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ABSTRACT:

Rice is one of the most important nutritious grains all over the world, so that only in some parts of Asia more than 300 million acres allocated for cultivating this product. Therefore, qualitative and quantitative management of this product is of great importance in commercial, political and financial viewpoints. Rice plant is very influenced by physical and chemical characteristics of irrigation water, due to its specific kind of planting method. Hence, chemically-polluted waters which received by plant can change in live plants and their products. Thus, a very high degree of treatment will be required if the effluent discharges to rice plants. Current waters receive a variety of land-based water pollutants ranging from industrial wastes to excess sediments. One of the most hazardous wastes are chemicals that are toxic. Some factories discharge their effluents directly into a water body. So, what would happen for rice plant or its product if this polluted water flow to paddies? Is there any remotely-based method to study for this effect? Are surface temperature distributions (thermal images) useful in this context? The results of this laboratory research have proven that the presence of industrial wastewater cause a decrease in plant’s product and its f-cover value, also some changes in radiant temperature.

INTRODUCTION

Industrial wastes are major sources of pollution in all environments and require on-site treatment before discharge into sewage system. Soil and plants are under tremendous pressure due to discharge of effluents. Very few are aware of this discharging, a globally important issue (Emongor, Nkegbe et al. 2005). Department of Environment (DOE), recently identified 900 large polluting industries, which have no treatment facilities for effluents and wastes (M.O. Islam, Md.H.R. Khan et al. 2006). These heavily toxic effluents were discharging directly to adjacent soils and rivers (Khan 2006). In the production process of these industries a lot of solid, semi-solid and liquid wastes are generated that may contain substantial amount of toxic organic and inorganic pollutants, and if dumped in the environment without treatment then this may lead to serious environmental consequences. This will also undoubtedly deteriorate soil productivity and adversely affect crop production in the surrounding land. As discussed in (Shukry 2001), industrial effluents had remarkable changes in the distribution of ions and their concentrations in wheat and bean plants. Unfortunately, there is little work on this waste material and wastewater in relation to their use in agriculture or discharge to agricultural land (M.O. Islam, Md.H.R. Khan et al. 2006).

Moreover, nearby some of rice paddies in Iran (e.g. Guilan province) there are some factories whereby textile dyeing process takes place. Consequently some wastes (poisonous at certain levels), contaminate the surrounding cultivable lands.

Against this background, the objectives of the present study were to evaluate the extent and impacts of the discharging industrial effluents on the growth, yield and nutrition of rice. This work is prepared on the basis of a laboratory test done in a small greenhouse and it is anticipated that the results might be helpful for future researches in this context. The main idea of this research was to see if it is possible to investigate whether a plant which was irrigated by polluted water can be discriminated remotely from images. The structure of the rest of this paper is as follows. In section 2, materials and the planned experimental set up is elaborated. Section 3 briefly describes the measurements done in our experiment. Results and experiments are reported in section 4, while we draw our conclusion in section 5.

MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL SET UP

The study was done in a greenhouse which was designed in Tehran south, Iran and on rice samples were irrigated with simulated effluents of a textile dyeing factory, in the whole period of their growth stage. Information on the understudy rice samples, experimental set up and effluents’ chemical structure are discussed with great detail in the next few subsections.

2.1 Rice Samples

Rice seedlings were gathered carefully from Rasht, Guilan and were transferred to the prepared greenhouse in Tehran. Samples were ready for planting and they have spent their initial phases...
of their growth in wet nursery. 30-day old seedlings were transferred to sterilized soil, with puddled soil flooded with 3-5 cm of standing water. The characteristics of the seedlings are provided in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety type</th>
<th>Native</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice type</td>
<td>Tarom Hashemi (Sadri group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursery</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding date</td>
<td>8 May 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial height</td>
<td>49 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seedling type</td>
<td>Ripen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1, represents the prepared seedlings.

2.2 Experimental set up

Impact of the effluents on the irrigated plants was investigated using the following research plan. First of all, the seedlings were planted into two similar pots (with size 63×30×63 cm³). Next, one of the pots was chosen as the test sample and the other was selected as the control sample. Afterwards, the test sample was irrigated with polluted water while it was not true for the control one. Except the mentioned point, the other life and treatment conditions (i.e. time of irrigation, temperature, relative humidity, sun radiation, wind blow, fertilizing, etc.) were totally similar for both samples. This situation makes the analysis on effluents effects far more feasible. In the next step, data acquisition was synchronized with Terra and Aqua sensors’ local overpass (10:30 a.m. and 22:30 p.m. respectively). Hence, this synchronization to MODIS, make similar studies like this much more feasible than before. It is worth mentioning that in addition to this synchronization, time of acquisitions was determined considering the thermal crossover times (Alavi panah 1387).

2.3 Simulation of industrial effluents

The applied effluent in this research was simulated from the first step dyeing process of a textile material, and its compositions are as below mentioned (Shams Nateri, Dehnavi et al. 1391).

2.3.1. Sodium Chloride (NaCl): The simulated effluent salinity was increased with NaCl to make saline treatments. However, rice is a very powerful plant against the soil salinity (Amiri Larigani 1390), thus it was anticipated that this material had the least effect on the growth stage.

2.3.2. Direct Red, Yellow and Blue dye: Structure of other applied dyes in colour index represents in below figures.

3. MEASUREMENT PLAN

Effective parameters on plant growth in its growth stages such as temperature and relative humidity as well as the thermal field images were recorded as statistical information during the sample phenological stages. The measurement planning cycle consists of the following stages:

3.1 Temperature and relative humidity

Temperature and relative humidity of the greenhouse was permanently measured and recorded at least two times a day (10:30 a.m. and 22:30 p.m.) during the whole growth stage. These records throughout the entire period are shown in both day and night respectively in Appendix A (Figures 16-19).

3.2 FLIR and RGB image field acquisitions

In order to record the infrared spectra of the understudy samples, the FLIR5 (Components 2015) handheld system was used. The sensor was adjusted to acquire surface temperature and to record the average value of the target surface simultaneously. FLIR5 sensor characteristics are provided in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: FLIR5 Sensor Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometrical and imaginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Of View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Direct Red BWS (243) (Shams Nateri, Dehnavi et al. 1391)

Figure 3: Direct Yellow RL (86) (Shams Nateri, Dehnavi et al. 1391)

Figure 4: Direct Blue BRL(201) (Shams Nateri, Dehnavi et al. 1391)
A Schematic view of the FLIRi5 is presented in Figure 5.

Table 3: Nominal FOV and measurement heights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>IFOV (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

There are two methods for the analysis of the productivity and performance of each sample. First, rice plant performance can be evaluated using well-known statistical approaches which are introduced with great detail below. Second, the f-cover values of samples can be extracted and analyzed applying the RGB images. Moreover, the average temperatures are investigated in the critical stages of their growth.

4.1 Rice plant performance components

The performance of rice samples can be compared after the harvesting. Therefore, the method for this performance analysis is elaborated in the following paragraphs.

4.1.1. Number of panicles in Canopy and number of seeds in panicles: Number of panicles and their seeds were counted for both samples (Table 4).

4.1.2. Thousand-grain weight: Thousand-grain weight (TGW) was recorded as the main rice yield component after harvesting. Three 5 gm batches of both hollow and solid grains were provided. In order to this discrimination, samples were poured
in a bottle of water (Figure 9.e). Then, the number of solid grains was counted in a 5 gm batch. Finally, the TGW was determined for each sample using a ratio analysis (Table 4).

4.1.3. Grain weight per unit area: Weight of grains per unit area can be calculated using the Equation 1 (Appendix A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Rice sample's performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of panicles in canopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of grains in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid grains (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousand-grain weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain weight per unit area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.4. Dry and wet weights of paddies: One of the effective and important parameters in the analysis of plant health and its performance is determining the moisture content. Therefore, after the harvesting, paddies were weighted. Afterwards, they were dried slowly in oven, in 70-80 degree celcius, and were weighted again. Drying process is shown in Figure 9 and measured weights are presented in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5: Soil moisture content in paddies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicle’s Dry weight (gr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicle’s wet weight (gr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 F-Cover determination

The vegetation cover was estimated for both samples from RGB images in their entire growth stages. For this purpose, the classification was performed on RGB images and the f-cover value was calculated from the classified image. Figure 10, presents the classified image of the healthy sample.

4.3 Results

4.3.1. f-cover percentage: Comparison of the f-cover values for both samples in their critical growth stages (i.e. panicle initiation, booting, heading, and flowering) have shown that, this value was higher in most cases for the control sample (Figure 11). This is a reason for the effectivity of test sample from industrial effluents, so that the f-cover value and the harvestable rice have decreased in this sample.

4.3.2. Averaged surface temperature changes: Study on the surface temperature of the two treatments in their critical growth stages has shown that day/night temperature differences were lower in the control sample. This means that the thermal equilibrium was higher in that sample. It is also worth noticing that the test sample has absorbed more energy during daylight (Figures 12 and 13). It is clear that reducing in energy absorption of test sample affect the net photosynthesis.
4.3.3. Field Observations

The most highly significant of the field observations was the irregularities in phenological stages of the test sample after addition of effluents. These changes are as follows:
- Earrings of the control sample were higher in number and also in strength.
- Panicle initiation was earlier in control sample.
- The flowering stage was earlier in control sample.

5. CONCLUSION

Rice plant is very influenced by physical and chemical characteristics of irrigation water, due to its specific kind of planting method. Hence, chemically-polluted waters which received by plant can change in live plants and their products. Although, rice plants are under tremendous pressure due to discharge of effluents, very few are aware of this discharging, a globally important issue. Therefore, this research was done in a laboratory level to see whether there is any specific change in toxic sample or not, especially in its temperature distributions, as a result of toxic irrigating.

The results presented here have shown that, the presence of toxic industrial effluents in the irrigating water causes some disorderliness in phenological stages of the test sample. Moreover, the harvested product decreased by 14 percent and the f-cover value declined by approximately 6 percent. The research also showed some changes in the temperature distribution of toxic sample.

Although this study found significant results, research is still relatively limited and there is a long way to go. Therefore, study on the large-scale rice paddies, on the thermal gradients of the thermal images, and also in the whole growth stages is proposed to be investigated in the near future.
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APPENDIX (A)

Equation 1:

\[
\text{Grain weight per unit area (g/m}^2\) = \frac{\text{(No. of canopies in m}^2\) \times \text{No. of panicles in m}^2\) \times \text{No. of grains in canopy \times \text{Panicle \% \times Thousand grain weight)}}{100000}
\]