roofs for the high
humidity levels in the region of interest. Therefore, it will be
needed to explore and compare the NDVI masking process with
other vegetation indices such as EVI (Enhanced Vegetation Index), SAVI (Soil-adjusted Vegetation Index) or IPVI (infrared percentage vegetation index).

The bottleneck in UAV image analysis is the huge amount of pixels, the very high spatial resolution and the low spectral resolution. Consequently, OBIA classification offers a solution to manage these issues. Segmentation as well as classification could be improved by the use of textures. This will be necessary for species identification, especially for invasive species such as blackberry, Spanish cedar (Cedrela odorata), or guayagillo (Eugenia pachyclamys).

UAVs are revolutionizing geoinformation capturing, allowing on demand and rapid deployment captures. This become especially relevant in tropical areas, such as Galapagos Islands, where satellite monitoring is very limited because of an almost permanent cloud coverage. We identified potential applications of UAV for environmental management in both protected and populated areas of Galapagos, for instance: invasive species identification, vegetation health monitoring, tourist visiting sites monitoring, monitoring of mining materials or wood extraction, and extension and seasonality variation of wetlands. Our future research will be related to explore OBIA classification with texture analysis for invasive species identification.
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