On the realistic stochastic model of GPS observables: Implementation and Performance
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ABSTRACT:

High-precision GPS positioning requires a realistic stochastic model of observables. A realistic GPS stochastic model of observables should take into account different variances for different observation types, correlations among different observables, the satellite elevation dependence of observables precision, and the temporal correlation of observables. Least-squares variance component estimation (LS-VCE) is applied to GPS observables using the geometry-based observation model (GBOM). To model the satellite elevation dependent of GPS observables precision, an exponential model depending on the elevation angles of the satellites are also employed. Temporal correlation of the GPS observables is modelled by using a first-order autoregressive noise model. An important step in the high-precision GPS positioning is double difference integer ambiguity resolution (IAR). The fraction or percentage of success among a number of integer ambiguity fixing is called the success rate. A realistic estimation of the GNSS observables covariance matrix plays an important role in the IAR. We consider the ambiguity resolution success rate for two cases, namely a nominal and a realistic stochastic model of the GPS observables using two GPS data sets collected by the Trimble R8 receiver. The results confirm that applying a more realistic stochastic model can significantly improve the IAR success rate on individual frequencies, either on L1 or on L2. An improvement of 20% was achieved to the empirical success rate results. The results also indicate that introducing the realistic stochastic model leads to a larger standard deviation for the baseline components by a factor of about 2.6 on the data sets considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

GPS data processing is usually performed by the least squares adjustment method for which both the functional and stochastic models must be correctly specified. The functional model, describing the mathematical relation between the observations and the unknown parameters, is usually well known either in the relative positioning or in the single/precise point positioning (Seeber, 2003; Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 2008; Leick, 2004; Teunissen and Kleusberg, 1998; Rizos, 1997). However, the stochastic model, expressing the statistical characteristics of the GPS observations by means of a covariance matrix, is still a challenging problem. Misspecification in the stochastic model leads to unreliable and suboptimal estimates. The realistic stochastic model should thus be utilized aiming at obtaining reliable least squares estimates.

There are a few common stochastic models for GPS observables: (1) Equal-weight stochastic model in which identical variances for each measurement type are chosen (i.e. the same variance for the code and the same variance for the phase observations). This structure ignores the correlations among different observables, (2) Satellite elevation-angle dependent model in which the observations weighting procedure is performed using trigonometric or exponential functions. The observations at lower elevation angles have larger variances than those at higher elevation angles, (3) Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) based model in which the observations weighting procedure depends on SNR values, a quality indicator for the GPS observations. These models are in fact simple and rudimentary stochastic models. Satirapod and Wang (2000) found that in some cases both the use of SNR and satellite elevation angle information failed to reflect the reality of data quality. Therefore, it still remains necessary to investigate rigorous methods for constructing a more reliable covariance matrix of the GPS observables. An appropriate stochastic model for GPS observables may include different variances for each observation type, the correlation among different observables, the satellite elevation dependence of observables precision, and the possible temporal correlation of the GPS observables (Amiri-Simkooei et al., 2009, 2013, 2015). Therefore, we first define a general form of the covariance matrix of the GPS observables, which includes the above-mentioned variance and covariance components into the stochastic model. This general form of the covariance matrix is expressed as an unknown linear combination of known cofactor matrices. The estimation of the unknown (co)variance parameters is referred to as variance component estimation (VCE).

There are many different methods for VCE among which we make use of the least-squares variance component estimation (LS-VCE), in a straightforward manner, to assess the noise characteristics of the GPS observables. LS-VCE was originally developed by Teunissen (1988). Further elaboration and development of the LS-VCE are provided by Teunissen and
Amiri-Simkooei (2008) and Amiri-Simkooei (2007). The geometry-based observation model (GBOM), employed as the functional model, is the widely used mathematical model for high precision GPS positioning from code and phase observations using a relative GPS receiver setup (Odijk, 2008).

An important step in the high-precision GPS positioning is double difference (DD) integer ambiguity resolution (IAR). The fraction or percentage of success among a number of integer ambiguity fixing is called the success rate. A realistic estimation of the GNSS observables covariance matrix plays an important role in the IAR. When compared to a realistic stochastic model, a simple stochastic model results in poorer precision of the estimated parameters and hence a lower integer ambiguity success rate. In this paper, the reliability of the resolved ambiguities is investigated through the computation of the IAR success rate for two cases, namely a nominal and a realistic stochastic model of the GPS observables. For the nominal case, the same standard deviations for the phase observables on L1 and L2 and the same standard deviations for the code observables on L1 and L2 is assumed as \( \sigma_p = \sigma_0 = 3 \) mm and \( \sigma_p = \sigma_0 = 30 \) cm, respectively. This structure ignores the correlation among different observables, the satellite elevation dependence of observables precision, and the possible temporal correlation of the GPS observables.

The baseline components uncertainties expressed by the estimated covariance matrix of the unknowns depend on the choice made for the weight matrix of the observables. We thus assess the effect of the stochastic model on the efficiency of the estimated baseline uncertainties. To this end, the baseline uncertainties are also computed for two cases, nominal and a realistic stochastic model of the GPS observables.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a brief review on a few theoretical concepts followed in this research. This section reviews the functional part of the GPS GBOM, a realistic stochastic model of GPS observables. Section 3 presents the theory of the LS-VCE method and its application to determine an appropriate stochastic model. In section 4, to investigate the performance of the realistic stochastic model obtained by the LS-VCE approach, two GPS baseline data sets of short baselines are employed. The reliability of the resolved ambiguities is then investigated through the computation of the IAR success rate for two cases, namely a nominal and a realistic stochastic model of the GPS observables. We also assess the effect of the stochastic model on a realistic standard deviation for the baseline components. We further highlight the effect of an optimal stochastic model in other fields of GPS applications such as the precise point positioning method. Finally, we make conclusions in section 6.

2. GPS GEOMETRY-BASED OBSERVATION MODEL (GBOM)

2.1 Functional model

Consider two receivers \( r \) and \( j \) simultaneously track the same satellites \( s \) and \( k \). The functional part of GBOM is based on the DD GPS observables. Ignoring the DD atmospheric delays, which is a valid assumption for zero and very short baselines, the observation equation for the DD GPS pseudorange (code) and carrier phase observations are respectively defined as (Teunissen and Kleusberg, 1998)

\[
P^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i) = \rho^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i) + \epsilon^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i)
\]

and

\[
\phi^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i) = \rho^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i) + \lambda L_a^{r,k}_{r,j,l} + \epsilon^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i)
\]

where \( (.)^{\phi}_{r,j} \) is an abbreviation for \( (.)^{\phi}_{r,j} = (.)^{\phi}_{r,j} - (.)^{\phi}_{r,j} \). \( L \) corresponds to either L1 or L2 frequency, \( P \) is the DD pseudorange observation on the L1 or L2 frequency, \( \rho \) denotes the DD receiver-satellite range, \( \epsilon \) indicates the pseudorange measurement errors on the L1 or L2 frequency and \( t_i \) refers to the measurement time instant. In Eq. (2), \( \phi \) indicates the DD carrier phase observation on the L1 or L2 frequency, \( \lambda \) is the corresponding wavelength, \( a \) denotes the DD integer ambiguities on L1 or L2, and \( \epsilon \) is the phase measurement errors on L1 or L2.

The DD pseudorange and carrier-phase observation equations on the L1 or L2 frequency can be written in the following matrix form:

\[
E \begin{bmatrix} P^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i) \\
\rho^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i) \\
\phi^{r,k}_{r,j,l}(t_i)
\end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} g \\
\alpha^{r,k}_{r,j,1} \\
\alpha^{r,k}_{r,j,2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

relating to two satellites which were observed by two receivers. In Eq. (3), \( E \) stands for the mathematical expectation operator. The expectation of pseudorange and carrier-phase measurement errors is assumed to be zero. In Eq. (3), the design matrix \( A \) is of the form

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
G & 0 & 0 \\
G & 0 & 0 \\
G & \lambda_i & 0 \\
G & 0 & \lambda_j
\end{bmatrix}
\]

in which the geometry matrix \( G \) is \( G = -(u'_j - u'_r)^T \), where \( u'_j = (r'_i - r_j) / \|r'_i - \| \) is the unit direction vector between receiver \( j \) and satellite \( k \) (line-of-sight vector). The vectors \( r'_i \) and \( r_j \) are the geocentric vector of the satellite \( k \) and receiver \( j \), respectively. In Eq. (3), vector \( g \) consists of the unknown baseline components between the reference and rover receivers, which is defined as \( g = [\Delta X, \Delta Y, \Delta Z]^T \).

2.2 A Realistic Stochastic Model

A realistic stochastic model for DD GPS observables is of the form (Amiri-Simkooei et al., 2009, 2013, 2015)
$Q_0^p = \Sigma_c \otimes \Sigma_e \otimes \Sigma_e$  

(5)

where $\otimes$ indicates the Kronecker product of two matrices. For four observation types $\Sigma_c$ includes 10 unknown variance and covariance components of the form

$$
\Sigma_c = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{1}^2 & \sigma_{1,2} & \cdots & \sigma_{1,K-1}
\sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_{2}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2,K-1}
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{K-1,1} & \sigma_{K-1,2} & \cdots & \sigma_{K-1}^2
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(6)

The diagonal and off-diagonal elements of $\Sigma_c$ are the variances and the covariance between the GPS observables, respectively.

Also, a Toeplitz matrix $\Sigma_r$ represents temporal correlation of the GPS observables. It is a $K \times K$ matrix, where $K$ denotes the number of the observation epochs. $\Sigma_r$ may consists of $K$ number of unknown parameters as follows

$$
\Sigma_r = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{1(0)} & \sigma_{1(1)} & \cdots & \sigma_{1(K-1)}
\sigma_{2(0)} & \sigma_{2(1)} & \cdots & \sigma_{2(K-1)}
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{K-1(0)} & \sigma_{K-1(1)} & \cdots & \sigma_{K-1(0)}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(7)

Finally, $\Sigma_e$ is a $k \times k$ matrix representing the dependence of GPS observables precision on satellite elevation, where $k$ is the number of satellites. The satellite number [1] is assumed as the reference satellite. $\Sigma_e$ is of the form

$$
\Sigma_e = 2
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{11}^2 + \sigma_{12}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{1K}^2 \\
\sigma_{11}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{1K}^2 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{11}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{1K}^2 \\
\sigma_{11}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{1K}^2
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(8)

The stochastic model presented in Eq. (5) considers all items of a realistic covariance matrix of GPS observables pointed out in the introduction. In this paper, we estimate the unknown (co)variance components using LS-VCE which is briefly presented in the next section.

3. STOCHASTIC MODEL ESTIMATION

3.1 Least-Squares Variance Component Estimation (LS-VCE)

The LS-VCE was originally developed by Teunissen (1988). Further elaboration and development of the method is provided by Teunissen and Amiri-Simkooei (2008) and Amiri-Simkooei (2007).

Assume the linear model of observation equations as

$$
E(y) = Ax; \quad D(y) = Q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sigma_i Q_i
$$

(9)

where $D$ denotes the mathematical dispersion vector of order $m$, $x$ is the n-vector of unknown parameters, $A$ is the $m \times n$ design matrix describing the functional relation between the observations vector and the unknown parameters and $\sigma_i, k = 1, ..., p$ are the unknown (co)variance components. Also, $Q_i$ is the covariance matrix of the observables expressed as an unknown linear combination of known cofactor matrices ($Q_k, k = 1, ..., p$).

Based on Eq. (9), the covariance matrix of the observables is partly unknown as it is expressed as an unknown linear combination of known cofactor matrices. To estimate the unknown (co)variance components, different VCE methods have been invented. Among them the LS-VCE method is employed in the present contribution.

The unknown (co)variance components can be estimated using LS-VCE as $\hat{\sigma} = N^{-1}$, where the $p \times p$ matrix $N$ and the $p \times 1$ vector $l$ are respectively obtained as

$$
n_k = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(Q_i Q_k P_i Q_k P_i)$$

(10)

and

$$
l_k = e^{T} Q_i^{-1} Q_k^{-1} e$$

(11)

where $e = P_i^{-1} y$ is the $m$-vector of the least squares residuals, in which $P_i^{-1} = I_m - A(\hat{A}^T Q_y^{-1} \hat{A})^{-1} \hat{A}^T Q_y^{-1}$ is an orthogonal projector, and $\text{tr}$ denotes the trace of a matrix.

To compute the matrix $N$, the vector $l$ and the residuals vector $\hat{e}$ the covariance matrix of the observables is required. LS-VCE is thus an iterative method that needs the approximate values of the (co)variance components. The iteration proceed until the converge criterion of $\|\hat{\sigma} - \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\| < \varepsilon$ is met, i.e., the difference of two consecutive solutions is less than the tolerance $\varepsilon$. The covariance matrix of the estimated (co)variance components can automatically be obtained as $Q_0 = N^{-1}$.

When the number of observations is large, we can divide the entire observations into a few ($r$) groups. One can show that the unknown (co)variance parameters ($\sigma_i ; k = 1 : p$) can be separately estimated for each group and the final estimates are obtained by averaging the groupwise estimates over all ($r$) groups, i.e., $\hat{\sigma}_i = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \hat{\sigma}_i^{(j)}, \ k = 1, ..., p$ where $\hat{\sigma}_i^{(j)}$ is the
3.2 Determination of a realistic stochastic model of GPS observables

We now investigate the noise characteristics of GPS observables pointed out in the introduction. For this purpose, we apply LS-VCE to the GPS observables. The LS-VCE method may be applied to GPS GBOM as the functional model in three ways, namely *Float ambiguities, Fixed ambiguities and Fixed baseline* (Amiri-Simkooei et al., 2013, 2015). In this paper, we carried out the *Fixed ambiguities method*. After fixing the DD integer ambiguities via the least-squares ambiguity decorrelation adjustment (LAMBDA) method (Teunissen, 1993, 1995) or the lattice theory (Jazaeri et al., 2012), the fixed DD integer ambiguities were moved to the left hand side of Eq. (3). In this case, \( A \) simplifies to
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} G^T & G^T & G^T & G^T \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Equation (5) presents a realistic stochastic model of GPS observables. Estimation of the (co)variance components of this model may be performed in three steps (1) Estimation of the (co)variance components \( \Sigma_c \); (2) Estimation of the components of \( \Sigma_r \); and (3) Estimation of the components of \( \Sigma_e \).

For more details on the application of LS-VCE to noise assessment of the GPS observables, the reader is referred to Amiri-Simkooei et al. (2009, 2013, 2015).

3.2.1 Estimation of (co)variance components of \( \Sigma_c \): In the first stage, the (co)variance components \( \Sigma_c \) including the different variances of observation types and the possible correlation among the GPS observables are estimated. For this purpose, the temporal correlation and the satellites elevation dependence of GPS observables precision are ignored. On the other hand, \( \Sigma_c \) is assumed as an identity matrix of size \( K \), i.e.,
\[
\Sigma_c = I_k \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_e \text{ simplifies to}
\]
\[
\Sigma_e = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
4 & 2 & \ldots & 2 \\
2 & 4 & \ldots & 2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
2 & 2 & \ldots & 4
\end{bmatrix}
\]

meaning that all satellites with different elevation angles have equal variance factors (i.e., \( \sigma^2_{\text{e1}} = \sigma^2_{\text{e1}} = \cdots = \sigma^2_{\text{e1}} \)).

By using the structure introduced in Eq. (15) for \( \Sigma_c \) and \( \Sigma_c = I_k \), the (co)variance components of \( \Sigma_c \) can be estimated by LS-VCE. The approximate values for the covariance components was assumed to be zero, un-correlated observables, and the approximate values for the standard deviation of GPS code (C1 and P2) and phase observations (L1 and L2) were taken as 30 cm and 3 mm, respectively. Also, the tolerance for the convergence of the LS-VCE solution is set to \( \varepsilon = 10^{-6} \).

3.2.2 Estimation of components of \( \Sigma_r \): After estimating the (co)variance components of \( \Sigma_c \), we may consider the satellites elevation dependence of GPS observables precision by estimating the components of \( \Sigma_r \) using LS-VCE. To this end, matrix \( \Sigma_r \), which is already estimated, is introduced into the stochastic model of Eq. (5). The temporal correlation is still assumed to be absent at this stage, i.e., \( \Sigma_r = I_k \). The components of \( \Sigma_r \) can then be estimated using LS-VCE. Also, the approximate values for the variance factors of \( \Sigma_r \) are assumed identical for all satellites as
\[
\sigma^2_{\text{r1}} = \sigma^2_{\text{r1}} = \cdots = \sigma^2_{\text{r1}} = 1.
\]

To consider the dependence of GPS observables precision on the satellites elevation, an exponential elevation-dependent model may also be used. This model is similar to the Euler and Goad elevation-dependent model (Euler and Goad, 1991), and is expressed as follows
\[
\sigma^2 = a_i + a_2 e^{-\theta/\kappa},
\]
where \( \sigma^2 \) is the variance of the observables, \( a_i \) and \( a_2 \) are two unknown parameters to be estimated, \( \theta \) denotes the elevation angle of the satellites and \( \theta_0 \) is an unknown reference elevation angle. The unknown parameters of the nonlinear model given in (16) can be obtained by a nonlinear least squares fit to the estimated variance components of \( \Sigma_r \) using LS-VCE. Therefore, the exponential elevation-dependent model was implemented to express the dependence of the GPS observable precision on the satellite elevation angle (Amiri-Simkooei et al., 2015).

3.2.3 Estimation of components of \( \Sigma_e \): In the last step, time correlation of the GPS observables is investigated. At this stage, the components of \( \Sigma_e \) are to be estimated. For this purpose, \( \Sigma_e \) and \( \Sigma_r \) matrices, which were estimated in the two previous steps, are assumed known and hence introduced into Eq. (5). The components of \( \Sigma_e \) can then be estimated by using the empirical autocovariance function (ACF), which is shown to be a by product of the LS-VCE method, if the weight matrix is chosen as the identity matrix. The unbiased sample autocovariance function of a zero-mean stationary time-series can be obtained as (Amiri-Simkooei 2007, p. 42)
\[
\hat{\sigma}_\tau = \frac{\sum_{\tau=0}^{K-1} \hat{e}_\tau \hat{e}_{\tau+\tau}}{K-\tau} \quad \tau = 0,1,...,K-1
\]
where \( \tau \) is the time lag (the time interval between two samples) in seconds, \( \hat{\sigma}_\tau \) is the covariance among the observables with
lag $\tau$ and $K$ is the number of epochs. The coefficient at lag $\tau = 0$ equals the diagonal elements (variance factors) of $\Sigma_k$.

As a predefined model, the temporal correlation of the GPS observables is approximated by an exponential auto covariance function (first-order auto regressive, AR(1)) as

$$
\sigma_i = \sigma_i^2 e^{-\alpha \tau}, \quad \tau = 0, 1, \ldots, K - 1
$$

(18)

where $\sigma_i^2$ and $\alpha$ are the unknown parameters of the model. The unknown parameters of the nonlinear model given in (18) can be obtained by a nonlinear least squares fit to the estimated $\hat{\sigma}_i$ obtained by Eq. (17). Therefore, the exponential auto covariance function was implemented to express the temporal correlation of the GPS observables.

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To investigate the effect of the realistic stochastic model of the GPS observables on the IAR success rate and on the estimated baseline uncertainties, two real GPS data sets collected by a geodetic GPS receiver, namely Trimble R8, are employed. The receiver used is a geodetic dual frequency receiver which provides the code and phase observations on both the L1 and L2 frequencies (namely, C1-P2-L1-L2). The first GPS data experiment was collected on November 28, 2010 with one second interval over a short baseline of 2.5892 m from 13:11:19 to 13:15:28 UTC consisting of 250 epochs. The second data set was also measured over a short baseline of 20.526 m with one second interval on November 28th, 2010, from 13:17:18 to 13:21:27 UTC consisting of 200 epochs.

This geodetic GPS receiver has been recently used in Amiri-Simkooei et al. (2015) for two different GPS data sets. We now use other datasets under the same receiver under a different situation, for example different days and different satellite configuration. However, we use the standard deviation of the phase and code observations as well as the correlation coefficient among different observations as those estimated by Amiri-Simkooei et al. (2015).

According to Amiri-Simkooei et al. (2015), the estimated standard deviation of the four GPS observation types are $\hat{\sigma}_{c1} = 392.1$ mm, $\hat{\sigma}_{c2} = 625.4$ mm, $\hat{\sigma}_{l1} = 5.2$ mm and $\hat{\sigma}_{l2} = 4.94$ mm. Table 1 provides the covariances and correlation coefficients between the GPS observables. The results indicate a significant correlation between observations. A positive correlation of 0.42 is observed between the phase observations on L1 and L2 for Trimble R8, which is considered to be significant (see Amiri-Simkooei et al., 2013). The estimated values of the parameters given in (16) are $\alpha = 0.26$, $\alpha_z = 18.90$ and the reference angle is estimated as $\theta_0 = 7.6^\circ$, for the Trimble R8 receiver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>L1 only</th>
<th>L2 only</th>
<th>L1+L2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>72.8 %</td>
<td>62.0 %</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realistic</td>
<td>81.6 %</td>
<td>86.4 %</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>82.0 %</td>
<td>76.4 %</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realistic</td>
<td>83.6 %</td>
<td>87.6 %</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using different GPS data sets, Amiri-Simkooei et al. (2015) also showed that applying a more realistic stochastic model can significantly improve the IAR success rate on individual frequencies, either on L1 or on L2. This work can be considered as a follow-up to the work carried out by Amiri-Simkooei et al. (2015) in which some supplementary results, for example considering the effect of introducing the realistic stochastic model on the estimation of the two baseline components uncertainties, are also presented in this contribution.

We now aim to consider the effect of introducing the realistic stochastic model on the estimation of the baseline components uncertainties. The baseline uncertainties can be regarded as the square root of the diagonal components of the unknowns covariance matrix approximated by $Q_A \approx (A^T Q_e A)^{-1}$ in which $Q_A$ can be considered in three different cases:

- **Case I**: Nominal stochastic model of the GPS observables,
- **Case II**: A realistic stochastic model of the GPS observables by introducing the $\Sigma_c$ and $\Sigma_x$ matrices estimated by the LS-VCE method.
• **Case III**: Considers the $\sum_c$ and $\sum_e$ as estimated in Case II. In addition, the realistic stochastic model of the GPS observables considers time correlation of GPS observables based on the empirical ACF and fitting an exponential auto covariance function to the ACF.

Table (3) provides the estimated baseline uncertainties in the three cases for each of the GPS data sets. The results indicate that the estimated baseline uncertainties in the case of the nominal stochastic model lead to the optimistic uncertainties of the estimated baseline components when compared to a realistic stochastic model (cases II and III). In other words, introducing the realistic stochastic model results in a larger standard deviation for the baseline components by a factor of about 2.6 on the data sets considered.

In this paper, we investigated the effect of an optimal stochastic model in the case of relative positioning. The choice of a realistic stochastic model of the GPS observables is also important in other fields of GPS applications such as the precise point positioning (PPP) technique.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

A realistic stochastic model for the GPS observables should include a few important issues like different variances for each GPS observation type, the correlation between different observations, the satellite elevation dependence of the GPS observables weights, and the temporal correlation of the GPS observables. The use of a proper (correct) stochastic model of the GPS observables leads to the best linear unbiased estimators (BLUE) in the high precision GNSS positioning. The LS-VCE method was applied to GPS observables using the GBOM as the functional model.

The impact of a realistic GPS stochastic model on IAR success rate was discussed using two GPS short baseline data sets. The empirical success rate was computed for two cases: (1) using the nominal covariance matrix, and (2) using the estimated covariance matrix by LS-VCE. For the latter case, the success rate is determined by taking into consideration the variances of the GPS observables, the covariance/correlations of GPS observables, and the satellites elevation dependence of the GPS observable precision. The results showed that applying a more realistic stochastic model can significantly improve the IAR success rate on individual frequencies, either on L1 or on L2. An improvement of 20% was achieved to the success rate results. This idea can thus be implemented in attitude determination using single-frequency single-epoch of GPS observations in order to improve and/or speed up the ambiguity fixing procedure.

Finally, the effect of the realistic stochastic model on the estimated baseline uncertainties was investigated. The results indicated that introducing the realistic stochastic model leads to a larger standard deviation for the baseline components by a factor of about 2.6 on the data sets considered.
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