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ABSTRACT:
Terrestrial Laser Scanning (TLS) is an established method to reconstruct the geometrical surface of given objects. Current systems allow for fast and efficient determination of 3D models with high accuracy and richness in detail. Alternatively, 3D reconstruction services are using images to reconstruct the surface of an object. While the instrumental expenses for laser scanning systems are high, upcoming free software services as well as open source software packages enable the generation of 3D models using digital consumer cameras. In addition, processing TLS data still requires an experienced user while recent web-services operate completely automatically. An indisputable advantage of image based 3D modeling is its implicit capability for model texturing. However, the achievable accuracy and resolution of the 3D models is lower than those of laser scanning data. Within this contribution, we investigate the results of automated web-services for image based 3D model generation with respect to a TLS reference model. For this, a copper sculpture was acquired using a laser scanner and using image series of different digital cameras. Two different web-services, namely Arc3D and Autodesk 123D Catch were used to process the image data. The geometric accuracy was compared for the entire model and for some highly structured details. The results are presented and interpreted based on difference models. Finally, an economical comparison of the generation of the models is given considering the interactive and processing time costs.

1. INTRODUCTION

The digital representation of objects is necessary for numerous scientific tasks. In combination with texture, the geometrical models are commonly used for visual interpretation. Complex surface structures and temporal changes are detectable and thus used as foundation for various applications in cultural heritage documentation and maintenance. Two different methods are commonly used for data acquisition. Image based reconstruction uses digital photographs of a scene taken from different viewpoints. Alternatively, Terrestrial Laser Scanning (TLS) is based on actively emitting laser beams to directly determine points at the object’s surface. Both methods can be used individually. In addition, hybrid approaches based on TLS with on-mount or in-line cameras are applicable to directly assign texture information to the point cloud.

Numerous comparisons of both methods can be found in literature. Grussenmayer et al. (2008) analyze the quality of facades of a building and almost planar objects. It was found, that both methods deliver similar result with respect to the achievable accuracy. However, the recording configuration of each method was of crucial importance. Roncella et al. (2011) describe a structure from motion (SFM) approach based on automatically detected interest points i.e. tie-points. The orientation of the different images is estimated by bundle adjustment. The possibility of mounting the camera on a low cost-UAV drone and subsequent processing of the recorded data with different matching services is described by Neitzel et al. (2011). A comparison of different web-services is described by Kersten et al. (2012).
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Within this contribution, we investigate the achievable accuracy of automated web-services for image based 3D model generation. The results are compared to a reference model, derived from TLS point clouds. This comparison is based on geometric models of a copper sculpture. Finally, an economical evaluation of the necessary effort is given.

2. METHODOLOGY AND THEORY

2.1 Terrestrial Laser Scanning

TLS is an active measurement method using emitted laser light at visible or near infrared wavelength to measure the distance between the scanner and object surface. A rotating mirror reflects the signal in a well-defined direction in space and in combination with the determined distance measure, it is possible to determine a 3D point via (Eq.1). All points recorded from one scanning position are referring to the scanner coordinate system. In general, multiple scanning positions are necessary to cover the entire surface of an object. Hence, transformations between different scans are required. The transformation (Eq.1) consists of 7 parameters - 3 rotation angles, 3 translations and a scale. While the latter, i.e. the scale, typically needs not to be estimated, the remaining 6 parameters of (Eq.1) can be determined using control points. Each point-pair defines 3 equations to solve the equation system and by selecting a minimum number of 3 points, the unknown parameters can be computed in a linear way. By including more than 3 points, the equation system is over-determined and a
least squares adjustment may be applied. For the least square approach, approximations of the unknown parameters are necessary. They can be determined manually or automatically by 3D features (R.B. Rusu et al., 2009).

\[ \begin{align*}
X &= m \cdot F \cdot x + T \\
Y &= m \cdot F \cdot y + T \\
Z &= m \cdot F \cdot z + T
\end{align*} \]

(Eq.1)

The determination of the positions of the control points within overlapping scans may either be realized interactively (i.e. by a user selecting unique features like corners, end of edges, etc.) or automatically by extracting, either signalized or well-defined points. Alternatively, the registration can be determined by means of minimizing the discrepancies between points of overlapping regions. This approach requires an initial estimation of the transformation. Typically a variant of the iterative closest point (ICP) algorithm is applied for this. Rusinkiewicz et al. (2001) describe various variants of this algorithm.

2.2 Image Based Surface Reconstruction

Photogrammetry aims at reconstructing object points based on images. The so-called image ray defines the projection of an object point through the projection center onto the light sensitive elements (CCD sensor) on an image plane. An image is therefore a mapping of the three dimensional space on a two dimensional plane. The mathematical relations between the object coordinate system and the image coordinate system is given in (Eq. 2).

\[ \begin{align*}
x &= x_0 - c \cdot r_x(x_i - X_0) \\
y &= y_0 - c \cdot r_y(x_i - X_0) \\
(\ X_i - X_0) &= \begin{bmatrix} X - X_i \\ Y - Y_i \\ Z - Z_i \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*} \]

(Eq.2)

R is an orthogonal matrix that describes the rotation and \((X_i - X_0)\) is the translational shift of the projection center with reference to a superior coordinate system. The orthogonal distance between the image-plane and the projection center is called focal length \(c\) and required for subsequent point determination. In addition, the principal point is defined by its so-called principal point \(c\) and \((X_i - X_0)\) is the translational shift of the projection center with reference to a superior coordinate system. The orthogonal distance between the image-plane and the projection center is called focal length \(c\) and required for subsequent point determination.

Figure 1. Intersection of 2 rays deliver a 3D point. This point can be re-projected onto another image (by a known orientation)

Usually, the back-projected object points have an offset (reprojection error) with respect to the extracted feature points in the image, caused by parameter inaccuracies, unhandled camera distortions or outliers in the feature detection and/or matching process, except the number of unknowns (rotation, translation and internal camera parameters) is equal to the number of observations. Then, a linear solution of the parameters can be computed. If the equation system is redundant (the number of unknowns is lower than the number of observations), a least squares adjustment needs to be performed to obtain the best parameters of the relative orientation. The extraction of feature points, the matching part, outlier handling, as well as the bundle adjustment are categorized as sparse reconstruction and are a crucial accuracy criterion for an automatic reconstruction service.

The result of the sparse reconstruction is a sparse point-cloud, where only feature points or significant texture based pixel-areas are involved. A dense matching process is performed afterwards and its goal is to find as many matches as possible. By selecting an arbitrarily image point in one image, it is possible to restrict its occurring position to a line in a second overlapping image via the epipolar condition. A squared area around the selected point is used as a reference area and it is correlated with pixel regions around the before restricted line. The limitation of the search area provides a reduction of computation time and a more robust result, because the probability that the same selected area is occurring is increased. The result of this step is a point cloud with a resolution that is correlated to the resolution of the images used.
Finally, the scale of the model has to be determined properly. It may either be determined by explicit measurement of a priori known distances between well-defined points or by so-called direct georeferencing, i.e. if the camera positions are exactly known. While in the latter case, the model is properly scaled implicitly, the first case requires the application of a spatial similarity transformation. By introducing control point measurements in the bundle adjustment process, it is also possible to accomplish that the reconstructed 3D points are georeferenced.

3. EXPERIMENT

3.1 Data Acquisition – Reference Data

*Zoller & Fröhlich Imager 5006i* was used to determine the reference model of a sculpture. The extension of the testing object is approximately 2 by 1.5 by 2 meters. The scan positions were radially aligned around the object. The registration of the scans was initiated by interactively determined identical points. Subsequently it was improved by an ICP algorithm. The triangulation model was determined by means of an advanced implementation of the Poisson triangulation (Nothegger, 2011). In comparison to other approaches, the thus determined triangulation has fewer deficiencies considering twisted triangles and data gaps. This reduces the required interactive post-processing significantly.

![Figure 2. Reconstructed model by TLS](image)

3.2 Data Acquisition – Photogrammetry

For the image data acquisition, two digital cameras were used (Table 1). For the Nikon, we used a tripod, while the Casio images were taken without.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Camera type</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Camera lens</th>
<th>Images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLR</td>
<td>Nikon D70</td>
<td>6.1 MPix</td>
<td>18.0-70.0mm</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3039x2014)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Camera</td>
<td>Casio Exilim ZR100</td>
<td>12 MPix</td>
<td>4.24-53.0mm</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4000x3000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table 1. Camera specification and viewpoint setup](image)

The viewing positions were aligned with equal angular increments at almost circularly arranged viewpoints around the sculpture hence ensuring almost equal object distances (Fig.3). The resulting overlap is approximately 70-80%. The models are illustrated in (Fig.4, Fig.5). After an export of the data from the different software packages, the raw point-cloud was triangulated with the Poisson approach that is implemented in Meshlab (http://meshlab.sourceforge.net/).

![Figure 3. Configuration of the data acquisition](image)

![Figure 4. 3D-model based on Nikon D70 images (Arc3d)](image)

![Figure 5. 3D-model based on Casio Exilim images (Arc3d)](image)

No texture information is available at the shoulder, because the CCD sensor is overexposed by direct sun light.

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Comparison of TLS and Automated Services

The comparison of the reference (i.e. TLS-based) model and the results of the web-service are based on difference models. The offsets (differences) are represented as color-coded visualizations. The photogrammetric models were generated by the software "Arc3d" (M. Vergauwen, et al., 2006) and Autodesk 123D Catch (www.123dapp.com/catch).

Prior to the comparison, it was necessary to estimate the parameters of a similarity transformation. As mentioned in section 2.3, the missing scaling factor between the TLS and image based models was manually determined by selecting identical distances in both models. After applying this scaling factor on the photogrammetric model, an initial similarity transformation between both models was performed and a refinement of the evaluated parameters by ICP applied. As it was necessary to refine the before appreciated scaling factor, this process was done in an iterative way, until the difference offsets of the ICP algorithm showed only minor changes.
Figures 6 to 8 show difference models generated after the registration. It can be seen, that the “Nikon”-model (Fig.5) has the most detailed surface with comparably small differences with respect to the reference model. All reconstruction service models show a high offset near the area of the shoulder. This is caused by an over-exposure (all models got recorded at the same time) caused by sun radiation. The pedestal as well as the sword show also high discrepancies. At large conic and/or round areas (e.g. the hip, lower shoulder parts and the back) the differences are comparably small.

4.2 Detailed Comparison

By computing the transformation parameters of the whole model, some areas might have a large discrepancy/offset, because the transformation parameters are evaluated by a minimization function with all respective points or triangles. In the following, three small areas of the model were investigated locally (Fig.9). For this, an iterative registration process was performed, to achieve better transformation parameters. The naming of the figures in this section are as follows: Fig. X [m,n], where F is the figure number, m the row index and n the column index.

This selected chainmail has an almost repeating wavy pattern enclosed by steep, almost orthogonal edges. It can be seen, that all models have deficiencies at those edges. The reconstruction of the wavy pattern failed with any camera and software configuration (Fig.10 {1-2, 1-4}). It is remarkable, that some linear effects occur in the comparison between the Nikon-Casio difference model (Fig.10 {1-2, 4}).
resolutions is highly correlated to the viewing point configuration.

Compared to the TLS reference model it could be demonstrated that the image based results have a reduced richness in detail. In addition, accuracy deficiencies occur especially at sharp structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recording time</th>
<th>Processing 0</th>
<th>Computation 1</th>
<th>Postprocessing 2</th>
<th>Sum [h]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casio (High)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casio (Low)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iphone</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Applied time for generating the models

It was assumed that the automatically applicable web-services are more efficient in reconstructing the object surface compared to TLS data processing. Table 2 shows the total time required for data acquisition, data pre-processing to final model generation. Hence, this assumption could not be approved, because in the presented configuration, the images required a time-consuming pre-processing step. It was necessary to mask out the object in every image manually, because some vegetation was present direct behind the sculpture. First results showed, that this area had to be removed to avoid gross errors at the orientation (as well as it's followed dense-matching process).

However, the evaluated webservice excel laserscanning, if accuracy and richness in detail are not of prior importance and if visualization, i.e. texture mapping, is required. For highly accurate documentation modeling, TLS is the favorable choice.
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